# The Haravijaya of Ratnākara and the Commentaries thereon by Utpala and Ratnakanțha : Sargas 1 and 2

Wissenschaftliche Hausarbeit zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades eines Magister Artium der Universität Hamburg

vorgelegt von

Peter Pasedach aus Hamburg

Hamburg 2011

## Contents

	Pref	ace .		. 1
1	Introduction			<b>2</b>
	1.1	Ratnāl	kara's life and work	. 2
	1.2	The H	aravijaya	. 3
	1.3	Comm	entaries on the Haravijaya	. 4
		1.3.1	Alaka's Viṣamapadoddyotā	. 4
		1.3.2	Ratnakaṇṭha's Laghupañcikā	. 5
		1.3.3	Utpala's Haravijayasāravivaraņa	. 6
	1.4	Recept	tion of the Haravijaya	. 6
2 Sources		rces		7
	2.1	Edition	ns	. 7
		2.1.1	Kāvyamālā Edition 1890	. 7
		2.1.2	Critical Edition by Dr. Goparaju Rama from 1982 $\ldots$	. 9
	2.2	Manus	cripts	. 9
		2.2.1	MS Stein 187 and 189 $\ldots$	. 10
		2.2.2	MS Stein 188	. 10
		2.2.3	MS of Utpala's Haravijayasāravivaraņa	. 10
		2.2.4	MS 757 from Srinagar Library	. 11
3	Sanskrit Text 12			
	3.1	Sarga	1: Purāripurīvarņanaķ	. 12
	3.2	Sarga	2: Tāṇḍāvavarṇanaḥ	. 56
4 Translation		n	79	
	4.1	Canto	1: Description of the Cities' Enemy's City	. 79
	4.2	Canto	2: Description of Tāṇḍava	. 92
<b>5</b>	Conclusion			108
6	Bibliography 1			111

## Preface

The present M.A. thesis deals with the first two cantos of the Haravijaya, "Śiva's Conquest [of Demon Andhaka]", an epic poem or Mahākāvya, composed in Sanskrit by 9th century Kashmiri poet Ratnākara. Its first canto deals with the description of Śiva's city and Śiva himself, the second canto with his prominent exploit, the Tāṇḍava dance. I have reedited and translated these two cantos. For their edition and that of the corresponding parts of two so far unpublished commentaries on the Haravijaya by Utpala and Ratnakaṇṭha also included in this work, I have used manuscripts<sup>1</sup> in Śāradā script, mostly from the collection of Marc Aurel Stein at the Bodleian Library at Oxford, where I travelled to produce digital photographs of them and other Haravijaya related MSS described below. I am grateful to Prof. Harunaga Isaacson of Universität Hamburg who has supervised this thesis as well as to Prof. S.N. Mishra, retired from Benares Hindu University, with whom I also had the pleasure of reading the Haravijaya at his home in Varanasi. Also I wish to apologise to the reader for the many imperfections that undoubtedly still remain in this work.

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{From}$  now on I use the abbreviations MS for "manuscript" and MSS for "manuscripts" (plural).

## 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Ratnākara's life and work

Rather little is known about Ratnākara's life. In the Haravijaya's praśasti he speaks of himself as the son of Amṛtabhānu<sup>2</sup>, who was a descendant of a certain Durgadatta from Gangāhrada<sup>3</sup>. Ratnākara is mentioned in Kalhana's Rājataraṅgiṇī, the chronicle of the Kings of Kashmir, as one of the poets gaining fame during the reign of Avantivarman<sup>4</sup>, 855 - 883, but in the colophons of each sarga of the Haravijaya he is referred to as a dependant of Bālabṛhaspati, which is a sobriquet of the earlier king Cippaṭa Jayāpīḍa, hence it can be assumed that the Haravijaya was composed during his reign, between 826 and 838<sup>5</sup>. As his poem is based on Śaiva mythology we can assume that he was practising Kashmiri Śaivism, in fact Prof. Sanderson has pointed out that the hymns to Śiva and the goddess in its cantos 6 and 47 respectively are also the earliest dateable evidence of Mantramārgic Śaivism in Kashmir<sup>6</sup>. From the way he describes life in the Haravijaya one can assume that he lived in prosperous circumstances. Possibly Ratnākara's only other preserved work is the Vakroktipañcāśikā<sup>7</sup>, fifty verses of dialogue between quarreling Śiva and Pārvatī, all employing the device of *vakrokti* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>I could not find any further information about Amrtabhānu, in the New Catalogus Catalogorum [NCC(1968), Vol.1, p350] he is only listed as father of Ratnākara. Rama though is referring to Amrtabhānu as a "famous poet of his day", [Rama(1982), p.13].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Haravijaya Praśasti, 1:

 $<sup>\</sup>acute{s}r\bar{i}durga dattanija vamsá him \bar{a} dris \bar{a} nuga ng \bar{a} hrad \bar{a} \acute{s} ray a sut \bar{a} mrtabh \bar{a} nus \bar{u} nu h \mid dattani ha su h$ 

ratnākaro lalitabandham idam vyadhatta candrārdhac $\bar{u}$ lacaritāśrayacāru kāvyam || 1 ||  $^{4}D^{-1}_{-1}$ 

 $<sup>{}^{4}</sup>R\bar{a}jatarangin\bar{1}$  5.34:

 $muktar{a}kanah$  śivasv $ar{a}mar{\imath}$  kavir  $ar{a}$ nandavardhanah /

prathām ratnākaraś cāgāt sām<br/>rājye 'vantivarmaņah //

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Cippața Jayāpīḍa's dating via the Rājataraṅginī, as that of the kings preceding him, appears problematic, Avantivarman being the first king for whom it is reliable, see [Stein(1900), Vol. I, pp. 95-97], [Bühler(1877), p.43] and [Warder(1988), p.138] for discussions relating to Ratnākara's dating.

 $<sup>{}^{6}</sup>See$  [Sanderson(2007), pp. 425 - 426]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>See [Durgaprasad and Parab(1886), pp. 101 - 114] for an edition of the Vakroktipañcāśikā, including a commentary on it by Vallabhadeva; for partial translations and discussions of it see [Bernheimer(1909)] and [Bronner and McCrea(2001)].

or verbal distortion.<sup>8910</sup>

## 1.2 The Haravijaya

Ratnākara's magnum opus is the Haravijava, a mahākāvya or epic poem based on the theme of god Śiva's victory over his demonic son Andhaka. Mvth<sup>11</sup> has it that during his conception his mother Pārvatī is covering Siva's eves with her hands. Consequently he is born blind and given away. Andhaka practises the most extreme penance to please god Brahmā who eventually bestows sight upon him. With the enormous powers gained by his asceticism he then conquers and terrorises the world. Tormented, the gods come to Siva for help, who after a long war finally slays the demon. Before this background Ratnākara describes, as per the convention of Mahākāvya, many aspects of life, such as a city, the ocean, a mountain, the seasons, the rising of moon and sun, amorous play in a garden or in the water, drinking of wine and the feast of sexual enjoyment; separation and marriage, birth and growing up of a son, consultation and a messenger, a campaign, a battle and the rising of a hero.<sup>12</sup> Ratnākara also deals with many Śaiva subjects, like describing the iconographic features associated with Śiva, such as moon, Gangā or elephant skin, he describes Śiva's Tāndava dance and gives an exposure of Saiva Philosophy in the ode to Siva in canto six.

The Haravijaya belongs to a later phase of the development of  $k\bar{a}vya$ , in which the emphasis of the poet was not so much on the sentiments or rasas, as in the

 $<sup>^{8}</sup>$ Another work called Dhvanigāthāpañjikā, according to [Warder(1988), pp. 157-158], appears to be by some later Ratnākara, also [Smith(1985), p.22] doubts the identy of its author with the one of the Haravijaya.

 $<sup>^{9}</sup>$ [Lienhard(1984), p.200], when ascribing to him the authorship of a commentary on the Yudhisthiravijaya of Vāsudeva, probably confuses him with Ratnakaṇṭha.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>There are also works and quotes ascribed to someone named Vidyādhipati, which also Ratnākara uses as an sobriquet, but ascribing these to him is doubtful, as Vidyādhipati is also used as a title by other scholars and poets. See [Dyczkowski(1987), pp. 297 - 298].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>The story of Andhaka is found already in the Purāņas. Rama refers to Vāyupurāņa (Śivapurāņa) chapters 42 - 49 and Matsyapurāņa 170 - 179 [Rama(1982), p.13]; Warder refers to the Kūrma Purāņa I.15.125 ff.. [Warder(1988), p.139].

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$ As listed by Daṇḍin, in Kāvyādarśa 1.16-17, see [Dimitrov(2002), p. 160 - 161]:

 $nagarar{a}r$ ņavaśailartucandrar{a}rkodayavarņanai ļ/

 $udy ar{a} nasalilakr ar{\imath} dar{a} madhup ar{a} naratots avaih \parallel 16 \parallel$ 

vipralambhair vivāhaiś ca kumārodayavardhanaih

 $mantrad\bar{u}tapray \bar{a}n\bar{a}jin\bar{a}yak\bar{a}bhyudayair api \mid\mid 17 \mid\mid$ 

universally appreciated works of Kālidāsa, but on excelling at the display of knowledge, command of language and poetical devices.<sup>1314</sup> This makes it a rather difficult work. Ratnākara for example makes liberal use of concepts and technical terms from the various śāstras or scientific treatises on the fields poetically described in his work<sup>15</sup>, so that even for a basic understanding of some of his verses, let alone catching all the subtle jokes, one would have to concern oneself with all these different subjects, but even his commentators seem to be giving up on the more difficult of his verses at times.<sup>16</sup> Another challenging aspect of the Haravijaya is its size: It is the largest Mahākāvya or epic poem extant in Sanskrit, spanning over 50 cantos containing a total of 4321 verses.

#### 1.3 Commentaries on the Haravijaya

So far I am aware of three commentaries on the Haravijaya, i.e. Alaka's Viṣamapadoddyotā, Ratnakaṇṭha's Laghupañcikā and Utpala's Haravijayasāravivaraṇa. MS 757 from Srinagar Library contains fragments of yet another commentary for some of the verses. In some places a commentary of Vallabhadeva is mentioned. Krishnamachariar<sup>17</sup> in this regard is referencing to page 76 of "Stein's Kash. Cat", probably the "Catalogue of Six Thousand Sanskrit Manuscripts of Maharaja Ranbir Singh Raghunath Temple Library", to which I do not have access presently, possibly it is a confusion with Vallabhadeva's commentary on Ratnākara's Vakroktipañcāśikā.

#### 1.3.1 Alaka's Vișamapadoddyotā

The only published commentary on the Haravijaya is the terse Viṣamapadoddyotā of Alaka. It is included with the edition of Durgaprasad and Parab, but breaks off

 $<sup>^{13}</sup>$ See [Sharma(1990), pp. 61 - 62].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>See [Sharma(1990), pp.38 - 132] for examples and statistics of Ratnākaras use of rasas, alaikāras, metres, poetic conventions and styles but also of the defects of their use.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>See [Sharma(1990), pp. 14 - 30] for a discussion of Ratnākara's learning.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>See here for example the commentaries on verse 2.19, which describes drums being beaten according to all the rules musicology prescribes for that. Alaka ends his commentary on this verse: *iha tu samagram lakṣanam ānetum nahi phalam kimcit* // *alpair vacobhir eṣām na ca śakyam tattvam ākhyātum* / eṣām ataḥ svarūpam tata eva yathāvad avadhāryam //, and Utpala: atra sarvatra lakṣanam granthagauravabhayān nālekhi / .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>See [Krishnamachariar(1970), p. 161]

in the 46th sarga. I have had access to and photographed, but did not thoroughly consult yet, MS 189 from M.A. Stein's collection in Oxford, which could well be the one Bühler describes<sup>18</sup>, as it begins in the fifth verse of the first sarga and breaks off<sup>19</sup> in the 46th sarga, at exactly the same position<sup>20</sup>, filling its last folio completely, so that is appears as if further folios existed but were lost. This raises the question of the Kāvyamālā edition's commentary on the verses 1.1 to 1.5. I find it worth noting that the commentary of Utpala in these beginning verses resembles the commentary in the edition quite a bit.

Not much is known about Alaka. The colophon of Alaka's commentary describes him as son of Rājānaka Jayānaka. Krishnamachariar takes him to be a contemporary and disciple of Ratnākara<sup>21</sup>, but the New Catalogus Catalogorum refers to him as living in Kashmir in the first half of the 12th century. It is uncertain whether he is identical with the Alaka, also spelled Alaṭṭa, who co-authored the Kāvyaprakāśa with Mammaṭa. That being the case, he would also have composed a commentary on Ruyyaka's Alamkārasarvasva.<sup>22</sup>

#### 1.3.2 Ratnakaņtha's Laghupañcikā

Ratnakaṇṭha's Laghupañcikā commentary, available as an autograph manuscript<sup>23</sup> from 1681 / 1682<sup>24</sup>, is more detailed than the commentaries of Alaka and Utpala, but it covers only the first canto, I am not aware of any other manuscript of it extending further. About Ratnakaṇṭha more is known than about Alaka or Utpala. He lived in Kashmir in the 17th century, belonged to the Dhaumyāyana Clan, his father's name is Rājānaka Śaṅkarakaṇṭha<sup>25</sup>. He was a prolific writer, composed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>See [Bühler(1877), p. 45]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>[Krishnamachariar(1970), p.161], based on the breaking off of Alaka's commentary in canto 46, suggests that its last Sargas are not by Ratnākara, this argument is picked up and rejected by [Warder(1988), pp. 139 - 140] and [Sharma(1990), pp. 9 - 12].

 $<sup>^{2\</sup>dot{0}}$  In [Durga prasad and Parab(1890), p.638], Alaka's commentary ends with nagaropa, just as MS 189.

 $<sup>^{21}\</sup>mathrm{See}$  [Krishnamachariar(1970), p. 161]

 $<sup>^{22}</sup>$ See [NCC(1968), p.395]

 $<sup>^{23}\</sup>mathrm{See}$  below, p. 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>See the colophon at the beginning of his commentary: trinabhosti 1603 mite śāke [...], as well as on the last folio: likhitaś caisa mayā rājānakaratnakanthena sam 57 śāke 1603.

 $<sup>^{25} {\</sup>rm See}$ his colophon: [...] śrīśāradācaraņarajaḥpavitrasthalavāstavyarājanaka-śrīśaṅkarakaṇṭhātmajarājānakaratnakaṇṭhakrtāyām [...]

some poetry of his own, commentated on kāvya works, he copied many works, among which that MS to which M.A. Stein refers to as the codex archetypus of Kalhaṇa's Rājataraṅgiṇī<sup>26</sup>. In his commentary to stanza 1.1 he is also referring to an ancient commentator of the Haravijaya whom he does not name, reproducing the interpretation of the word sukhāṇi as "good sense organs" just as in Alaka's commentary.

#### 1.3.3 Utpala's Haravijayasāravivaraņa

Virtually nothing is known about Utpala and his commentary Haravijayasāravivaraņa. The New Catalogus Catalogorum lists him only with a reference to that single entry in the catalogue of Stein's MSS in Oxford being dealt with below.

## 1.4 Reception of the Haravijaya

Ratnākara and his work found mention and praise in Sanskrit anthologies and works on rhetorics.<sup>27</sup>. A famous quote is the following punning<sup>28</sup> verse by Rā-jaśekhara:

 $m\bar{a} sma santi hi catvārah prāyo ratnākarā ime / itīva sa krto dhātrā kavī ratnākaro 'parah /|<sup>2930</sup>$ 

Also among Indian Sanskrit scholars of today the Haravijaya is a work held in high esteem, but seldom it is actually studied, due to its high degree of difficulty.<sup>31</sup>. Apart from the two editions on it described below I am only aware of Dr. (Miss)

 $^{28} ratn\bar{a}kara,$  literally meaning "abode of jewels", is a word used for "ocean".

Thinking the four oceans were not enough, the creator made another ocean, the poet Ratnākara.

 $<sup>^{26}</sup>$ See [Stein(1900), vol. I, pp 46 - 47, footnote 6] for a full account of Ratnakantha's work as known to M.A. Stein as well as some anecdotes about him referring to his ability of writing very quickly.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>See [Sharma(1990), Appendix II] for a comprehensive list.

 $<sup>^{29}</sup>$ See Jalhaṇa's Sūktimuktāvalī 4.77 [Krishnamacharya<br/>(1938), p.46].

<sup>30</sup> 

<sup>(</sup>Translation by David Smith), see [Smith(1985), p.3]. <sup>31</sup>S.N. Mishra, (Personal Communication 2009)

Santosh Kumari Sharma's book<sup>32</sup> of which a major portion deals with analysis of the application of the rules and devices in the Haravijaya as defined in works of Alamkāraśāstra.

In the west, the entire genre of Mahākāvya has not received much attention. Kālidāsa was highly appreciated, but the technically more complicated Kāvya composed after him was essentially considered a genre in a state of decay, and the judgements passed on the Haravijaya by late 19th / early 20th century scholars like Schmidt<sup>33</sup> or Keith<sup>34</sup> gave the Haravijaya the reputation of simply being one of its most notorious examples. Consequently it is not surprising that the Haravijaya has received little attention by western scholars. The only comprehensive study of it by a European is that of David Smith published in 1985.<sup>35</sup> His book is a literary study which presents a positive perspective of the Haravijaya, describing Ratnākara's dealing with various in the Haravijaya like gods, women, politics. He sets the Haravijaya into its historical and literary context and, as he apparently likes it, defends it agains the criticism it has met.<sup>36</sup> More than a quarter century later, so far neither a translation of the Haravijaya into a European language nor any other comprehensive study of it has been published.

## 2 Sources

#### 2.1 Editions

#### 2.1.1 Kāvyamālā Edition 1890

This edition was prepared by Pandit Durgaprasad and Kasinath Pandurang Parab. It is the edition of the Haravijaya which is still most easily available in India as

 $<sup>^{32}[</sup>Sharma(1990)]$ 

 $<sup>^{33}[\</sup>text{Schmidt}(1915), \text{ p. } 260]$ "[...] als Ganzes betrachtet ist das Haravijayam schlechthin ein Monstrum."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup>[Keith(1920), p. 135]: "[...] his poem is a hopeless blunder [...]. No more striking instance exists than this of the utter lack of proportion which can afflict the minds of poets with considerable technical facility and abundant knowledge."

 $<sup>^{35}[</sup>Smith(1985)]$ 

 $<sup>^{36}</sup>$ See [Smith(1985), pp. 7-13] for a thorough refutation of Jacobi's argument that the Haravijaya lacks originality, which he sees as the origin of the Haravijaya's bad reputation in the west.

a reprint. It also contains the commentary of Alaka up to canto 46. About their MS sources they write the following in their introduction:

Even in Kashmir this poem, the Haravijaya, is not distributed widely. Only in the collection of Ganeśarājānaka one MS of it written on birch bark leaves exists of this poem. It is only copies of this, taken by some people which are found in different places in Kashmir. Also of its commentary, the Haravijayapadoddyota [sic.] there exists only one incorrect MS, which is broken off in the end, in the collection of the aforementioned person. We have taken a copy of exactly that MS as other local people. As of today, apart from copies of it no other MSS of commentary on the Haravijaya have come to our notice. And now one MS of the mūla of which the thirteen cantos at the beginning and in the middle two or three folios are missing, which is mostly correct, written in the town of Lahore in the year 1655 of the Vikrama era has been sent to us from the town of Gwalior by the Paurāņa Bhaṭṭa Śrī Puruṣottama Śāstri. Thus, basing ourselves on two or three MSS of mūla and țīka we have made efforts to print the crown jewel of Mahākāvya, comprising fifty cantos, which bears all the characteristic marks of a kāvya.<sup>37</sup>

At places the editors have identified quotes by Alaka, also testimony of Ratnākara's verses in the Alaṅkāraśāstras or anthologies has been recorded. Variant readings are recorded beginning in the 13th canto using the sigla ka for the MS from Kashmir and kha for the MS from Gwalior / Lahore<sup>38</sup>, prior that some emendations by the editors are documented as footnotes. This, however, is not relevant to the present work, as it does not occur in the first two cantos. There the only Siglum used for readings from Durgaprasad's Edition is  $E_D$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup>[Durgaprasad and Parab(1890), p.2]: idam haravijayakāvyam kāśmīreşv [em. for kaśmīreşv] api viralapracāram asti. kevalam gaņeśarājānakasamgrahe 'sya kāvyasyaikam bhūrjapattreşu likhitam pustakam asti. tatpratirūpakāny eva kaiścana grhītānītas tatah samupalabhyante kāśmīreşu. țīkā- (haravijayapadoddyota-) pustakam caikam evāśuddham, samāptau truţitam ca pūrvoktasya samgrahe 'sti. tatpratirūpakam eva asmābhir anyaiś ca tatratyair grhītam asti. tatpratirūpakāny ad dharavijayatīkāpustakam nādyāpi drggocarībhutam. adhunā caikam mūlapustakam prārambhe trayodaśasargahīnam madhye ca dvitrapatravikalam prāyah śuddham lāhoranagare 1655 mite vikramavarşe likhitam gvāhleranagarāt paurānikabhaţtaśrīpuruşottamaśāstribhir asmabhyam prahitam. evam dvitrāņi mūlaţīkāpustakāņu samavalambya pañcāśatsargātmakasyāsya nikhilakāvyalakṣanākrāntasya mahākāvyaśiromaņer mudraņe samudyuktāh smah.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup>See [Durgaprasad and Parab(1890), p.185].

#### 2.1.2 Critical Edition by Dr. Goparaju Rama from 1982

This Critical Edition comes in two volumes and was published in the Ganganatha Jha Kendriya Sanskrit Vidyapitha Text Series from Allahabad. It contains only Ratnākara's verses, no commentary. It is based on six MSS<sup>39</sup> which are all described as being in Devanāgarī script. Rama finds that the variants between the MSS he has used are not of serious nature and from that concludes that they might have been scribed from a single MS.

In my edition I have used following sigla for readings coming from his edition:  $E_R$  for the reading he accepts;  $E_{R_A}$  for his MS A, 486 of 1887-91, BORI, Poona;  $E_{R_B}$  for his MS B, 215 of 1875-76, BORI, Poona;  $E_{R_C}$  for his MS C, 216 of 1875-76, BORI, Poona;  $E_{R_D}$  for his MS D from Rajasthan Pracya Vidya Pratisthan, Jodhpur;  $E_{R_E}$  for his MS E from Royal Asiatic Society, Bombay;  $E_{R_F}$  for his MS F from Benares Hindu University, Varanasi. If several MSS of this group agree on a reading I combine like e.g.  $E_{R_{ABC}}$ 

#### 2.2 Manuscripts

All the MSS I have seen so far were all in Śāradā script. As far as the first two cantos are concerned, there is relative agreement between them, all the verses are complete and in the same order, with the exception of verse 2.20 which is missing in two MSS, there being an alternative reading for 2.19. Apart from the MS of Ratnakaṇṭha's Laghupañcikā I cannot be specific about the age of the MSS I've seen, but all of them were on paper, not on birch bark, which implies that they are not that old.<sup>40</sup> All of the MSS I physically had access to so far are part of the collection of Marc Aurel Stein, held at the Bodleian Libraries at Oxford, and they had been catalogued by Clauson<sup>41</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup>Dr. G.C. Tripathi, the editor of the series, in his foreword to it, [Rama(1982), p.6], refers to these six MSS procured from different MS libraries in India as "all what is available".

 $<sup>^{40}</sup>$ [Bühler(1877), pp. 29 - 34] describes that there are three kinds of MSS that can be found in Kashmir, old ones on birch bark written in Śāradā, younger ones on paper written in Śārada and even younger ones which are written in Devanāgarī.

 $<sup>^{41}</sup>$ See [Clauson(1912), pp 600 - 601]. I have made digital photographs of all the Haravijaya related MSS listed therein, except MS 244b, which, according to the librarians, has never been at the Bodleian Library. I am attaching a CD with these photos to this thesis.

#### 2.2.1 MS Stein 187 and 189

MS Stein 187 is bound together with MS Stein 189 into the Volume Stein Or. d. 52, in the style of a western book. MS Stein 187 contains the verses of the Haravijaya without commentary, MS Stein 189 contains Alaka's Viṣamapadoddyota commentary on the Haravijaya, starting in verse 01.05. The condition of the MSS is good. Stein 187 contains 159 folios, Stein 189 96 folios. The scribal colophon at the beginning of Stein 187 is om svasti || srīgaņesāya namaḥ || srī sarasvatyai ||,the one at the beginning of Stein 189 is srīgaņesāya namaḥ. They appear to be written by the same hand. In the apparatus I use the siglum S<sub>C</sub> for MS Stein 187.

#### 2.2.2 MS Stein 188

MS Stein 188 is the only manuscript contained in Stein Or. c. 11. It contains the first sarga of Ratnākara's Haravijaya together with the Laghupañcikā commentary of Ratnakaṇṭha, and is an autograph manuscript by Ratnakaṇṭha. It is bound horizontally and contains 13 folios. The mūla text is written in the lower third of each page, indented, with the commentary written on top and below of it. Ratnakaṇṭha's hand is very hard to read. In the apparatus I use the siglum  $S_A$ for this MS for its reading of the mūla and  $C_R$  for the commentary.

#### 2.2.3 MS of Utpala's Haravijayasāravivaraņa

This MS, Stein 294, a fragment containing the beginning of a copy of Utpalas Commentary up to somewhere in the third sarga is contained in a codex numbered "Stein Or. d 70" together with several other apparently unrelated MSS, most of which birch bark in bad condition, but Stein 294 is well preserved and readable. The commentary follows the convention that as pratīka for each verse the first two akṣaras are used, without appending *iti* to them. The numbers of the verses the commentary is referring to are not given. Also the pages were not bound in the proper order. In the catalogue of the Stein Collection it is described as written on 17th century paper and by Ratnakaṇṭha, the latter doesn't seem plausible to me, as the handwriting looks rather different from MS Stein 188 and also the quality of the text is not very good, it needs a lot of emendation. In some places the scribe has left some space apparently to mark lacunae in the MS he copied from. The scribal colophon at the beginning of the MS is  $\dot{srigane} \dot{saya}$  namah //  $om^{42}$  svasty astu prajābhyah //. In the apparatus I use the siglum C<sub>U</sub> for this MS.

#### 2.2.4 MS 757 from Srinagar Library

Another MS I have used is MS No. 757 of the Research Library of the Jammu and Kashmir Government at Srinagar. I had access to it only in form of a good scan as a pdf file. It contains the Haravijaya up to 6.30, along with fragments of a commentary in some places, which is identified as Ratnakaṇṭha's on a supplemental sheet and inside the book cover, and the PDF-file containing the scans of this MS is named "Haravijaya\_Ratnakantha\_Utpala.pdf". I have read it up to the end of canto two, up to which point it gives no reference to the author of the commentary. In places there are resemblances with the other commentaries, but all in all it appears to be yet another commentary. The MS is not dated, it is on paper and in good condition. It contains 87 folios. The scribal colophon at its beginning is om svasti || srīsarasvatyai ||. In the apparatus I use the siglum  $S_B$  for this MS.

 $<sup>^{42}</sup>$ The character used in the MS is something different from the standard om, I am following [Slaje(1993), p. 61] in my transliteration here.

## 3 Sanskrit Text

5

## 3.1 Sarga 1: Purāripurīvarņanaķ

Utpala: [fol.01v, l.1] om || sargārambhe 'py ajātāya bījarūpeņa tisthate

| dhātrā kṛtapraṇāmāya gaṇādhipataye namaḥ || oṃ namo vighnahantre 'stu vighnavāraṇahāriṇe | ahīndrahāriṇe durgabhavāmbunidhitāriṇe || oṃ iha hi samagragranthārambhe svābhimatadevatāsaṅkīrtanam avaśyakartavyam iti sadācāram anupālayan | pra ⊔ nudvaitātaṅkakalaṅkitacetovṛtti tāṃ prakaṭayan ⊔ pi devatāṃ kāṃcin namasyet †santha†devatā iti | haribrahmaśivān api pratyūhaśāntaye kavis saṅkīrtayati | kaṇṭhaśriyam ityādi ⊔ | [fol.01v, 1.8]

Ratnakaņtha: [fol.01v, l.1] om namah śrīśivabhațtārakāya | om namo vigh-

nahartre | om namah srīsiddhalakṣmyai || śreyah śivādvayajuṣo diśatāt sa eko vakṣojahemakalaśo girirājaputryāh | ṣaḍvaktrahastivadanāv amṛtam yadīyam pātum mithah kalaham ātanuto nitāntam | rakṣatu kalaherambas trijagadvandyas sa herambah | bahuvidhaśṛṅgāracitam yadvadanam śambhunā racitam | sevaka-

janasiddharamām tām devīm namata siddharamām yā vandyā vibudhagaņaih stutyā yā bhūtale ca vibudhagaņaih | trinabhoṣți 1603 mite śāke dhaumyāyanakulodbhavah kaśmīradeśavāstavyo ratnakaņṭhābhidhah sudhīh | vipaścinmaņḍalīratnaratnākaramahākaveh gabhīravitate kāvye karoti laghupañcikām | yugmam | [fol.01v, l.6]

**Utpala 0.0** sargārambhe] conj.; sargānvape  $C_U$ Utpala 0.1 krtapranāmāya] em.; krtapramānāya  $C_U$  Utpala 0.2 durga] punctuation removed; durga |  $C_U$  Utpala 0.3 ārambhe] [punctuation removed];  $\bar{a}$ rambhe |  $C_U$  Utpala 0.3 sv $\bar{a}$ bhimata] em.; sv $\bar{a}$ nt $\bar{a}$ bhimata  $C_U$  Utpala 0.3 iti] [Punctuation removed.]; iti |  $C_U$ Utpala 0.4 anupālayan] em.; anupālaya  $S_{U}$ Utpala 0.4 kalankita] kalankitam  $C_{U^{ac}}$ Utpala 0.4 prakatayan] em.; prakata ⊔ Utpala 0.6 kanthaśriyam ityādi  $\sqcup$  [] em., [The scribe did not mark a lacuna here, as he did in other places. Also the pratīka for the next verse is missing. ]; kanthaśriyam ityādi |  $C_U$ **Ratnakantha 0.6** trinabhosti 1603 mite śāke] Marked red in  $C_R$ . Ratnakaņțha 0.7 vipaścinmaņdalīratnaratnākaramahākaveh gabhīravitate kāvye karoti laghupañcikām] Marked red in  $C_R$ .

**Ratnakaṇṭha 0.1** śreyaḥ śivādvayajuṣo diśatāt sa eko] With this verse and the following four, up to *yugmam*, Ratnakaṇṭha also commenced his commentary on the Stutikusumāñjali, adjusting the latter two verses to the date and the name of the work he comments on. Cf. [Durgaprasad and Parab(1891), p.1].

mahākāvyārambhe mahākaviḥ sahṛdayajanaprotsāhanārtham āśirvākyam 10 prayunkte | [fol.01v, l.7]

kaṇṭhaśriyaṃ kuvalayastavakābhirāmadāmānukārivikaṭacchavikālakūṭām | bibhrat sukhāni diśatād upahārapīta dhūpotthadhūmamalinām iva dhūrjaṭir vaḥ || 1 ||

Utpala: [fol.01v, l.8] dhūrjațih śańkaro yuşmabhyam sukhāni śreyāmsi dadyāt diśyāt vitaratu | kīdṛśaḥ | kuvalayastavakair nīlotpalagucchair abhirāmam cāru yad dāma sragdāma tattulyo vikaţacchavir bahalakāntiḥ kālakūţo vişam yasyās tathāvidhām kaṇṭhaśriyam dhārayan | ataś ca upahāreşu pūjāsamayeşu | bhaktajanadayālutvāt pīto yo dhūpadhūmas teneva malinām ity utprekşā | atha dvitīyo 'rthaḥ | dhūrjațiḥ sukhāni śobhanāni svakāryakṣamāṇy aviṣayāpavartīni khāni indriyāṇi diśatāt sampādayatu | kīdṛśaḥ | kuvalaye bhūmaṇḍale ye stavakāḥ stotāras teṣām abhirāmasyābhilaṣitasya dāmā dātā | stavakā ity atra stautīti stavaḥ stava eva stavaka iti vyutpattir draṣṭavyā | kīdṛśīm kaṇṭhaśriyam | anukāḥ śṛṅgāriṇas teṣām ariḥ kāmas tathā vikaṭacchavir bhīṣaṇakāntir yaḥ kālas tayoḥ kūṭām dāhikām upahāram hārasamīpe yaḥ pītaḥ kapiśo dhūpas santāpo 'rthāc ca hāroragaphūtkṛtāgnisambandhī tadutthena dhūpeneva malinām | etena vakṣyamāṇaśabdabhaṅgādi kaṭākṣitam || 1 || [fol.01v, l.21]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.01v, l.7] kaņţhaśriyam iti | dhūrjaţiḥ | jaţa jhaţa saṅghāte sarvadhātubhya itīn | jaţir jaţā śilā śilīti dvirūpakoṣaḥ | dhuras trailokyacinta yāḥ jaţis saṅghāto 'tra dhūrjaţiḥ dhūr gaṅgā jaţā 'sya pṛṣodarāditvād ākārasyetvam iti svāmī bhrāntaḥ uktarūpeṇa jaţisamādhānāt | dhūḥ bhārabhūtā

Ratnakaņțha 1.2 jațir jațā śilā śilīti] DvirūpakoṣaḥRatnakaņțha 1.3 dhūr gaṅgā jațā] Pāṇini 6.3.109

<sup>1</sup>a stavakābhirāma]  $\Sigma_{\dot{S}}$ ; stabakābhirāma  $\Sigma_E$  1b dāmānukāri]  $\dot{S}_{AB^{pc}}E_{RD}$ ; dhāmānukāri  $\dot{S}_{B^{ac}C}E_{R_B}$  1d dhūpottha]  $\Sigma$ ; dhūmottha  $\dot{S}_B$  Utpala 1.2 |] [Punctuation added.] Utpala 1.3 vikaţacchavir] em.; viṣamacchavir C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 1.5 pīto] em.; prīto C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 1.6 svakāryakṣamāṇy] em.; svakāryakṣamāni C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 1.7 |] [Punctuation added.] Utpala 1.11 dāhikām] em.; dāhikām C<sub>U</sub>

- ja†tā†h jatā 'syeti kaumudī iti rāyyamukutīkārah dhūrjatih śrī śivabhattārakah vo 5yusmabhyam sukhāni bhogāpavargarūpāni diśatāt vitaratu kimbhūtah kanthasya galasya sriyam sobhām bibhrat srī susobhā laksmī [fol.02r, l.1] samrddhisv iti mankhah kimbhūtām kuvalayetyādivišistām kau bhūmau valate vala valla samvarane taleh kayann iti bāhularkādato 'pi kayan kuvalayam | kau valayam śob-
- hākaratvād ity svāmī | kuvalayam syād indīvaram ity apīti vyādih kuvalayam 10 nīlotpalam ca | kuvalayānām nīlotpalānām stavakāh gucchāh tesām abhirāmam dāma | mālā tadanukārī vikatacchavih pracurarucih kālakūto visavišesah | yasyās tām | atrotpreksām āha | upahāretyādi | śrīśivaśāsanoktā sakalaniskaleśvarabhairavamahāpūjā upahārah tatra pītena caturdaśāngapinākipriyadhūpaprab-
- havena [fol.02r, 1.5] dhūmena malinām iveti | etasya ca vrttasya vastusamvā-15dah svāmidattaviracite prācīnagranthakrtkrtavastuvādasangrahe cakrapānivijaye yathā | kanthaśriyam kuvalayastavakābhirāmadāmānukārivikatacchavikālakūtām iti haravijakāraśrīrājānakaratnākarasya | kālakūtarucim śyāmam dadhāno mangalam galam | diśyāt siddhārcanāpītadhūpadhūmram iveśvarah | iti vararu-
- cikaveh | kuvalayadaladāmasyāmasatkālakūtadyuticayanicitā yah kanthasīmā 20surāreh suramunijanapūjāghrātakrsnāgurūtthapravarabahuladhūmasyāmalair vāstubhūtyai | āryabhatakaveh | evam anyesām api mahākavīnām pratibhāsamvādah laksye drśyate eva ye paraparidrstham [fol.02r, 1.10] artham svapne 'pi nā svādayeyuh | tathā coktam | tasmād atra yad arthasya sārūpyam avab-
- hāti tat | samvādam prātibham prāhuh na tu pusnāti dustatām iti || tathā ca 25śrīdhvanikārāh dhvaner yas sagunībhūtavyangyasyādvāpradarśitah anenānantyam āyāti kavīnām pratibhāguna iti ya dhvaner gunībhūtavyangyasya ca mārgah prakāśitas tasya phalāntaram kavipratibhānantyam | katham iti cet ato hy anyatamenāpi prakāreņa vibhūsitā vāņī navatvam āyāti [fol.02v, l.1] pūrvārthānvayavaty

30

api | tato hi dhvaner uktaprabhedamadhyād anyatamenāpi prakārena vibhūsitā **Ratnakantha 1.7** sobhām]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; Probably Ratnakantha first wrote *bibhrat*, then cancelled it and overwrote it with  $\dot{s}obh\bar{a}m$ , and finding that it was hard to read wrote one more time  $\dot{s}obh\bar{a}m$ below the line. Ratnakantha 1.7 [fol.02r, l.1]] [There is a marginal insertion on top of this folio, *iti rāyamukutyām*, partially covered by material used for binding the codex. I could not find where to insert it.] Ratnakantha 1.16 krtkrta ]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; krtkrta [The aksara tkr was first written above a cancelled illegible aksara, then written again above the line, and a third time on the right margin of the folio.] **Ratnakantha 1.18** iti]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; [An illegible aksara following the first *i* of *iti* was cancelled and marked yellow, *ti* written above.

Ratnakantha 1.8 kau bhūmau valate vala valla samvarane] Dhātupātha 1.520, 521

satīvānīpurāt anakavinibasthārthasampesevatyapi navatvam āyāti || tathā hy avivaksitavācyasya dhvaneh prakāradvayasamāśrayena navatvam pūrvārthānugame 'pi yathā smitam kiñcit mugdham taralam apurodrstivibhavah parispandavo mām abhinavavilāsoktir sarasah gatīnām ārambhah kisalatalīlāparimalah sprśāntyās tām anyām kim iva hi naramyam mrgadrśah ity asya || sa vibhramasmitodbhedena 35lolāksyah praskhalatgirah nitambālasagāminyah kāminyah kasya na priyāh ity evamādisu ślokesu [fol.02v, l.5] satsv api tiraskrtavācyadhvanisamāśrayeņa apūrvatvam eva pratibhāsate | evam anyatrāpi tathā drstapūrvā api hy arthāh kāvye ramaparigrahāt | sarve navā ivābhānti madhumāsa iva drumāh iti || tad evam asmān mahākaveh prācīnagranthakrtpūrvākvānugame 'pi vastusamvāde adusthatā 40 iti siddham tathāpi anyo 'py artho 'tra prācīnatīkākārair vyākhyātas so 'py atra likhyate yathā dhūrjatih śrīśambhuh vo yuşmabhyam sukhāni suśobhanāni ca tāni khāni indriyāni tesām śobhanatvam visayair apratibandhāt muktyupāyam indriyajayam vah kriyād ity arthah kimbhūtah kanthaśobhām bibhrat | kimbhūtām tām kau bhūme valayam bhūmandalam gotrārthah prthivī prthvīty amarah 45tatra stautīti stavah pacādy ac stava eva stavakah stutiparapūjakajanah [fol.02v, 1.10] tasya abhirāmam vastu dadātīti dāmā dātā tasya te anyebhyo 'pi drśyate iti manin tad evam arthah ksititalavartino 'pi bhaktajanasya manah priyadah kimbhūto dhūrjatih anukah kāmukobhika ity amarah tesām arih prahantā kāmah tasya tathā kālasya krtāntasya ca kūtā dāhikā kuta dāhe dhātuh tādršīm kanthaśriyam 50bibhrat kīdršīm iva hārasva harīkrtasva vāsukeh višesena katān višistatavā gacchan sarvatradeśe kāle ca nirargalaprasrtatayā pravrttah katakit gatau dhātuh vikatantīcchavih yasyāh sā tathā pītah [fol.03r, l.1] kapiśakāntih yo dhūpah dhūpa

santāpe iti dhātvarthaparyālocanayā santāpako dahanaḥ tadutthena dhūmenopa- **Ratnakaņṭha 1.38** anyatrāpi tathā]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; anyatrāpi  $C_{R^{ac}}$  **Ratnakaņṭha 1.51** vāsukeḥ višeṣeṇa kaṭān višiṣṭatayā gacchan sarvatradeśe kāle ca nirargalaprasṛtatayā pravṛttaḥ kaṭakiṭ gatau dhātuḥ vikaṭantīcchaviḥ yasyāḥ sā tathā]  $C_{R^{pc}}$  [Insertion on the bottom left margin marked ++; There is another cancelled insertion at the very lower right corner of the folio, marked in yellow, reading the same text (viśeṣeṇa ... gatau dhāṭuḥ [...], in between which and the last regular line there is another cancelled insertion marked +, reading pītaḥ kapiśakāntiḥ [.]]; vāsukeḥ yaḥ vikaṭacchapiḥ  $C_{R^{ac}}$  **Ratnakaṇṭha 1.52** dhātuḥ]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; [Something illegible cancelled after dhātuḥ, possibly Ratnakaṇṭha started to write yasyāḥ there.] **Ratnakaṇṭha 1.53** yasyāḥ sā tathā]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; yasyāḥ sā tādṛśo [....]  $C_{R^{ac}}$ 

Ratnakantha 1.46 pacādy ac] Pāņini 3.1.134

Ratnakantha 1.47 anyebhyo 'pi drsyate] Pānini 3.2.178, 3.3.130

gatena malinām ivātra kālakūţakrtam kālatvam yad asti tad eva pratīyamānam utprekṣā bījam āśritya vāsukiviṣāgnidhūmajanitam mālinyam atiśayāyotprekṣitam iti || atra utprekṣālaṅkāraḥ sambhāvanam athotprekṣā prakrtasya parena yat |
1 | iti śrīkāvyaprakāśakāraḥ pareneti aprakrtena atra ca vasantatilakā cchandaḥ proktā vasantatilakā tabhajā jagau gaḥ tagaṇaḥ bhagaṇaḥ jagaṇaḥ jagaṇaḥ gurudvayam ceti | māmsīparpaṭasilhakṣaudramalayam [fol.03r, l.5] mustām śarālam nakham bolam kundurakam puram ca tagaram sarvais samam guggulum | sandhāyātra śaśāṅkanābhighusṛṇam cāloḍya nikṣepayet marṣam silharasena manditam ayam dhūpaḥ pinākipriyaḥ | [fol.03r, l.6]

jṛmbhāvikāsitamukhaṃ nakhadarpaṇānta rāviṣkṛtapratimukhaṃ gururoṣagarbham | rūpaṃ punātu janitāricamūvimarśam udvṛttadaityavadhanirvahaṇaṃ harer vaḥ || 2 ||

5

5

**Utpala:** [fol.01v, l.21] jṛmbhā | jṛmbhāvikāsitamukham ityādivišeṣaṇasāmarthyād dhariśabdenātra narasimhamūrtir bhagavāml lakṣyate | tadrūpam vaḥ pavitrīkarotu | nakhā eva darpaṇā ādarśā āviṣkṛtam pratimukham mukhapratimā garbho 'ntaḥsthita  $\sqcup$  nirvahaṇam kāryasiddhiḥ rūpam nārasimham bhīmo bhīmasena ityādivat tatrāpi mukhapratimukhagarbhāvamarśanirvahaṇ - [fol.02r, l.1] ākhyāḥ pañca sandhayo bhavanti | iha ca kāvye mukhādyāḥ sandhayo niṣpannā iti kaver abhiprāyaḥ || 2 || [fol.02r, l.2]

Ratnakantha: [fol.03r, l.6] jrmbheti | viśeṣanasāmarthyād harir iha

narasimharūpo viṣṇuḥ tasya rūpaṃ saṃsthānam ākṛtyaparaparyāyaṃ vaḥ yuṣmān punātu kalimalaśodhanena pavitrīkarotu kiṃbhūtaṃ tat jṛmbhā dhyāmikā kaśmīreṣu jāmān iti bhāṣayā prasiddhā madhyadeśe hāmbhī iti khyātā ca tayā hetunā vikāsitaṃ vyāttaṃ mukham ānanaṃ yasmin tathā nakhā eva dhavalatvād darpanāḥ tesu tanmadhye āviṣkṛtaṃ pratimukham pratibimbanam yena tat tathā

Ratnakaņţha 1.62 śaśāṅka] [Insertion above the line:  $karp\bar{u}ra$ .] C<sub>R</sub> Ratnakaņţha 1.62 nābhi] [Insertion above the line:  $kast\bar{u}r\bar{i}$ .] C<sub>R</sub> Utpala 2.2 dhariśabdenātra] em.; dhariśabdenānya C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 2.5 nirvahaņ - [fol.02r, l.1] ākhyāḥ] em.; nirvahaṇamadhyaḥ C<sub>U</sub> Ratnakaņţha 2.3 bhāṣayā prasiddhā] C<sub>R<sup>pc</sup></sub>; prasiddhā C<sub>R<sup>ac</sup></sub>

guruh mahān rosah garbhe 'bhyantare yasya tat | tathā | janita utpāditah aricamūnām śatruvāhinīnām vimaršah prānasamšayarūpo vena tādršam | tathā | udvrttah [fol.03r, l.10] tribhuvanakantako yah daityah hiranyakasipuh tasya yo vadhah vidaranam tasya nirvahanam nispādakam | atha ca rūpam rūpakam nātakā-

10

didaśarūpakam | tad iva rūpam iti śabdaśaktyāksiptā upamātra dhvanyate | tatra ca | rūpake mukhapratimukhagarbhavimarśananirvahanākhyāh pañca sandhayo bhavanti bījanāmno 'rthaprakrter avasthāvišesāh | uktam ca yatra bījasamutpattir nānārtharasasambhavā | kāvye śarīrānugatam tad mukham parikīrtitam ityādi tathā pañcasandhi caturvrtticatussastyangasam yutam sattrimśallaksanāpetum nātakam kavayo vidur iti ca | 2 [fol.03r, l.14]

15

5

paryantavartiparipāndurapattrapankti padmāsanāsanakuśeśayakosacakram yusmān punātu dadhad uddhatadugdhasindhu vīcicchatāvalayitāmaraśailalīlām || 3 ||

Utpala: [fol.02r, l.2] parya | padmāsanasya brahmana āsanapadme yat kośacakram karnikā tad vah punātu | cchatā cchuranacarcety arthah | tathā valayito veșțito yo merus tena līlāsāmyam || 3 || [fol.02r, 1.4]

Ratnakantha: [fol.03r, l.14] paryantavarttīti | padmāsano brahmā tasya

āsanam āsanabhūtam yat kuśeśayam padmam | atra kuśeśayasya sāmānyapadmavrttitvepi visesanasāmarthyāt kusesavam pundarīkam tatra kosah karnikā | sa eva cakram mandalākrti | [fol.04r, l.1] yuşmān | punātu sakalamalāpasaranena pavitrīkarotu kimbhūtam paryante vartate iti tādrśī paritah pāndurā pattrānām panktih yasya tat | punah kimbhūtam uddhatah mahākallolollolitah yo dugdhasindhuh ksīrārnavah tasya yāh vīcicchatāh tābhir valayito vestitah yah amaraśailah hemādrih tasya līlām śobhām dadhat bibhrat | atra ca Ratnakantha 2.6 roṣah]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; yoṣah  $C_{R^{ac}}$ Ratnakantha 2.6 'bhyantare] [Avagraha added]; bhyantare  $C_U$ Ratnakantha 2.9 tasya] em.; nasya  $C_U$ Ratnakantha **2.12** avasthāvišesāh [] [Punctuation added.]; avasthāvišesāh  $C_R$ **3a** pańkti]  $\Sigma_{\dot{S}}$ ; pańkti -[The hyphen is signifying a compound.]  $\Sigma_E$  **3b** koṣa]  $\Sigma_E \hat{S}_C$ ; kośa  $\hat{S}_{AB}$  **Utpala.3.1** parya] em.; paryu  $C_U$  Utpala 3.2 punātu] em.; panātu  $C_U$  Utpala 3.2 valayito] valayito  $C_{U_A}$ **Utpala 3.3** veșțito] em., cf.  $C_A$ ; *'vașthito*  $C_U$ 

ivādyanupādānāt pratīyamanopamā 3 [fol.04r, l.3] athāto mahākavir haravijayākhyam granthottamam ārabhamāņa āha |

asty unnate surasarijjaladhāvyamāna -

5

bhāge navārkaruci mandaraśailaśrige | jyotsnāvatīti nagarī bhuvanatrayaika -

bhū<br/>ṣā vṛṣānkaśirasīva śaśānkalekhā || 4 ||

Utpala: [fol.02r, l.4] astyu | mandarādrišrnige jyotsnāvatī nāma nagarī astīti | āliniganetyādiślokam yāvat kriyā astīti nityatvād vartamānanirdešo vahanti sarita ityādivat | surasarid gangā navair lokottarair arkākhyais tarubhis sphațikair vā ruk kāntir yatra śarvottamānige iva candralekhā tatra †navasya aruņodgatasyeva† ruk kapišatvāt | sāpi jyotsnāvatī sakaumudīkā | anyat samānam || 4 || [fol.02r, l.9]

Ratnakantha: [fol.04r, l.3] astīti | jyotsnāvatīśabdo 'tra tantranyāyena dviru-

pātto višeṣyevišeṣane ca veditavyaḥ itišabdaḥ prakāre nagarīpakṣe | candrakalāpakṣe itišabdo hetau iti prakaraṇe [fol.04r, l.5] hetau prakārādisamāptiṣu nirdaršane prakarṣe syād anutkarṣe ca sammata iti višvaḥ mandaraśailaśṛṅge mandarākhyaparvatasānuni | jyotsnāvatī nagarī bhuvanatrayaikabhūṣā asti astīti nitya-

- 5 pravrttāyām bhavantyām vartamāne lat kā kasminn iva vrsānkasirasi sírīsambhumūrdhni sasānkalekhā candrakaleva | kimbhūte sirnge surasarijjalena svargangājalena dhāvyamānah praksālyamānah bhāgah taddeso yasya tat tādrse | tathā | navārkaruci | arkah sphatikasūryayor ity amarah navaih unnate bahuyojanasahasrāny aunnatyayukte tathā arkaih sphatikopalaih rocate dīpyate kvip tādrsi
- vṛṣāṅkaśirasy api kimbhūte unnate utkandharatvavati tathā surasarijjalena svar-Ratnakaņţha 3.0 tasya āsanam āsanabhūtam yat] C<sub>R<sup>pc</sup></sub>; tasya C<sub>R<sup>ac</sup></sub> Ratnakaņţha
  3.5 mahākallolollolitaḥ] C<sub>R<sup>ac</sup></sub>; [unclear] C<sub>R<sup>pc</sup></sub> Ratnakaņţha 3.7 dadhat bibhrat] [Insertion on the left margin.] C<sub>R<sup>pc</sup></sub>; bibhrat C<sub>R<sup>ac</sup></sub> 4d vṛṣāṅkaśirasīva] Σ; girīśaśirasīva Ś<sub>B<sup>ac</sup></sub>; girīśasarasīva Ś<sub>B<sup>ac</sup></sub> 4d lekhā] Σ; rekhā c.f. C<sub>U</sub> rekhāśabdo Utpala 4.2 ślokam] em., c.f. Alaka; C<sub>U</sub> ślokaḥ Utpala 4.4 tatra †navasya aruņodgatasyeva† ruk] conj., c.f. Alaka tatrodgatasyārkasyeva ruk; tatra †nava ⊔ ņodgatasyeva† ruk C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 4.5 sakaumudīkā []
  [Punctuation added.] Ratnakaņţha 4.4 bhuvanatrayaikabhūṣā asti] C<sub>R<sup>pc</sup></sub> bhuvanatrayaikabhūṣā asti; asti C<sub>R<sup>ac</sup></sub> Ratnakaņţha 4.8 navaiḥ unnate bahuyojanasahasrāņy aunnatyayukte tathā ] C<sub>R<sup>pc</sup></sub>; navaiḥ C<sub>R<sup>ac</sup></sub>

Ratnakantha 4.8 arkah sphatikasūryayor] Amarakosa 3.3.321

gangāmbhasā dhāvyamānah bhāgah ūrdhvabhāge yasya tat tādrse tathā navah prātahkālino yah arkah sūryah tadvat ruk dīptih yasya tat tādrse | srīšambhuh nīlo gale lohitas ca kesesu nīlalohita ity āgamah candrakalāpi bhuvanatrayaikabhūsāsti | kimbhūtā jyotsnāvatī jyotsnā candrikā asyā astīti tādrsī | atra slistasabdamūlā upamā | [fol.04r, l.11]

15

5

athātaḥ ślokaikona triṃśatyā mahākulakena nagarīvarṇanaṃ vaktukāma āha

yasyām niśāsu gaganam navapadmarāga sadmaprabhāruņitamadhyagatendulekham | vakṣo nṛsiṃhanakharair asurādhipasya sāsṛkchaṭaṃ viṣamabhinnam ivācakāsti || 5 ||

Utpala: [fol.02r, l.9] yasyām | padmarāgamayapadmaprabhāruņitam ca tat madhyagatendulekham ca | rekhāśabdo 'trārdhacandropalakṣaṇam niśi nabhaso madhye † kalāmātrasyāsambhavāt † | narasimhanakhais tiryagvidāritam saraktacchaṭam hiraṇyakaśipor vakṣa iva || 5 || [fol.02r, l.12]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.04r, l.11] yasyām iti | sā kā ity āha yasyām nagaryām navāh nutanāh ye padmarāgamaņayah teṣām prabhayā aruņitā madhyagatā indulekhā ekakalātvād vakrā yasmims tat tādṛśam sat gaganam asurādhipasya hiraṇyakaśipoh vakṣa ivā cakāsti rājate [fol.04v, l.1] atra ivaśabda apadasthapadatvena bhinnakramo 'pi vakṣa ity anena samyojyah | vakṣaḥ kimbhūtaṃ iva viṣamabhinnaṃ viṣamaṃ kṛtyābhinnaṃ kaiḥ nṛsiṃhanakharaiḥ narasiṃharūpaviṣṇoḥ nakhaiḥ svabhāvataḥ kūțilaiḥ punaḥ kiṃbhūtaṃ sāsṛkchaṭaṃ sarudhirapaṅktiḥ | 5 | [fol.04v, l.2]

**Ratnakaņţha 4.13** bhūṣāsti]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; bhūṣā asti  $C_{R^{ac}}$  **Ratnakaņţha 4.16** ślokaikona]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; ślokaina  $C_{R^{ac}}$  **Utpala 5.2** ca |] [Punctuation added.] **Utpala 5.2** nabhaso] nasabho **Utpala 5.3** kalāmātrasyāsambhavāt] conj., cf.  $C_A$  kalāmātrasyāsambhavāt; kalāmātrasyā  $\sqcup$  vata  $C_U$  **Ratnakaņţha 5.1** sā kā ity āha yasyām]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; yasyām  $C_{R^{ac}}$  **Ratnakaņţha 5.3** sat gaganam]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; sat  $C_{R^{ac}}$  **Ratnakaņţha 5.5** ivaśabda apadastha]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; ivaśabdo dūrastham apadastha  $C_{R^{ac}}$ 

jyotīrasāśmabhavanājiradugdhasindhur atyunmiṣatpracuratuṅgamarīcivīciḥ | vātāyanasthitavadhūvadanendubimba saṃdarśanād aniśam ullasatīva yasyām || 6 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.02r, l.12] jyotī | jyotīrasāśmānaḥ sphațikopalās tanmayabhavanāny eva dugdhābdhiḥ aniśaṃ sadā iti vyatireko dhvanitaḥ |  $\sqcup$  †ka† samudra ullasati || 6 || [fol.02r, l.14]

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.04v, l.2] jyotīraseti sā nagarī kā ity āha | yasyām nagaryām jyotīrasāśmanaḥ sphaţikamaṇayaḥ jyotīrasaś ca galvarkaḥ sphaţikāś śāsitopala iti koṣaḥ teṣām yat ajiram gṛhāṅganam tad eva dugdhasindhuḥ kṣīrārṇavaḥ | abhitaḥ ullasantyaḥ pracurā bahulāḥ tuṅgāḥ marīcayaḥ raśmaya eva vīcayo yasya sa tādṛśaḥ san anīśaṃ sadā ullasati bhrājate ullāsam āsādayati ca atrotprekṣā | kasmād iva vātāyaneṣu gavākṣeṣu jālamārgeṣu sthitāḥ yāḥ vadhūḥ sīmantinyaḥ tāsām mukhendubimbasandarśanād iva | indubimbadarśanam hi dugdhodadher ullāsahetuḥ | 6 | [fol.04v, l.6]

gaṇḍasthalīḥ saghanagharmajalā rateṣu lagnāvataṃsakusumacyutakesarāgrāḥ | abhyāpatanmadanamārgaṇapuṅkhapakṣa pakṣmākulā iva dadhuḥ pramadāś ca yasyām || 7 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.02r, l.14] gaņḍa | avataṃsaḥ karṇapūre 'tra pakṣmāṇi sūkṣmapakṣāḥ dadhur †dhatruḥ† || 7 || [fol.02r, l.15]

5

<sup>6</sup>b atyunmişat]  $\Sigma$ ; abhyullasat  $\dot{S}_A$  Utpala 6.1 jyotīrasāśmānaḥ] em.; jyotīrasāśmanaḥ  $C_U$ Utpala 6.1 sphațikopalās] em. ; sphațikopalā  $C_U$  Utpala 6.2 sadā] conj., cf.  $C_R$ ; sarvam  $C_U$  Ratnakaņţha 6.3 grhāṅganaṃ]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; [something hard to read, marked yellow, maybe grānganaṃ.]  $C_{R^{ac}}$  Ratnakaṇţha 6.5 anīśaṃ sadā ullasati] [Written twice, once above the line, once on the right margin.] $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; ullasati  $C_{R^{ac}}$  Ratnakaṇţha 6.6 tāsāṃ]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; [unclear, maybe teṣām]  $C_{R^{ac}}$  7b lagnāvataṃsa]  $\Sigma$ ; karņāvataṃsa footnote of  $E_R$ : "Ed. The reading of the contemporary period is karņāvataṃsa, (See kāvyālaṃkārasūtravṛtti of Vāmana 2.2.14)"

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.04v, l.6] gaņḍasthalīr iti | yasyām nagaryām jālamārgeṣu rateṣu nidhuvaneṣu saghanagharmajalāḥ sapracurasvedodakāḥ ata eva lagnāni avatamsakusumebhyaḥ cyutāni kesarāgrāni yāsu tāḥ tādṛśīḥ gaṇḍasthalīḥ kapolabhittīḥ karmabhūtāḥ pramadā varāṅganāḥ dadhuḥ atrotprekṣā kīdṛśīr iva | abhyāpatantaḥ abhitaḥ āpatatuḥ ye madanamārgaṇāḥ kāmaśarāḥ teṣām puṅkheṣu pakṣapakṣmāṇi pakṣasūkṣmalocāni tair ākulāḥ vyāptā iva | ayam bhāvaḥ rateṣu avatamsacyutakesarāgravyāptāḥ gaṇḍasthalyaḥ pramadānām nāsan kintu āpuṅkhāgranimagnamadanaśarapakṣasūkṣmalomavyāptā ivāsan | ity arthaḥ | 7 [fol.04v, 1.9]

sīmantinījanamanaḥsu samādhibhaṅga kopānalena ciradagdham apīndumauleḥ | utthāpayan madanam āśu karair vibhāti yasyāṃ himāṃśur avalambitajālamārgaḥ || 8 ||

5

Utpala: [fol.02r, l.15] sīma | karai raśmibhir utthāpayan prabodhayan taddarśanāt tāsām kāmavrddheḥ | avalambita āśrito jālamārgo gavākṣavivaradeśo yena | jālamārgaś ca kapaṭaḥ | indrajālī hi kamcid dagdham api hastenotthāpayati || 8 || [fol.02r, l.18]

Ratnakantha: [fol.04v, 1.9] sīmantinīti | yasyām nagaryām avalambitah jālamargah gavāksadeśo yena sa tādrk himāmśuh himakarah indumauleh śambhoh kopānalena samādhibhangodbhūtena ciram pūrvasmin kāle [fol.05r, l.1] dagdham api madanam karaih kiranair eva karaih pānibhih sīmantinījanamanassu varakāminījanacittesu āśu śīghram eva utthāpayan uddīpayan vibhāti | atha ca yah ko pi avalambitah jālasya vyājasya indrajālasya mārgah yena ca avalambita-Ratnakantha **7.1** nagaryām jālamārgesu]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; nagaryām  $C_{R^{ac}}$ Ratnakantha 7.2 sapracurasvedodakāh ]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; sapracurasvedodakāh gaņdasthalīh kapolasthalīh  $C_{R^{ac}}$  Ratnakaņțha 7.2 lagnāni]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; [Something overwritten.]  $C_{R^{ac}}$  Ratnakaņtha 7.2 avataņsa]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; [Three aksaras cancelled and marked yellow after *avatamsa*, possibly beginning with *bhya* and ending with  $\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ .]  $C_{R^{ac}}$  **8b** mauleh.]  $\Sigma$ ; maulih  $\hat{S}_A$  **Utpala 8.1** sīma.]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; sīmī  $C_{U^{ac}}$  Utpala 8.1 prabodhayan] em.; prābhodayan  $C_U$  Ratnakaņţha 8.3 pūrvasmin kāle] em.; rvasmin kāle [Something possibly starting with p $\bar{u}$  is cancelled at the end of folio 4v.]  $C_U$ **Ratnakaņtha 8.6** jālasya vyājasya]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; jālasya  $C_{R^{ac}}$ 

jālamarga<br/>ḥ aindrajālikaḥ ciradagdham api kaṃcit karaiḥ pāṇisparśair ut<br/>thāpayati pratyujjīvayati iti śabdaśakty<br/>artho dhvaniḥ | 8 | [fol.05r, l.3]

yasyām rateșu pṛthupīnanitambabimba śobhā sphuraddaśanapaṅktikṛśāṅgayaṣṭiḥ | kūrmīva puṣpadhanuṣaḥ priyapāṇijāgra koṇāvamarśamadhurakvaṇitāṅganāsīt || 9 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.02r, l.18] yasyām | daśanapańktiḥ radanakṣatālī priyanakhāgrāṇam konaiś śikharair avamarṣeṇa kṣatena madhuram kvaṇitam sītkṛtam yasyāḥ kāmasya kūrmī trisarikeva sāpi pṛthunitambā bṛhatprasthikā sphuraddaśanapaṅktiḥ svararacanārtham kṛtakolambālī kṛśadehadaṇḍikā ca tatpakṣe pāṇijāgrasthitaḥ kono vādanakāstham tadāhatyām kalam kvaṇitam madhuradhvanih || 9 || [fol.02r,

1.23]

5

Ratnakantha: [fol.05r, l.3] yasyām iti || yasyām nagaryām prthuh mahān pīno yah nitambabimbas tasya šobhā yasyām sā tathā sphuranttī dašanānām radānām panktir yasyās sā tādrśī tathā krsāngayastis ca krsā angayastih madhyadeśayastih yasyās sā [fol.05r, 1.5] ratesu mohanesu priyasya bhartuh pāņijānām nakhānām atra koņaih yah avamarsah nakhaksatapradānarūpah tena mad- $\mathbf{5}$ hurakūjitam yasyās sā tādrśī anganā pramadā puspadhanusah kāmasya kūrmī trisarikā viņābhedah sairandhrīti prasiddhā iva āsīt sairandhrīvīņām vādyabhāndaviśesah tathā ca kūrmī trisārikā 'pi prthuh mahān pīno yo nitambasya prasthikā yāh alāvasthānī yāyā śobhā yasyām sā tādrśī bhavati tathā spurantī daśanānām kolambānām kaśmīradeśabhāsavā kūrmī iti prasiddhānām panktih vasvām sā tādrśī 10 ca tathā krśā angayastih madhyabhāgasthānam yasyās sā tādrśī ca | tathā priyah ruciratvād abhimatah pāņijānām nakhānam agre sthito yah koņo vādanakāstikah tenāvamarśo vighattanam tena ca puram kvanitam yasyās sā tādrśī ca bhavati Ratnakantha 8.7 ciradagdham api kamcit]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; Ratnakantha first wrote *ciradagdham api* kamcit twice and then cancelled the latter one. It is marked yellow.  $C_{R^{ac}}$  Ratnakantha 8.7 utthāpayati pratyujjīvayati]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; utthāpayati  $C_{R^{ac}}$  9b pankti]  $\dot{S}_A E$ ; panktih  $\dot{S}_{BC}$  (unmetrical) Utpala 9.3 prasthikā]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; prasthisthikā  $C_{U^{ac}}$  Ratnakaņtha 9.5 avamarsah]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; amavarsah [The second aksara is cancelled and marked yellow, so I am uncertain about the ma.]  $C_{R^{ac}}$ 

koņo vīņādi vādanam ity amara<br/>ḥ atra śliṣṭaśabdanibandhanopamā | 9 | [fol.05r, l.10]

```
yatrendranīlabhavanam pṛthupadmarāga -
vātāyanair ubhayapārśvagatair vibhāti |
bāṇāsurasya haricakravilūnabāhu -
mūlavraṇair iva vapuḥ kṣatajacchaṭārdraiḥ || 10 ||
Utpala: [fol.02r, l.23] yatra | haricakram sudarśanaḥ kṣatajam rudhiram || 10
||
```

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.05r, l.10] yatreti | yatra yasyām nagaryām ubhe eva pārśve ubhayapārśve ubhaśabdasamānārtha ubhayaśabdo vṛttāv eva | ubhayapārśvagataiḥ pārśvadvayagataiḥ pṛthubhiḥ padmarāgāṇām maṇiviśeṣāṇām vātāyanaiḥ jālamārgaiḥ karaṇaiḥ indranīlānām maṇiviśeṣāṇām bhavanam gṛham cakāsti | kim iva kair ity āha | bāṇāsurasya vapuḥ hareḥ śrīkṛṣṇasya cakreṇa sudarśaṇena vilūnāḥ kṛttāḥ ye bāhavaḥ sahasraparimitāḥ teṣām mūleṣu vraṇair iva | kimbhūtaiḥ | [fol.05v, l.1] taiḥ kṣatajacchaṭayā rudhirapaṅktyā ārdrāṇi tādṛśaiḥ 10

```
klāntim ratāntajanitām ślathayanti yatra
māņikyamandiragavākṣapathapraviṣṭāḥ |
abhyarṇavartisuranirjhariṇītaraṅga -
bhaṅgānusāraśiśirā maruto 'ṅganānām || 11 ||
```

Utpala: [omitted]

<sup>10</sup>b gatair vibhāti]  $\Sigma$ ; gataiś cakāsti  $\hat{S}_A$  Ratnakaņţha 10.1 yatra] em.; yastra  $C_U$  Ratnakaņţha 10.3 pṛthubhiḥ] [ $C_R$  has an illegible word after pṛthubhiḥ, possibly bṛhadbhiḥ or mahadbhiḥ, in which both cases the sandhi would be wrong.] Ratnakaņţha 10.5 vapuḥ] em.; vakṣaḥ  $C_U$  11b mandira]  $\Sigma$ ; mandara  $\hat{S}_C$  11c nirjhariņī]  $\Sigma$ ; nirjariņī  $\hat{S}_B$ 

Ratnakaņtha 9.14 koņo vīņādi vādanam] Amarakosa 1.7.420

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.05v, l.1] klāntim iti | yatra yasyām nagaryām anganānām kāminīnām ratāntasamaye ratāvasāne janitām utpannām klāntikhedam mānikyamandiresu padmarāgamaņigrhesu ye jālamārgāh tatra pravistāh marutah vāyavah ślathayanti dūrīkurvanti kimbhūtāh marutah abhyarņavartinī nikaṭasthā yā suranirjhariņī svardhuni tasyās taraṅgabhaṅgānusāreņa śiśirāh śītalāh abh-

5

5

gaņḍasthalapratimitaṃ sudṛśāṃ sacihnam aśvetatenduśakalaṃ rajanīṣu yasyām | jyāghātapātakiṇaśāritamīnaketu -

nyāsīk<br/>ŗtācchamaņikhaņdatalāyamānam || 12 ||

yarņavartīty anena māņikyagrhānām atyaunnatyoktih | 11 || [fol.05v, l.3]

Utpala: [fol.02r, l.24] gaņḍa | indukhaṇḍaṃ spaṣṭalakṣma gaṇḍeṣu saṃjātapratimaṃ sadāśvetata śuśubhe | maurvīghātakiṇaśaraṃ kāmena nyāsīkṛtaṃ bhagavadbhītyā nikṣepasthāpitaṃ yad acchamaṇikhaṇḍamayaṃ sphāṭikaṃ talaṃ godhā tattvam ācarati yat || 12 || [fol.02r, l.27]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.05v, l.3] gaņḍasthalīti | 11 | yasyām nagaryām rajanīşu rātrişu sudṛśām varāṅgīnām gaṇḍasthale atisvacche pratimitam pratibimbitam sacihnam madhye kalaṅkāṅkitam induśakalam śaśiśakalam asvetata vyarucat kimbhūtam jyāghātapātakiņena dhanurjyāghātapātakiņena śaritam yam savalīkṛtam yat mīnaketunā kāmena nyāsīkṛtam nikṣiptam acchamaṇikhaṇḍatalam sphaṭikamaṇibhujabandhanam prakoṣṭhakam tad iva ācarat | tatra nyāsīkṛtam kāmena sphaṭikabhujabandham ivety arthaḥ 12 | [fol.05v, l.6]

yasyām aśańkiṣata śańkaraśekharendu samdarśanotsukatayopagatam suraughāḥ | jyotīraseṣṭakacitāmbaracumbidīpra -

vapraprabhābharanibhena ca dugdhasindhum || 13 ||

**Ratnakaņţha 11.2** kāminīnām]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; kkāminīnām  $C_{R^{ac}}$  **12d** khaņdatalāyamānam]  $\Sigma$ ; khaņditalāyamānam  $\hat{S}_B$  **Ratnakaņţha 12.2** rātrisu]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; [Something cancelled and marked yellow before *rātrisu*, maybe only one akṣara.]  $C_{R^{ac}}$  **13c** jyotīraseṣṭaka]  $\Sigma$ ; *jyotīraseṣṭika*  $\hat{S}_A$ , possible only p.c.. Just below on the margin there also is a *ṣți*. Utpala: [fol.02r, l.27] yasya | sphațikeșțakābhiś citā ye [fol.02v, l.1] abhramlihā bhāsvarāś ca vaprās tatprabhā dugdhābdhim candradidrkṣāgatam surā ūhām cakruḥ | iṣṭakāśabdasyeṣṭakeṣīkamālānām iti hrasvaḥ || 13 || [fol.02v, l.2]

Ratnakaņţha: yasyām iti | [fol.05v, l.7] yasyām divyanagaryām suraughāh devasamājāh dugdhasindhum kṣīrarnavam upagatam aśankiṣata amanyanta kayā hetunā upagatam śankarasya śambhoh śekharendusandarśanotsukatayā | kena jyotīrasānām sphaṭikamaṇīnām yāh iṣṭikāh caturaśraghaṭitāh loṣṭakāh pakvāh 5 iṣṭikābhiś cito dṛbdah yaḥ ambaracumbī dīpraḥ vapraḥ prākāraḥ tasya prabhābharaḥ kāntisamūhas tasya nibhena vyājena iṣṭakeśīkāmālānām citarūlabhāriṣv iti hrasvaḥ prākāro varaṇaḥ sālaḥ syāc cayo vapram astriyām ity amaraḥ | 13 | [fol.05v, l.10]

lakṣmīr na yām bhavanamānasasamniveśa hamsībhir ujjvalavilāsagatikramābhih | strībhir yutām samaņinūpurajhāmkṛtābhir ujjhāmcakāra jhaṣaketanajhallarībhih || 14 ||

Utpala: [fol.02v, l.2] lakṣmī | yāṃ puraṃ lakṣmīr nātyākṣīt | bhavanam eva mānasaṃ saras tatsanniveśe haṃsībhir iva śobhanā vilāso gatau kramaś cānvayaḥ | haṃsīṣu tūjjvalavilāsena gamanakrama ity āhuḥ | sanūpurarāvatvāt kāmasya jhallarībhir vādyabhāṇḍaviśeṣair iva || 14 || [fol.02v, l.5]

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.05v, l.10] lakṣmīr iti | evamvidhābhih strībhih vadhūbhir yutām yām nagarīm lakṣmīh śobhā na ujjhām cakāra nātyajat | strībhih kābhih bhavanam gṛham [fol.05v, l.15] purvoktakramenārthāt sphatikamayam tad eva mānasam mānasākhyam sarah tatra sanniveśah sthiravaravāso yāsām tādṛśībhih  $\overline{\mathbf{Utpala 13.3 cakruh}]$  em.; cakre  $C_U$  Ratnakaṇţha 13.7 sālah]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; sāla i[..]  $C_{R^{ac}}$  14d ujjhām]  $\mathbf{ES}_A$ ; ujjām  $\mathbf{S}_{BC}$ , or possibly the *jjha* in these MSS looks like a *jhja*. 14d jhallarībhih]  $\Sigma$ ; *jhālarībhih*  $\mathbf{S}_B$  Utpala 14.2 śobhanā] em.; śobhana  $C_U$  Ratnakaṇţha 14.4 tatra sanniveśah sthiravaravāso yāsām tādṛśībhih] [Marginal insertion.]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; tatra  $C_{R^{ac}}$ 

Utpala 13.3 istakesīkamālānām] Astādhyāyī 6.3.65

Ratnakaņtha 13.7 syāc cayo vapram astriyām] Amarakoşa 2.2.45

5 hamsībhih varalābhih punah kimbhūtābhih vilāsas ca gatis ca kramah strīņām vyavahārah [fol.06r, l.1] padaviksepo vā ujjvalah yāsām tādrsībhih punah kimbhūtabhih sahamaņinūpurāņām ratnapādakatakānām jhānkrtena vartante yāh tādrsībhih strībhih kābhih jhasaketanasya kāmasya jhallaryah vādyabhāndavisesāh | tābhih | jhallaryo 'pi nūpuraravasadrsajhāmkarinyāh || 14 || [fol.06r, l.2]

yasyām nišāsu rucirāgurudhūpadhūma kalmāsitam śaśabhṛtaḥ karacakravālam | śliṣyadgavākṣakaladhautakavāṭapaṭṭa samdamśalagnakiṇalekham ivaiti lakṣmīm || 15 || Utpala: [fol.02v, l.5] yasyām | dhūpadhūmaśāritatvād gavākṣeṣu sauvarṇapaṭṭasandamśāl lagnakinarājīva || 15 || [fol.02v, l.7]

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.06r, l.2] yasyām iti yasyām nagaryām niśāsu rātriṣu ruciraḥ yaḥ agurudhūpadhūmaḥ tena kalmaṣitaṃ śavalīkṛtaṃ candrasya raśmicakram lakśmīm śobhām eti | utprekṣām āḥa | kīdṛśam iva pradoṣasamaye śliśyato gavākṣasya pidhānāt saṃyujyamānayoḥ kaladhautakavāṭapaṭṭayoḥ haimapidhānaphalako yoḥ sandaṃśena niśpeṣena lagnā kiṇalekhā yasya tat tādṛśam iva kiṇasya kṛṣṇatvāṭ utpreksā | kaladhautaṃ raupyahemnor ity amaraḥ || 15 || [fol.06r, l.5]

śayyāgrham suratakelivimardaśīrņa -

karņāvatamsavikacotpalapattrasandaih |

yatrānganā dalayatah kusumāyudhasya

bhagnai<br/>h $krp\bar{a}$ ņaśakalair iva kīrņam āsīt || 16 ||

Utpala: [fol.02v, l.7] śayyā utpalapattrāņām nīlatvāt kāmakhadgakhaņdasāmyam | kusumāyudhasyeti spaṣṭākūtam prastutānuguņyam || 16 || [fol.02v, l.8]

**<sup>15</sup>c** kavāţapaţţa]  $\Sigma$ ; kavāpaţţa  $\dot{S}_A$  **Ratnakaņţha 15.6** utpreksā | kaladhautam raupyahemnor ity amaraḥ]  $C_{R^{pc}}$  [Marginal Insertion, punctuation added.] ; utpreksā  $C_{R^{ac}}$  **16b** ṣaṇḍaiḥ]  $\dot{S}_{AB}$ ; khaṇḍaiḥ  $\Sigma_E \dot{S}_C$ 

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.06r, l.5] śayyeti yatra yasyām nagaryām kāminām ratimandireşu śayyāgṛham | suratakelinā yo vimardah tena śīrṇāni yāni karṇāvatamsībhūtapraphullanīlotpalapattrāņi teṣām ṣandaiḥ samūhaiḥ kīrṇam vyāptam asīt atrotprekṣām āha ṣaṇḍaiḥ kair iva aṅganāḥ māninīḥ dalayataḥ khaṇḍayataḥ kusumāyudhasya kāmasya bhagnaih asiśakalair iva kīrnam || 16 || [fol.06r, l.7]

yasyām salīlam asitāgurudhūpadhūma -

5

5

daņdā gavākṣavivarodaratah prasasruh

āsannacandrakarabhangabhiyāndhakāra -

hastā iva sphuritadīrghašikhāngulīkā<br/>h $\parallel 17 \parallel$ 

Utpala: [fol.02v, l.8] yasyām | udarato 'bhyantarāt | nikaṭasthebhyaś candrakarebhyo yā bhaṅgabhīs tayā | kvacid bhaṅgadhiyeti pāṭhaḥ andhakārakartṛko yaś candrakarabhaṅgas taccikīrṣayety arthaḥ | śikhā evāṅgulyo yeṣām || 17 || [fol.02v, l.10]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.06r, l.7] yasyām iti yasyām nagaryām kālāgurudhūpadhūmasya daņdā jalamārgavivarodaratah prasasruh nirjagmuh ke iva āsannah samīpavartīyah candrah tasya karāh kiraņāh ta eva karāh hastāh tebhyo yo bhahgah nāśah tasmād bhiyā bhayena andhakārasya hastā iva nirjagmuh kimbhūtās te sphuritāh dīrghāh śikhāh evāngulyah dīrghāgrāngulyaś ca yeṣām te tādṛśāh sphuritadīrghaśikhāngulikāh || 17 || [fol.06r, l.9]

rāgānubandhipariņāmadaśāvamarśa śūnyābhirāmanavayauvanapallavābhiķ | kalpadrumavratatibhiķ kanakādrikuñja -

vīthīva yā purapuramdhribhir ābabhāse || 18 ||

Utpala 16.0 śayyā] em.; mayyā  $C_U$  Utpala 16.0 kāmakhadgakhandasāmyam |] conj.; kāmakhandakhadgasāmyam | [It looks the scribe thought this to be beginning of next verse, as he did not mark the end of the last verse with a danda.] Utpala 16.1 kusumāyudhasyeti] [Punctuation removed.]; kusumāyudhasyeti |  $C_U$  17c bhangabhiyā]  $\Sigma$ ; bhangadhiyā  $C_U$  (v.l.) Utpala 17.1 bhangadhiyeti] conj., cf. ; bhangabhiyeti  $C_U$  18d vīthīva]  $\Sigma_E \hat{S}_A$ ; vī†.†īva  $\hat{S}_B$ ; vethīva  $\hat{S}_C$ 

Utpala: [fol.02v, l.11] rāgā | purandhrīpakṣe rāgaḥ priyānuraktiḥ pariņāmadaśayā vārddhakenāvamarṣo 'bhibhavaḥ | yauvanapallavaṃ praśastaṃ tāruṇyaṃ | meruparvatagahanamārgo yathā kalpalatābhir bhāti | tatpakṣe rāga aujjvalyam pariņāmaḥ pākaḥ navayauvanāni nibhṛtataruṇāni pattrāṇi yāsām || 18 || [fol.02v, l.14]

5

5

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.06r, l.15] rāgānubandhi iti | yā nagarī purapurandhribhiḥ puranivāsimukhyastrībhiḥ ābabhāse aśobhata | kā | kābhir iva | kalpavṛkṣavallībhiḥ merugirigahanavīthī iva [fol.06v, l.1] purapurandhribhiḥ kīdṛśībhiḥ | rāgo viṣayeṣv āsaktiḥ tadanubandhī pariṇāmadaśāyāḥ vārdhakāvasthāyāḥ avamarṣo bādhaḥ tena śūnyaḥ abhirāmaḥ navayauvanasya pallavaḥ prasaraṇam udbhedo yāsāṃ tāḥ tādṛśībhiḥ | kalpadrumavratatibhir api kimbhūtābhiḥ rāgasya lauhityasya anubandhinaḥ parināmadaśāyāḥ paripākadaśāyāḥ jīrṇatvarūpāyāś ca avamarṣeṇa sparśeṇa śūnyāḥ abhirāmāḥ navayauvanāḥ ajaraḍhāḥ pallavāḥ pattrāṇi yāsāṃ tāḥ tādṛśībhiḥ || 18 || [fol.06v, l.3]

vaidūryapațțaghațitāraribhir niśāsu dūrād apāvŗtamukhair iva dŗśyamānaiḥ | dvārair bibharti pihitair api yatra lakṣmīm abhraṃkaṣā pratidiśaṃ maṇiharmyapaṅktiḥ || 19 || Utpala: [missing]

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.06v, l.4] vaidūryapaţţeti śrīmadamaracandraviracitāyām kāvyakalpalatāśikṣavṛttau sarvavarṇanastavake sphuţaśobhanatāmraśrīḥ vidūramaṇidīdhitiḥ | " vidūramaṇiḥ vaidūryam nīlaratnabhedaḥ tadvat iti kṛṣṇavarṇapakṣe viśeṣeṇa dūram atyarthaṃ maṇiḥ vidūramaṇiḥ sūryaḥ tadvat dīdhitayo yasyeti raktavarṇapakṣe " iti ataḥ vidūraśabda eva sāṃyaḥ yatra yasyāṃ nagaryāṃ niśāsu rātriṣu maṇimayānāṃ harmyānāṃ paṅktiḥ kiṃbhūtaiḥ dvāraiḥ vaidūṛyeti vaidūryaṃ nīlaratnabhedaḥ tanmayapaṭṭaiḥ ghaṭite ararī kavāṭāpaṭțe yeṣāṃ tadṛśāiḥ tathā dūrāt apāvṛtamukhair iva lakṣyamāṇaiḥ dvāraiḥ pratidiśam abhraṅkaṣāṃ śobhāṃ bibharti kimbhūtair api pihitair api || 19 || [fol.06v, l.7]

**<sup>19</sup>d** abhramkaşā]  $\Sigma_E$ ; abhrankaşām  $\hat{S}_A$ ; abhrankaşā  $\hat{S}_{BC}$ 

yasyām smarah satatasamnihite 'pi candra -

cūdāmaņau vasati paurapuramdhridehe

nirmuktabhītir adhirūdhakatākṣabāṇa -

tadbhrūśarāsanasahasrakṛtātmarakṣaḥ || 20 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.02v, l.14] yasyām  $| \sqcup adhirūdhāh katāksā eva sarā yatra tathāvidhās tāsām purandhrīnām bhruva eva dhanūmsi || 20 || [fol.02v, l.15]$ 

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.06v, l.7] yasyām smarah iti | yasyām nagaryām smarah kāmah paurapurandhridehe [fol.06v, l.13] pauravaravarņinīnām dehe nirmuktabhītih nirbhayah āste kasmin saty api candramaulau śrīśambhau satatam sannihite 'pi | kimbhūtah adhirūdhāh kaṭāksā eva bāṇāh yeṣu tāni tādṛśāni yāni tāsām paurapurandhrīņām bhruva eva śarāsanāni cāpāh teṣām sahasreṇa kṛtātmarakṣā yasya sah || 20 || [fol.06v, l.14]

5

krīdābjinīsu na daridrati sāndracandra raśmicchaṭāpaṭalapāṭalitāni kāntyā | bibhranti manmathamataṅgajadantakoṣa saṃvāditāṃ kuvalayāni niśāsu yasyām || 21 ||

Utpala: [fol.02v, l.15] krīdā | manmathagajasya pratimānasāmyam dadhanti kuvalayāni kartīņi na daridrati tadyuktāni bhavantīty arthaḥ || 21 || [fol.02v, l.17]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.06v, l.14] kridābjinīsv iti [fol.07r, l.1] yatra yasyām nagaryām krīdābjinīsu krīdapuskarinīsu nišāsu rātrau kuvalayāni utpalāni sāndram yat candraraśmicchaţāpaţalam tena kudmaliţāni sankucitāni manmatha eva  $\overline{\mathbf{Utpala 20.1}}$  yasyām |  $\sqcup$  adhirūdhāh ] em.; adhirūdhāh || C<sub>U</sub>; It is worth noting that Utpala's commentary to verse 18, verse 19 missing, ends with yāsām and the pratīka for this verse should be yasyām. **21b** pāţalitāni]  $\Sigma_E$ ; kudmalitāni Ś<sub>A</sub>E<sub>R<sub>E</sub></sub> (cf. C<sub>A</sub> mukulitāny api); vicchuritāni  $E_{R_{AB}}$ ; nicchuritāni Ś<sub>BC</sub> **Utpala 21.0** sāmyam] C<sub>Upc</sub>; sāmyam C<sub>Uac</sub> **Utpala 21.0** dadhanti] C<sub>Upc</sub>; dadhante C<sub>Uac</sub> **Utpala 21.1** kuvalayāni] C<sub>Upc</sub>; kuvalayāni yatra C<sub>Uac</sub> **Ratnakaņţha 21.3** manmatha] C<sub>R<sup>pc</sup></sub>; [Before manmatha one illegible word is cancelled and marked yellow.] C<sub>Rac</sub> matāngajah hastī tasya dantakosasāmyam dantayoh kośah madhyabhāgah pra-

timānaparyāyaḥ tasya sāmyaṃ tathā ca rāyamukuṭyāṃ pratimānaṃ praticchāyā gajadantāntarālayor iti rudraḥ iti pratimānasāmyaṃ bibhranti santy api kāntyā rucā na daridrati na hīyante | atra deśe saubhāgyaṃ hetuḥ | syād utpalam kuvalayam ity amaraḥ | atra kuvalayaśabdasya padmasāmānyena vṛttiḥ tathā ca bibhrantīti vā napuṃsakasyeti nutvam || 21 || [fol.07r, l.3]

## māņikyatoraņam adūravilambamāna tigmāņšukūvarituraṅgaśarīrabhābhiḥ | yatra kṣaṇaṃ haritapallavanirmitābhir

ābhāty aśūnyam iva vandanamālikābhi<br/>h||22 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.02v, l.17] māņi | kūvarī rathaḥ toraņasyaunnatyāt sūryāśvānāṃ ca haritavarņatvāt toraņaṃ nīlapallavaracitapralambhamālāyuktam iva || 22 || [fol.02v, l.19]

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.07r, l.3] maņikyeti || yatra yasyām nagaryām māņikyatoraņam padmarāgatoraņam adūre vilambamāno yaḥ tigmāmśukūbarī sūryarathaḥ tatra turaṅgānām haritām śarīrabhābhiḥ śarīrakāntibhiḥ hetubhūtābhiḥ kṣaṇam prātassamayakṣaṇe haritapallavaiḥ nīlavaṛṇapallavaiḥ nirmitābhiḥ vandanamālikābhiḥ pattramayībhiḥ aśūnyam iva pūṛṇam ivābhāti || 22 || [fol.07r, l.5]

## yasyām bharena galatas taruņīmukhendu -

lāvaņyakāntivisarāmrtanirjharasya |

Ratnakaṇțha 21.7 syād utpalam kuvalayam  $_{30}^{1}$ Amarakoṣa 1.10.591

**Ratnakaņţha 21.4** dantayoḥ kośaḥ madhyabhāgaḥ pratimānaparyāyaḥ tasya sāmyaṃ tathā ca rāyamukuṭyāṃ pratimānaṃ praticchāyā gajadantāntarālayor iti rudraḥ iti pratimānasāmyaṃ bibhranti] [Insertion from top right margin.] $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; bibhranti  $C_{R^{ac}}$  **Ratnakaṇṭha 21.7** syād utpalam kuvalayam ity amaraḥ | atra kuvalayaśabdasya padmasāmānyena vṛttiḥ tathā ca bibhrantīti] [Marginal insertion.]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; bibhrantīti  $C_{R^{ac}}$  **Ratnakaṇṭha 21.8** amaraḥ |] [Punctuation added.]; amaraḥ  $C_R$  **22a** māṇikyatoraṇam adūravilambamāna]  $E_R$  separates differently: māṇīkyatoraṇa-madūra-vilambamāna- **22b** kūvari] **Ś**; kūbari  $\Sigma_E$  **Utpala 22.2** nīlapallavaracitapralambhamālāyuktam] conj.; nīlapralambhācitapralambhamālāyuktam  $C_U$  **23b** visarāmṛta]  $\Sigma_{\hat{S}}$ ; bisarāmṛta  $\Sigma_E$ 

attālasālavalayam vikatālavāla -

5

5

līlām vidambayati cumbitabhānu<br/>bimbam || 23 ||

Utpala: [fol.02v, l.19] yasyām | aṭṭālānām yuddhasthānānām śaraṇakalpānām prākārāṇām valayam taruṇīlāvaṇyanirjharasyālavālalīlām anukaroti | cumbitam spṛṣṭam || 23 || [fol.02v, l.21]

Ratnakaņtha: [fol.07r, l.5] yasyām iti yasyām nagaryām attālasālavalayam

aţţālam upari yuddhasthānam tatpradhānah sālah purīprākārah tasya valayam vikaţālavālalīlām āsamantāj jalalavam ālāti mūlavibhujāditvāt ka iti svāmī ālūyate tarusekārtham khanyate idam lūn chedane āpūrvāt sthāgati srjīti ālam ālavālam iti rāyyamukuţīkārah ālavālam vṛkṣasecanārtham tarumūlakṛtasvalpajalādhārah tasya līlām vidambayati anukarotīti kimbhūtam cumbitam bhānubimbam yena tat

kena bhareṇa samūhena kasya taruṇīnām ye mukhendavaḥ teṣāṃ lavanyākāntivisara eva amṛtanirjhara tasya || 23 || [fol.07r, l.9]

vyaktīkṛtāvayavacandrakalābhirāma rūpā haranti hṛdayaṃ hariṇāyatākṣyaḥ | yatronmiṣanmadhuramugdhavidagdhahāva bhāvānubandhikusumāyudhasaudhahāsāḥ || 24 ||

Utpala: [fol.02v, l.21] vyaktī | avayavā eva candrakalā unmiṣad vikasan madhuro 'nutkato mugdho manojño vidagdhaś cāgrāmyo hāvo 'kṣibhṛūvikārādibhiś śṛṅgārasūcako vyapāro yasya bhāvasya ratyādes tam anubadhnāti yaḥ | kāmasya ca saudhabhūto viśrāntisthānaṃ hāso yāsām | sudhayā nirvṛttaṃ śubhraṃ hāsaś ca tathā || 24 || [fol.02v, l.25]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.07r, l.9] vyaktīkṛteti yatra yasyām nagaryām hṛdayam haranti vaśīkurvanti kimbhūtāḥ vyaktīkṛtāḥ avayavāḥ yābhis tāḥ vyaktīkṛtāvayavāḥ candrakalābhirāmarūpāś ca punaḥ kimbhūtāḥ [fol.07v,l.1] unmiṣan mad-

**<sup>23</sup>c** vikațālavāla]  $\Sigma_E \hat{S}_A$ ; vikaț $t\bar{a}lav\bar{a}la \hat{S}_B$ ; vikaț $\bar{a}la$  (unmetric)  $\hat{S}_C$  **Utpala 23.1** prākārāņām] em.; prakārāņām  $C_U$  **Ratnakaņțha 23.5** tat] em.; t  $C_U$  **Utpala 24.3** yaḥ [] [Punctuation added.] **Utpala 24.4** hāsaś]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; hāsasyāś  $C_{U^{ac}}$ 

huro mugdhah vidagdho nipunah yo hāvah | śrigārabhāvo ratih tajjā strīnām 5cestā vilāsādikā hāvasabdenocyate strīnām vilāso bibboko vibhramo lalitam tathā helā lilety amī hāvāh kriyāh śrigārabhāvajāh ity amarah tathānyatra tatrāksibhrūvikārādyāh śringārakārasūcakāh sa grīvārecako jneyo hāvo ratyangasambhavah iti hāvah strīnām cestāviśesah bhāvo 'bhilāsah tau anubadhnāti tadanubandhī kusumāyudhasya kāmadevasya saudhah nityanivāsakrto hāso yāsām tāh || 24 || [fol.07v, l.4]

10

5

yasyām niketanalinīsu ca lauhitīka sopānapattarucivicchuritāntarālāh laksmīm atānisur amandarucah privāva vandīkrtendukiranā iva padmakhandāh || 25 ||

Utpala: [fol.02v, 1.25] yasyām | niketanalinīsu grhodyānapadminīsu lauhitīkās sā[-] [fol.03r, l.1] dhikā ye sopānapattās tatkāntyā cchuritatvād padmasandā amandarucaś candāmśos tustaye hathāhrtendukarā iva śriyam vitenire || 25 || [fol.03r, 1.2]

Ratnakantha: [fol.07v, 1.4] yasyām iti yasyām nagaryām niketanalinisu grhapuşkarinişu padmānām tāmarasānām sandāh samūhāh laksmīm sobhām atānisuh vistārayāmāsuh kimbhūtā iva asītarucah sūryasya svabhartuh priyāya priyam nivedayitum asmābhis tv anugrahād grhītamahātmyair arātayah candrakiranā avastabhyānītā iti istam nivedayitum bandīkrtāh bandhanagrham prāpita indukiranāh yais te tādršā iva | kimbhūtāś ca padmasandāh lauhitīketyādi lauhita ivāśrayavaśāl lauhitīkah sphatikamanih karkalohitādī kak spatikkamayāh ye sopānapattāh tesām rucyā vicchuritam vyāptam antarālam madhye yesām te tādršāh madhyagatasphațikasopānarucayā ity arthah || 25 || [fol.07v, l.8]

## mānikvakhandakhacitāh suramandiresu

## yasyām cakāsati vipātalatatprabhābhih

**<sup>25</sup>c** atānisur]  $\Sigma$ ; abhānisur  $\hat{S}_C$  **25c** amanda]  $\Sigma$ ; asīta  $\hat{S}_A$  **25d** vandī]  $\Sigma_{\hat{S}}$ ; bandī  $\Sigma_E$ **25d** padmakhandāh]  $\Sigma_E$ ; padmaṣandāh  $\Sigma_{\acute{S}}$ ; padmapattrāh  $\acute{S}_{B^{ac}}$  Utpala 25.2 padmaṣandā]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; padmaṣaṇḍāṇḍā  $C_{U^{ac}}$  **26a** khacitāḥ]  $\Sigma_E$ ; nicitās  $S_A$ ; khacitās  $S_{BC}$ 

ālingitāh śikharakoțivipāțitābhra saudāminībhir iva kāñcanaketudaņdāh ||26 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.03r, l.2] māņi | ketudaņdāś śikharibhinnameghanirgatābhis saudāmanībhir vidyudbhir ivālambhitā bhānti māņikyakhacitatvāt || 26 || [fol.03r, l.4]

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.07v, l.8] maņikyeti | [fol.07v, l.12] yasyām nagaryām suramandiresu devagrhesu kañcanamayāh ketudaņdāh cakāsati sobhante kimbhūtāh māņikyakhaņdaih padmarāgasakalaih khacitāh utkīrņāh | ata eva viseseņa pāţalāh yāh tesām prabhās tābhih ālingitāh kābhir ivālingitāh sikharakoţyā uparyagreņā vipāţitānām [fol.08r, l.1] abhrāņām meghānām saudāmanyah taditah tābhir iva || 26 ||

yasyām vrajaty adhinisīthini kāntavesma līlāgrhītavikacotpalapānipadmah | vaibhāvarena rabhasāt timirena datta hastāvalambana ivāsu puramdhrilokah || 27 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.03r, l.4] yasyam | adhiniśīthini rātrau vikāsitotpalahastatvāt | naiśāndhakāreņa dattakarālambana ivābhisārikālokaḥ kāntaveśma yāti || 27 || [fol.03r, l.6]

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.08r, l.1] yasyām iti || yasyām nagaryām adhinišīthini rātrau vibhaktyarthe 'vyayībhāvaḥ purandhrilokaḥ mukhyayoṣillokaḥ āśu śīghram kāntaveśma dayitagṛham vrajati | kimbhūtāḥ līlayā gṛhītam vikacam phullam utpallam nīlotpalam yena sa tādṛśaḥ pāṇipadmaḥ yasya saḥ ata eva vaibhāvareṇa vibhāvaryāḥ vikāraḥ vaibhāvaram naiśam tenāndhakāreṇa rabhasād utkanṭḥayā dattahastāvalambana iva | vikacanīlotpalam andhakārastanīyam tena dattahastāvalambana ivety arthaḥ || 27 || [fol.08r, l.4]

5

5

**<sup>26</sup>c** śikhara]  $\Sigma$ ; śikhari Ś<sub>C</sub> **26c** vipāțitābhra]  $E_D$ Ś; vipāțitābhiḥ - (sic)  $E_R$ , vipāțitāmra  $E_{R_{AB}}$  **26d** saudāminībhir]  $\Sigma_E$ Ś<sub>B</sub>; saudāmanībhir Ś<sub>AC</sub> **Utpala 26.1** śikhari]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; śikhari  $C_{U^{ac}}$ **27d** ivāśu]  $\Sigma_{\acute{S}}E_{R_E}$ ; ivāsta  $\Sigma_E$  **Utpala 27.1** adhinišīthini]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; adhinišithini  $C_{U^{ac}}$ 

ākrīdatāmarasinījalayantramañju -

nādah kramānugatakaiśikamadhyamaśrīh

utkamdharastimitapādavidhūtapakṣam

 $\bar{a}$ karnyate bhavanahamsaganena yasy $\bar{a}$ m || 28 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.03r, l.6] ākrī | ākrīḍatāmarasinya udyānapadminyaḥ | mañjuḥ madhuraḥ | kaiśikamadhyamaḥ śuddhagīțiviśeṣaḥ ūrdhvagrīvāḥ niścalāḥ pādavid-hūtāś ca pakṣā yatra tathā kṛtveti jātiḥ || 28 || [fol.03r, l.8]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.08r, l.4] ākrīdeti | yasyām nagaryām | bhavanahamsagaņena grhapālitasarālakulena ākrīdatāmarasinyām udyānapuşkariņyām pumān ākrīda udyānam ity amarah tatra jalayantram ābīśāro iti bhāşayā prasiddham tasya mañjunādah maduradhvanih ākarņyate katham utkandharam ca stimitapādam ca vidhūtapakṣam ca kriyāviśeṣanam etat mañjunādah kimbhūtah krameņānugatah anukṛtah kaiśikamadhyamasya śuddhagītaviśeṣasya śrīh śobhā yena sa tādṛk svabhāvoktir alaṅkārah || 28 || [fol.08r, l.8]

yatrāśmagarbhakamayūkhaśikhāprakāśa śyamīkṛtā bhavanapuṣkariņītaṭeṣu | ceto haranti pariņāmiciropabhukta śevālasaṃhatirasā iva haṃsayūthāḥ || 29 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.03r, l.8] yatrā | aśmagarbhakāni marakatāni tatprakāśasyāmīkṛ-tatvāt pariņataśevālarasā iva || 29 || [fol.03r, l.9]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.08r, l.8] yatrāśmagarbhaketi | yatra yasyām nagaryām | aśmagarbhakaḥ marakataḥ harinmaṇir iti paryāyāḥ marakatamaṇiśikhāprakāśena śyāmīkṛtāḥ santaḥ gṛhapuṣkariṇītīreṣu haṃsayūthāḥ sarālayūthāḥ ceto haranti atrotprekṣā | kiṃbhūtās te pariṇāmi paripākaṃ gataḥ [fol.08r, l.13] ciraṃ bahukāle upabhuktaḥ āsvāditaḥ śevālasaṃhatirasaḥ jalanīlīpaṅktirasāḥ yeṣāṃ te tādṛśā

5

<sup>5</sup> 

**<sup>28</sup>b** nādaḥ]  $\Sigma_E S_{AB}$ ; *nādai*ḥ  $S_C E_{R_A}$  **Utpala 28.2** ūrdhvagrīvāḥ] em.; ūrdhve grivāḥ  $C_U$ **Ratnakaṇṭha 28.2** ākrīḍatāmarasinyām] em.; ākrīḍatārasinyām  $C_U$ 

iva kāraņāguņā hi kārye dr<br/>śyante ity atah [fol.08v, l.1] pariņāmam gatena cirāsvāditena jalanīlī<br/>raseneva āvāptaharitimāna ity arthah || 29 ||

yasyām harinmaņivinirmitaharmyaraśmi līdhodarāh pratidišam niši tārakaughāh | udbhinnakomalakušānkurakotilagna naišodabindupatalaśriyam ālilinguh || 30 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.03r, l.9] yasyā hariņmanaya indranīlās tatprabhāspṛṣṭamadhyās tārakāḥ kuśāgralagnāvaśyājalabinduśriyaṃ prāptāḥ || 30 || [fol.03r, l.11]

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.08v, l.1] yasyām iti | yasyām nagaryām harinmaņibhiḥ marakatamaņibhiḥ vinirmitani yāni harmyāņi uttamāgārāņi teṣām raśmibhiḥ līḍham vyāptam udaram madhyam yeṣām tādṛśāḥ niśi rātrau tārakaughāḥ tārānikarāḥ pratidisam diśi diśi udbhinnāni yāni komalakuśaṅkurāṇi teṣām koțiṣu agreṣu lagnāḥ ye udabindavaḥ jalakaṇāḥ teṣām paṭalasya samūhasya śriyam śobhām āliliṅguḥ prāpuḥ manthaudanetyādinā udakaśabdasyodādeśaḥ || 30 || [fol.08v, l.4]

lāvaņyanirbharapuraṃdhrimukhāvadhūta cchāyo dadhat kaluṣatāṃ hṛdayena yasyām | indur niśāsu maṇikuṭṭimabimbyamāna mūrticchalena viśatīva rasātalāntaḥ || 31 ||

Utpala: [fol.03r, l.12] lāva | nirbharam pūrņam jitakāntitvāt pratibimbavyājena yā tālam iva pravišati † yo 'py antena jīyeta sa kalapakṣadayo bhavati † || 31 || [fol.03r, l.13]

Utpala 30.1 yasyā]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; āli | yā purī | patyuḥ sarvasyābabhau ruruce yasyā  $C_{U^{ac}}$ [cf. 1.33] Ratnakaṇṭha 30.2 uttamāgārāṇi]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; gṛhottama  $C_{R^{ac}}$  Ratnakaṇṭha 30.6 manthaudanety]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; manthaudakety  $C_{R^{ac}}$  31c niśāsu]  $\Sigma$ ; nniśāsu Ś<sub>C</sub>

Ratnakantha 30.6 manthaudanety] Pānini 6.3.60

Ratnakantha: [fol.08v, l.4] lāvanyeti yasyām nagaryām lāvanyena | rā-

janakaśrī rucakaviracitāyām sahṛdayalīlāyām | taraṅgidravasvabhāvāpyāyinetrapeyavyāpisnigdhamadhura iva pītimotkarṣair kasāra iva pūrņenduvad āhlādako dharmaḥ saṃsthānamugdhim avyajño lāvanyām tena nirbharāṇi purṇāni yāni purandhrīṇām mukhyastrīṇām mukhāni taiḥ avadhūtani jitā cchayā śobhā yasyām tādṛśaḥ ata eva hṛdayena madhyena cetasā ca kaluṣatām kāluṣyam kalaṅkikatvam ca dadhat induḥ candraḥ niśāsu rātriṣu | maṇikuṭṭimeṣu kuṭṭimo 'strī nibaddhā bhūr ity amaraḥ teṣu bimbyamānā pratibimbyamānā yā mūrtih tasyāḥ cchālena vyajena pātālāntaḥ praviśatīva | yatpaurastrīmukharucitiraskrtakān-

titvād vailakseņa pātālam iva pravisatīti bhāva<br/>h||31 || [fol.08v, l.8]

prātaś cakāsati grhodarakuţţimāgra vikşiptaratnakusumaprakarāvakīrņāḥ | abhyudgatāruņakarāhatipātyamāna nakşatrarāśiśabalā iva yatra rathyāḥ || 32 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.03r, l.13] prāta | gṛhakuṭṭimān mārjanīvikṣiptaratnapuṣpaprakarāvakīrṇatvād anūrupātitaśārā iva || 32 || [fol.03r, l.15]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.08v, l.8] prātar iti | yatra yasyām nagaryām rathyāh višikhāh rathyā pratoli višikhā iti amarah prātassamaye cakāsati šobhante kimbhūtāh gṛhāṇām udareṣu [fol.08v, l.13] kuṭṭimāgrāt vikṣiptah anupayogān nirastah yaḥ ratnāny eva kusumāni teṣām prakaraḥ tena avakīrṇāḥ vyāptāḥ atrotprekṣām āha | kimbhūtā iva abhyudgataḥ udyan yaḥ aruṇaḥ sūryaḥ tasya karāḥ raśmayaḥ te eva karāḥ hastāḥ taiḥ pātyamāno yo nakṣattrarāśiḥ tena śavalā iva || 32 ||

ālinganādaracitasthitir ābabhau yā patyur vikāsiparikhāvalinīvibandham

**32d** nakṣatra]  $\Sigma_E$ ; nakṣattra  $\Sigma_{\acute{S}}$  **32d** śabalā]  $\Sigma_E$ ; śavalā Ś<sub>A</sub>; śakalā Ś<sub>BC</sub> **Ratnakaṇṭha 32.6** karāḥ] em.; kkarāḥ C<sub>R</sub> **33b** parikhāvali]  $\Sigma$ ; parikhājala Ś<sub>A<sup>pc</sup></sub>

5

5

# vistāri sālajaghanam parivartamāna nakṣatraratnaraśanāgunam udvahantī || 33 ||

Utpala: [fol.03r, l.15] āli | yā purī patyuḥ śabdasyābabhau ruruce āliṅgā murajaviśeṣāḥ teṣāṃ nādena racitāvasthitiḥ | sālāḥ prākārā iva jaghanaṃ tad vahantī nāyikāpi priyāliṅgane naya ādaras tenācitasthitiḥ | ata eva nīver jaghanāṃśukagranther vikāsaḥ || 33 || [fol.03r, l.18]

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.08v, l.14] ālingeti | sā kā ity āha [fol.09r, l.1] patyur īś-varasya sambandhinām ālingānām svarajavišešānām nādena racitasthitih yatra tādṛśī yā jyotsnāvatī nagarī ābabhau vyarucat | kimbhūtā sālajaghanam sālaḥ prākāraḥ prākāro valayas sāla ity amaraḥ sa eva jaghanam udvahantī | kimbhūtam vistāri savistāram punaḥ kimbhūtam vikāsi vistīrņam yat parikhāyāḥ jalam tad eva nīvibandhaḥ yasya tat punaḥ kimbhūtam parivartamānaḥ bahiḥ paribhraman yo nakṣatrarāśiḥ sa eva raśanāguņo yasya tat ālingeti | saṅgītaśāstre | nānāprakāre 'py avanaddhajāte tripuṣkaram vādyam ihādyam āhuḥ yatrāṅkakāliṅgakasamjñakau dvāv anyas tathā cordhvakanāmadheyaḥ | nāyikāpi | āliṅgane āśleṣe ya ādaraḥ
tena citā sthitir yasyās sā tadṛśī bhavati | tathā vikāsinī vibandhanam parivartamānaraśanāguņam ca jaghanam vahantī bhavati || 33 || mahākulakam | [fol.09r, l.6]

tām adhyuvāsa bhuvanānujighrkṣayātta nirmāṇadehaghaṭanaḥ kṣapitāricakraḥ | cakrīva saudhadhavalāṃ bhujagādhirāja mūrtiṃ vibhuḥ śiśiraraśmikalākirīṭaḥ || 34 ||

Utpala: [fol.03r, l.18] tāma || tām vibhur adhyuvāseti upānvadyānvāsa ity ādhārasya karmatvam | bhuvanānām anugrahītum icchayā āttā grhītā nir-33c vistāri]  $\Sigma$ ; vistāra  $\hat{S}_B$  33d nakṣatra]  $\Sigma_E$ ; nakṣattra  $\Sigma_{\hat{S}}$  33d ratna]  $\Sigma$ ; rāśi  $\hat{S}_A$  Ratnakaņṭha 33.3 vyarucat |] [Punctuation added.]; vyarucat  $C_R$  34d śiśiraraśmikalākirīṭaḥ]  $\Sigma_E \hat{S}_B$ ; śiśiraraśmikalāvacūlaḥ  $\hat{S}_{AC} E_{R_{AB}}$ 

**Ratnakaṇṭha 33.4** prākāro valayas sāla] Amarakoṣa 2.2.46, although different reading, prakāro varaṇaḥ sālaḥ.

Utpala 34.1 upānvadyānvāsa] 1.4.48

māņārtham svargāya śarīraghaṭanāyaiveti svātantryam uktam kṣapitam aricakram śattrusamūho yena saudhaiḥ prakāraiḥ dhavalāḥ śūbhrā yadvā taiḥ dhavān taruṇān lāty ādatte yā | cakrī viṣṇuḥ yathā saudhavac śuklām śeṣamūrtim adhyāste | tatpakṣe nirmāṇāpārimāṇā dehaghaṭanā | kṣapitā arayo yena yadvā † 'navaratadaityakaṇṭhakṣepāt kṣīṇā arā dhārāḥ santi † yasya tathāvidham cakram sudarśano yasya || 34 || [fol.03r, l.25]

5

Ratnakaņtha: [fol.09r, l.6] tām ahdhyuvāseti | śiśiraraśmikalāvacūlah

avacūlaś cihnam | evambhūtaḥ vibhuḥ śrīśivabhaṭṭārakaḥ tām jyotsnāvatīnagarīm adhyuvāsa aśiśrayat kimbhūtām tām nagarīm saudhaiḥ svadhāvalitair uttamāgāraiḥ dhavalā tādṛśī kimbhūtaḥ śambhuḥ bhuvanānām lokānām anujighṛkṣayā anugrahītum icchayā ātta grhītanirmāṇadehasya deśakālādyavacchin-

- 5 necchanirmitaśarīrasya ghațanā yena sah nişkalo 'pi san visvānujighrkşayā ātta sakaladeha ity arthah punah kimbhūtah kşapitam aricakram arīnām tripurāndhakāsuradīnām cakram [fol.09r, l.13] yena sah śambhuh ka iva cakrī vişnur iva | yathā vişnuh bhujagādhirājamūrtim śeşanāgarājamūrtim adhitisthati | kimbhūtam śeşamurtim saudhavat dhavalā śvetā tādrśī vişnur api kimbhūtah āttā nirmānade-
- 10 haghațanā daśāvatāraghațanā yena sah punah kimbhūtah kṣapitāh samhāritāh arayah madhukaiţabhakamsān yāh yena tat tādrśam cakram sudarśanākhyam yasya ca tādrśah || 34 ||

athedānīm maheśvaravarņanam ślokaikonatrim<br/>śatyā mahākulakena varņayann āha|

yasya prapannajanatāś caraņārabinda pīţhapraņāmakiņaśāritam udvahanti | rudrībhaviṣyati vapuṣy upapannabhāsvad ūrdhvākṣitārakam iva sma lalāṭapaṭṭam || 35 ||

**Utpala 34.3** ghațanāyaiveti]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; ghanațanāyaiveti  $C_{U^{ac}}$  **Utpala 34.5** śuklām] em.; śūklām  $C_U$  **Utpala 34.7** arā dhārāh]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; arā[ akṣara illegible ]dhārāh  $C_{U^{ac}}$  **35a** caranārabinda]  $\Sigma_{\dot{s}}$ ; caranāravinda  $\Sigma_E$  **35d** sma lalāțapațțam]  $\Sigma$ ; svalalāțapațțam Ś<sub>A</sub>

**Utpala:** [fol.03r, l.25] yasya | prapannajanatā bhaktalokāḥ | upapannam ucitam | rudrabhaktāḥ kila tādrūpyaṃ yānti || 35 || [fol.03r, l.26]

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.09r, l.13] yasyeti | prapannāḥ bhaktyullāsena śaranārtham āgatāḥ prapannajanānāṃ samūhāḥ prapannajanatāḥ yasya maheśvarasya caraṇārabindapīţhe pādapadmapīţhe yaḥ praṇāmaḥ daṇḍavat praṇatiḥ [fol.09v, l.1] praṇāmena yaḥ kiṇaḥ tena śāritaṃ śavalīkṛtaṃ svalalāṭapaṭṭam udvahanti | atrotprekṣā | kīdṛśam iva | teṣām eva prapannajanānāṃ vapuṣi dehe śrīśambhuprasādāt rudrībhaviṣyati sati upapannā prāptā bhāsvatī dīptimatī ūrdhvākṣṇaḥ dahanāśritākṣṇas tṛtīyasya tārakā kanīnikā yena sa tādṛśaṃ || 35 || [fol.09v, l.3]

pluşyan puratrayam aviślathajūțabandha -

khedākulena phaņinā sphuraduddhatārciķ

sāhāyakārtham iva phūtkrtamārutena

5

5

samdhuksitah sapadi yasya prsatkavahnih || 36 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.03r, l.26] pluṣya | nibiḍa[-] [fol.03v, l.1] jūṭabandhatvāt khedākulena vāsukinā phūtkṛtaiḥ śarāgnis sandhukṣitaḥ || 36 || [fol.03v, l.1]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.09v, l.3] pluṣyann iti phaṇinā vāśukinā yasya maheśvarasya pṛṣaṭkavahṇiḥ śarāgniḥ sapadi tatkṣaṇam eva tripuradāhe sāhāyakārthaṃ phūtkṛtamārutena phūtkāravāyunā sandhukṣitaḥ sandīpitaḥ śarāgniḥ kiṃ kurvan puratrayaṃ tripuraṃ pluṣyan pluṣyamāṇaḥ kiṃbhūtena phaṇinā aviślathaṃ dṛḍhaṃ jūṭabandhena kapardabandhena yaḥ khedaḥ tenākulaḥ tādṛśena punaḥ kiṃbhūtaḥ sphuranti uddhatāni arcīṃṣi yasya saḥ || 36 || [fol.09v, l.5]

ākarņatāņdavitamandaracāpadaņda -

maurvīkrtoragaphaņāgaņaphūtkrtotthaķ |

Utpala 35.1 bhaktalokāḥ |] [Punctuation changed.]; | bhaktalokāḥ C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 35.1 ucitam |] [Punctuation added.] Ratnakaņṭha 35.4 svalalāṭapaṭṭam] C<sub>R<sup>pc</sup></sub>; lalāṭapaṭṭam C<sub>R<sup>ac</sup></sub> Ratnakaṇṭha 35.6 prasādāt] em.; prāsāt C<sub>U</sub> 36a pluṣyan puratrayam] Ś<sub>A</sub>; pluṣyatpuratrayam  $\Sigma$  36d pṛṣaṭka]  $\Sigma_{S}$ ; pṛṣatka  $\Sigma_{E}$  37a ākarṇatāṇḍavita]  $\Sigma$ ; ākṛṣṭatāṇḍavita E<sub>RAB</sub> yasyādidīpad analah prathamam tato 'gni jvālākalāpajatilas tripuram prsatkah || 37 ||

Utpala: [fol.03v, l.1] āka | ākarņam tāndavita ākrṣto mandara eva dhanurdandas tatra jyātvam nīto yo vāsukis tatphūtkṛtair uttho 'gnir ādhau tripuram dadāha paścāc charāgnih || 37 || [fol.03v, l.3]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.09v, l.5] ākarņeti | yasya maheśvarasya tripuradāhārtham karņāt ākarņam tāņḍavita ākṛṣtaḥ yo mandaraḥ śaila eva cāpadaṇḍaḥ tatra maurvīkṛto ya uragaḥ śeṣanāgaḥ tasya phaṇāgaṇānām phūtkārotthaḥ analo 'gniḥ tripuram prathamam dadāha tataḥ paścāt pṛṣaṭkaḥ śaraḥ viṣṇuḥ śarabhūtaḥ tripuram ādidīpat dadāha kimbhūtaḥ pṛṣaṭkaḥ | śarāgraphalabhūtavahniśikhāsamūhena jaṭilaḥ || 37 || [fol.09v, l.8]

mandākinī śirasi maulikapālapaṭṭa saṃghaṭṭajarjarataraṅgaghaṭā vibhāti | yasyordhvanetraśikhiviplutacandrakhaṇḍa viṣyandamānaśiśirāmṛtanirjharaśrīḥ || 38 ||

5

5

**Utpala:** [fol.03v, l.3] mandā | lalāțanetrāgnigālitacandrakalāniryadamṛtanirjharavac chrīr yasyāḥ || 38 || [fol.03v, l.4]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.09v, l.8] mandākinīti | yasyeśvarasya śirasi mūrdhni mandākinī svargangā vibhāti kimbhūtā maulau mahāpralaye kālāgnirudrarūpeņa samhāritabrahmakapālapaţţe yaḥ sanghaţţaḥ samśleṣaḥ tena jarjarā tarangaghaţā yasyās sā tādṛśī | atrotprekṣām āha | kimbhūtā mandākinī | ūrdhvanetratṛtīyanetrabhūtāgninā viplutaḥ dravīkṛto yaś candrakhaṇḍaḥ tasmān viṣyandamānāḥ [fol.09v, l.15] ye śiśira amṛtanirjharāḥ teṣāṃ śrīḥ śobhā yasyāḥ sā || 38 ||

**<sup>37</sup>c** yasyādidīpad]  $\Sigma_{\acute{S}} E_{R_{AC}}$ ; asya  $\Sigma_E$  **37d** pṛṣaṭkaḥ]  $\Sigma_{\acute{S}}$ ; pṛṣatkaḥ  $\Sigma_E$  **Ratnakaṇṭha 37.1** maheśvarasya] em.; maheśvasya C<sub>U</sub> **38a** kapāla]  $\Sigma_E \acute{S}_C$ ; kkapāla  $\acute{S}_A$ ; lalāṭa  $\acute{S}_B$  **38b** jarjara]  $\Sigma$ ; jharjhara  $\acute{S}_C$  **38d** viṣyandamāna]  $\Sigma$ ; niṣyandamāna  $\acute{S}_B$  **Utpala 38.1** |] [Punctuation added.]

yasyānurāgavaśatah sphutakālakūta -

cchāyāchalena kṛtakelikacāvamardām

īrsyānubandhakalusam prasamīksya gangām

ālingito yamunayeva vibhāti kaņţhah || 39 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.03v, l.5] yasya | kṛtakeśagrahām gangām sapatnīm iverṣyakaluṣam kṛtvā vīkṣya || 39 || [fol.03v, l.5]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.09v, l.15] yasyeti yasyeśvarasya anurāgavaśataḥ atipremabhareņa kṛtaḥ kelinā kacānāṃ [fol.10r, l.1] keśānām avamarśaḥ sparśaḥ yayā sā tādṛśīṃ gaṅgāṃ īrṣyanubandhena kaluṣaṃ kṛtvā prakarṣeṇa samīkṣya sphuṭaṃ kālakūṭaviṣaviśeṣachāyāvyājena yamunayā nadyā iva aliṅgitaḥ kaṇṭhaḥ vibhāti śobhate yathā kācit priyatamasya kṛtakeśāvamarśāṃ sapatnīṃ dṛṣṭvā asūyābandhakaluṣamanaskā priyatamaṃ gale dṛḍham āliṅgati tathety arthaḥ || 39 || [fol.10r, l.3]

ābhāti yasya himaśailasutābhyasūyā -

roșānubandhavaśato 'mbaram ārurukṣuḥ |

usnīsapannaganipīditajūtaratna -

5

5

bhāgotthitā pratipathābhimukhīva gangā ||40 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.03v, l.5]  $\bar{a}bh\bar{a} \mid v\bar{a}sukinip\bar{d}itaj\bar{u}tabandhotth\bar{a}$  gang $\bar{a}$  gaur $\bar{i}vis$ ay-ersyay $\bar{a}$  prat $\bar{i}$ pam $\bar{a}$ rg $\bar{a}bhimukh\bar{i}va \mid\mid 40 \mid\mid$  [fol.03v, l.7]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.10r, l.3] ābhātīti yasya maheśvarasya uṣṇīṣaṃ śiroveṣṭanaṃ tadbhuto yaḥ pannago vāsukiḥ tena nipīdito yaḥ jūṭaḥ kapardaḥ tasya bandhena bhāgāḥ vibhāgāḥ tataḥ utthitā pratipathaṃ yasmāt svargāt āgatā tasyaiva mārgaṃ prati pratimukhī iva ambaram ārurukṣuḥ āroḍhum icchuḥ ābhāti | atra hetum āha | kutaḥ himaśailasya himācalasya sutā pārvatī sapatnīsthānīyā ekakāraṇotpannatvāt tasyāḥ abhyasūyayā priyatamadehārdhaharaṇena yo roṣānubandhaḥ tasmāt || 40 || [fol.10r, l.6]

**<sup>39</sup>b** chalena]  $\Sigma_E$ ; cchalena  $\Sigma_{\dot{S}}$  **39b** kacāvamardām]  $\Sigma$ ; kacāvamaršām  $\dot{S}_A$  **Utpala 39.1** sapatnīm] em.; †*sapatnīr*† **40c** ratna]  $\Sigma$ ; bandha  $\dot{S}_A$  **40d** mukhīva]  $\Sigma$ ; mukheva  $\dot{S}_B$ 

nispesabhītiśaraņāgatapūsavaktra -

śeṣāpareva hasatā vidhutottamāngam

yena vyalokyata purāņakapālamauli -

bandhacyutā daśanapańktir adhah patantī || 41 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.03v, l.7] nișpe | śeșā patitāvaśi<br/>șțā | pūșṇaḥ kila dakṣayajñe bha-gavatā dantapaṅktiḥ śātitā || 41 || [fol.03v, l.8]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.10r, l.6] nişpeşeti yeneśvareņa vidhutam kampitam uttamāṅgam śiro yatra tat hasatā adhaḥ patantī purāṇabrahmakapālasya mūlabandhāt cyutā daśanapaṅktiḥ dantapaṅktiḥ vyalokyata | kimbhūtā iva | niṣpeṣāt dakṣakratuvidhvaṃse hastacapeṭayā niṣpeṣāt yat bhayaṃ bhītiḥ tayā śaraṇārtham āgataḥ yaḥ pūṣā sūryaḥ tasya vaktre śeṣāyāḥ dantapaṅkteḥ aparā avaśiṣṭā iva || 41 || [fol.10r, l.9]

5

yasyādrirājatanayāvadanāravinda -

lāvaņyakāntivijitasya salīlam indoķ |

bandīkrto 'rbhaka iva ślathajūţakoţi -

bandhacyutaś ciram abhāri karena khandah || 42 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.03v, l.8] yasyā | gaurīvadanena jitakāntitvād indoņ khaņdo bāla iva vandī kṛtas samvṛttah || 42 || [fol.03v, l.9]

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.10r, l.9] yasyeti | yasya maheśvarasya kareņa pāņinā ślatho yo jūţaḥ kapardaḥ tasyāgrabandhāt cyutaḥ indoḥ candrasya khaṇḍaḥ [fol.10r, l.14] kṣaṇaṃ abhāri dṛtaḥ ka iva arbhakaḥ indoḥ vandīkṛtaḥ bandhanāgāraṃ prāpita  $\overline{\mathbf{41c}}$  vyalokyata]  $\Sigma$ ; vyalokata  $\hat{\mathbf{5}}_B$  **41c** kapālamauli]  $\Sigma$ ; kapālamūla  $\hat{\mathbf{5}}_A$  **Utpala 41.1** patitāvašiṣṭā |] [Punctuation added.]; maybe one could conjecture śeṣāparā patitāvašiṣṭā, c.f.  $\mathbf{C}_R$  **Utpala 41.1** dakṣayajñe] [Punctuation removed.]; dakṣayajñe |  $\mathbf{C}_U$  **Ratnakaṇṭha 41.3** vyalokyata |]  $\mathbf{C}_{R^{pc}}$ ; vyalokyata | kā iva aparā daśanapaṅktir iva  $\mathbf{C}_{R^{ac}}$  **Ratnakaṇṭha 41.3** kiṃbhūtā iva]  $\mathbf{C}_{R^{pc}}$ ; kiṃbhūtā aparā  $\mathbf{C}_{R^{ac}}$  **42a** vadanāravinda]  $\Sigma_E$ ; vadanārabinda  $\Sigma_{\acute{S}}$ **42c** bandīkṛto]  $\Sigma_E$ ; vandīkṛto  $\Sigma_{\acute{S}}$  **42c** 'rbhaka]  $\Sigma$ ; 'rbaka  $\mathring{\mathbf{5}}_B$  **42d** ciram]  $\Sigma$ ; kṣaṇam  $\mathring{\mathbf{5}}_A$ **Utpala 42.2** saṃvṛttaḥ] em.; sanvṛttaḥ  $\mathbf{C}_U$  iva atra hetuh indoh kīdrśasya adrirājasya himācalasya tanavā [fol.10v, l.1] pār-

vatī tasyāḥ vadanam eva arabindam mahotpalam tasya lāvaņyam prāk nirņītam lāvanyam ca kāntiḥ śobhā ca angopāngānām kādakasya rūpa tābhyām salīlam vijitasya ata eva vijitaḥ vandīkrīyate arbhakasya kareņa dhāraņam ucitam vātsalyāt palāyanabhayāt vā || 42 || [fol.10v, l.2]

mandākinīsalilanirjharapūryamāņa gambhīrakukṣikuharodgatatāranādam | adyāpi yasya śirasi śriyam ety aśānta vedadhvanīva parameṣṭhiśiraḥkapālam || 43 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.03v, l.9] mandā | gangānirjharapūritarandhrabhāgotthitatāranādatvād adyāpi savedadhvanīva brahmakapālam || 43 || [fol.03v, l.10]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.10v, l.2] mandākinīti | yasya maheśvarasya śirasi parameṣţhiśiraḥkapālaṃ brahmaśiraḥkapālaṃ śriyaṃ śobhām eti | kiṃbhūtaṃ mandākinyāḥ svargaṅgayāḥ salilasya nirjharaiḥ pūryamāṇam yat gambhīram kukṣikuharaṃ madhyarandhraṃ tasmāt udgataḥ tasyaḥ mandraḥ nādaḥ yasya tat atrotprekṣām āha | adyāpi aśāntaḥ vedadhvaniḥ yasya tat tādṛśam || 43 || [fol.10v, l.4]

saṃhārarātrimukhatāṇḍavaḍambareṣu dordaṇḍakhaṇḍapavanābhihatāḥ purastāt | yasya kramād apasaranti parikramaika līlāvakāśaghatanārtham ivādrināthāh || 44 ||

5

Utpala: [fol.03v, l.11] saṃhāra | samhāra eva rātrimukhaṃ tatra tāṇḍavaḍambaraṃ uddhatanṛttaprapañcaḥ parikramasyaikasya līlāyā avakāśārtham iva dūraṃ Utpala 42.4 tanayā] em.; tanaya [Folio cut off, most likely there originally was an  $\bar{a}$ .]  $C_U$ 43a nirjhara] Ś<sub>A</sub>, cf.  $C_{UR}$ ; nirbhara  $\Sigma_E Ś_{BC}$  44a ḍambareṣu]  $\Sigma_E Ś_{AC}$ ; dambhareṣu Ś<sub>B</sub>; prapañceṣu  $E_{R_A}$  44b khaṇḍa]  $\Sigma_E$ ; ṣaṇḍa  $\Sigma_{\acute{S}}$  Utpala 44.1 tāṇḍavaḍambaraṃ uddhatanṛttaprapañcaḥ] conj., c.f.  $C_R$  tāṇḍavaṃ uddhataṃ nṛttaṃ; tāṇḍava†dambara uddha [ta (cancelled)] tta nṛtta†prapañcaḥ  $C_U$  Utpala 44.2 parikramasyaikasya līlāyā] em.; parikramasyaikasyālīlayā  $C_U$  yānti || 44 || [fol.03v, l.12]

5

5

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.10v, l.4] samhāreti | yasya parameśvarasya samhārarātrimukhe kalpāntarajanīprārambhe yat tāņḍavam uddhatam nṛttam tasya ḍambareṣu āṭopeṣu dordaṇḍānām ṣaṇḍāḥ aṣṭādaśabhujam devam ity āgamokteḥ | tasya pavanenābhihatāḥ purastād agre kramāt pādakramāt adrināthāḥ aṣṭaukulaparvatāḥ himālayādyāḥ apasaranti dūrīgacchanti | kimartham iva parikramasya pādasañcārasya yā līlā tasyāḥ avakāśaghaṭanārtham avakāśadānārtham iva || 44 || [fol.10v, l.7]

yasyānukārarabhasotthitadaņdapāda gulphāgrasaṃghaṭitamaṇdalapūrvarekhaḥ | paryastaparvataśitāśriviśīryamāṇa -

māņikyapādaka<br/>țakaśriyam eti candra<br/>ḥ $\mid\mid$ 45  $\mid\mid$ 

**Utpala:** [fol.03v, l.12] yasyā | anukāro nṛttaṃ daṇḍapādākhyacārī nirvartya upacārād daṇḍapāda ity ucyate | tatra saṃlagnamaṇḍalapūrvarekhatvāt parvatānāṃ tīkṣṇāśribhir bhagnena pādakaṭakena sāṃyam || 45 || [fol.03v, l.15]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.10v, l.7] yasyeti | yasya īśvarasya anukāro nṛttaṃ tasya rabhasaḥ utkaṇṭḥā | tayā utthitaś cāsau daṇḍapādaḥ daṇḍākāratayā ūrdhvam gataś caraṇaḥ ekapādapracāro yas sa | cārīty abhidhīyate | pādābhyāṃ kramaṇaṃ yat tu rāṇaṃ nāma tad bhavet iti | dāṇḍāpāde gulphāgre saṅghaṭitā militā maṇḍalapūrvā parivartulatvapradhānā lekhā kalā yasya sa tādṛśaḥ candraḥ svamaulistaḥ paryastāḥ bhujaṣaṇḍavegena ye parvatāḥ kulāśrayāḥ teṣāṃ śitāśribhiḥ tīkṣṇāgraiḥ viśīryamāṇamāṇikyo viśīryamāṇamaṇiḥ yaḥ pādakaṭakaḥ haṃsakaḥ tasya śriyam eti | hamsakah pādakataka ity amarah || 45 || [fol.10v, l.11]

## dordaņdakhaņdapavanocchalitā vicitra cārīvilāsadalitaksitipīthabandhāh |

**Ratnakaņţha 44.5** dūrīgacchanti] em.; dūīgacchanti [Consonantal  $\bar{\imath}$  directly after  $d\bar{u}$ .]  $C_U$  **45b** rekhaḥ]  $\Sigma$ ; *lekhaḥ* Ś<sub>A</sub> **45d** kaṭakaśriyam]  $\Sigma_E$ Ś<sub>AB</sub>; *kaṭakāśrayam* Ś<sub>C</sub>E<sub>RAB</sub> **46a** khaṇḍa]  $\Sigma_E$ ; *ṣaṇḍa*  $\Sigma_{\acute{S}}$  **46a** vicitra]  $\Sigma_E$ ; vicittra  $\Sigma_{\acute{S}}$ 

yasya bhramanti girayo 'mbaramārga eva

5

5

pātālapātacakitā iva tāņdavesu || 46 ||

Utpala: [fol.03v, l.15] dorda | ucchalitāḥ kṣiptāḥ cānya ekapādapracārakalpabhaumya ākāśikyaś ca samapādātikrāntādyāḥ || 46 || [fol.03v, l.16]

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.10v, l.11] dordaņdeti yasya maheśvarasya tāņdaveşu uddhatavilāsah [fol.10v, l.15] nṛtteşu dordaņdaṣaṇḍasya bhujadaṇḍasamūhasya pavanenocchalitāh girayah kulādrayah vicittro yah cārī vilāsah ekapādapracāreņa nāţyavidhi | [fol.11r, l.1] vilāsah tena dalitah kṣitipīţhabandhah tadādhārabhūtah yeṣām te tādṛśāh santah ambaramārga eva dordaṇḍaṣaṇḍapavanavegenety bhrahmanti | atrotprekṣā | pātāle yah pātaḥ tasmāc cakitāḥ iva vayam pātāle mā patiṣyāma iti hetor ity arthaḥ | || 46 || [fol.11r, l.2]

saṃhārameghamalinātanukālakūṭa cchāyā salīlam adhikaṃdharam ullasantī | yasya pradakṣiṇayatīva kirīṭakoṭi -

baddhāspadam dayitam indum upetya rātri<br/>h $\mid\mid 47 \mid\mid$ 

Utpala: [fol.03v, l.16] saṃhā | adhikandharaṃ grīvāyām ātanvī kālakūṭacchāyā rātrir iva kiŗīṭasthānasthitam dayitaṃ candraṃ pradakṣiṇīkaroti yathā | kaścit prāptottamapadaḥ kalattrasyāpi gauravāspadaṃ bhavati || 47 || [fol.03v, l.19]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.11r, l.2] saṃhāramegheti | yasya maheśvarasya salīlaṃ savilāsaṃ | adhikandharaṃ kandharāyām ullasantī | saṃhārameghāḥ kalpāntameghāḥ saṃvartakādayaḥ tadvat malinā atanuḥ mahatī kālakūţākhyaviṣaviśeṣasya chāyā śobhā kirīţadhāmani mukuṭasthāne baddham āspadaṃ yena sa tādṛśaṃ dayitaṃ kāntam induṃ candraṃ rātrir iva pradakṣiṇayati pradakṣiṇaṃ karoti kālakuṭachayaiva rātrir iti vākyāntam rūpakam || 47 || [fol.11r, l.5]

<sup>47</sup>c koți]  $S_{BC}E_{R_A}$ ,  $dh\bar{a}ma \Sigma_E S_A$  Utpala 47.1 grīvāyām] em.; grīvāyām  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; gragrīvāyām  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; gragrīvāyām  $C_{U^{ac}}$  Utpala 47.2 rātrir]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; ritrir  $C_{U^{ac}}$  Utpala 47.2 kirīțasthānasthitam]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; kirīțasthānam  $C_{U^{ac}}$  Utpala 47.2 yathā |] [Punctuation changed]; | yathā  $C_U$ 

gangātarangataralānanarandhralagna -

cūdāśaśānkakalikam sphurad uttamānge

aspastacañcuputadastamrnālakoti -

hamsaśriyam vahati yasya sitam kapālam || 48 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.03v, l.19] gangā | śaśānkasya kalaiva kalikā tattulyā bisalatā || 48 || [fol.03v, l.20]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.11r, l.5] gaṅgātaraṅgeti yasyeśvarasya uttamāṅge śirasi sphurat sitam kapālam brahmakapālam aspaṣṭam abahirnirgatam yat cañcupuṭam tena daṣṭā mṛṇālakoṭiḥ bisāgram yena sa tādṛg yo hamsaḥ sarālaḥ tasya śriyam śobhām vahati kimbhūtam kapālam gaṅgātaraṅgaiḥ taralam yat ānanarandhram mukharandhram tatra cūḍāśaśāṅkasya maulisthacandrasya kalā yasya tat tādṛśam || 48 || [fol.11r, l.7]

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

samdhyāsamādhivinimīlitalocanasya

yasyānukāram iva hāraphaņī cakāra |

uddhūlanonmrditabhasmaparāgapūra -

paryāptabhītibharakūņitakātarākṣah || 49 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.03v, l.20] sandhyā | bhasmapūrad yaḥ prabhūtabhītibharas tena kūņitanetratvād anukāram anukṛtim iva cakāra || 49 || [fol.03v, l.21]

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.11r, l.7] sandhyāsamādhīti | sandhyāyām samādhiḥ cittaikatānatā tayā vinimīlitāni locanāni yena sa tādṛśasya yasya maheśvarasya anukāram anukṛtim iva hāraphaņī vāsukiś cakāra kimbhūtaḥ uddhūlanārtham vilepanārtham unmṛdito yo bhasmaparāgapūraḥ bhasmarajaḥsamūḥaḥ tena paryāpto viśiṣṭo yo bhītibharaḥ tena kūṇitāni saṅkocitāni [fol.11r, l.15] kātarāṇi akṣīṇi yena sa tādṛśaḥ bhasmarajasā kūṇitekṣaṇatvaṃ sandhyāsamādhinimīlitākṣatvasyānukāraḥ || 49 ||

**49b** iva]  $\Sigma$ ; *iha*  $\hat{S}_A$  **49b** hāraphaņī]  $\Sigma$ ; *hāraphalī*  $\hat{S}_B$  **49d** paryāpta]  $\Sigma$ ; *paryasta*  $\hat{S}_C$  **Utpala 49.1** prabhūta] em.; prabhrtā  $C_U$ 

premāmrtena girirājasutāśrayeņa na plāvite 'tra hrdaye 'sti mamāvakāśaḥ | yasyādhikaṃdharam itīva padaṃ babandha saṃdhukṣitatribhuvanāgniśikho viṣaughaḥ || 50 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.03v, l.21] premā | premaivāmṛtaṃ tena plāvite pūrņe sandhukṣitaṃ trailokyaṃ yābhis tathāvidhā agnijvālā yasya || 50 || [fol.03v, l.22]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.11r, l.15] premāmŗţeneti | iti hetor iva [fol.11v, l.1] vişaughaḥ kālakūţavişaviśeṣaughaḥ yasyeśvarasya adhikandharaṃ kandharāyāṃ padaṃ sthitiṃ babandha kiṃbhūtaḥ sandhukṣitaṃ dīpitaṃ tribhuvanaṃ yābhis tādṛśyo 'gniśikhā agnijvālāḥ yasya sa tādṛk iti kim itīty āha | girirājasutā pārvatī tadāśrayeṇa premāmṛţena premaiva amṛṯaṃ tena plāvite bharite atra asmin īśvarasya hrdaye mamāvakāśah sthānam nāsti na vidyate || 50 || [fol.11v, l.3]

ākarņakṛṣṭakusumacyutareņupiṅga cakrīkṛtātanuśarāsanamadhyasaṃsthaḥ |
prāk cittavṛttivikṛter api roṣavahni jvālāparīta iva yasya manobhavo 'bhūt || 51 ||
Utpala: [ omitted ]

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

5

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.11v, l.3] ākarņeti | yasya īśvarasya samādhibhaṅgārthaṃ karņāt ā | ākarṇaṃ kṛṣṭaṃ ata eva kusumebhyaḥ cyuto yaḥ reṇuḥ tena piṅgaṃ | ākarṇakṛṣṭatvāc cakrīkṛtaṃ ca yat atanu mahat śarāsanaṃ dhanuḥ puṣpamayaṃ tasya madhyasaṃsthaḥ manobhavaḥ kāmaḥ | yasya īśvarasya cittavṛttivikṛteḥ krodhāveśāt prāg api rosāgnijvālābhih parīto valita ivābhūt || 51 || [fol.11v, l.5]

**Utpala 50.1** pūrņe]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; pūpūrņe  $C_{U^{ac}}$  **51b** cakrī]  $\dot{S}_{AB}$ ,  $c\bar{u}rn\bar{i} \Sigma_E \dot{S}_C$  **51d** manobhavo]  $\Sigma$ ; mamābhavo  $\dot{S}_B$ 

puṣpāyudhena kṛtatīvrasamādhibhedam

āsphālite dhanusi sabhrukutīvibhangam

tatksobhajena rajaseva vilanghyamānam

5

ūrdhvāksi yasya saruso mukulībabhūva || 52 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.03v, l.22] puṣpā | kṛtas tīvrasya samādheś cittaikāgryasya bhaṅgo vyutthānaṃ yatra tathā kṛtvā tasya dhanuṣaḥ kṣobhajena rajasā kiñjalkareṇunā saruṣaḥ sakrodhasya || 52 || [fol.03v, l.24]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.11v, l.5] puṣpāyudheneti | puṣpāyudhena kāmena sabhrūkuţīvibhaṅgaṃ sabhrūbhaṅgavicchitti | dhanuṣi puṣpamaye āsphālite iṣusandhānāya āsphālite sati kṛtaḥ tīvrasamādheḥ bhedo yena tat tādṛśaṃ yasyeśvarasya ūrdhvākṣi tṛtīyaṃ netraṃ tatkṣobhajena tasya dhanuṣaḥ puṣpamayasya kṣobhaḥ tajjātena rajasā puṣparajaseva vilaṅghyamānam ākramyamānam saruṣo roṣākrāntasya [Cancelled and marked yellow.] iva mukulībabhūva taddāhāya vahniṃ bahir ānetum ivety arthaḥ || 52 || [fol.11v, l.8]

bhītaiḥ puraḥ kusumamārgaṇadehadāha saṃrambhaghoravikṛtir dadṛśe surair yaḥ | kaṇṭhodarāspadam anargalahuṃkṛtāgni dhūmacchalena vikirann iva kālakūṭam || 53 || Utpala: [fal 03y | 24] bhītaib | bhītaib kadācid asmī

Utpala: [fol.03v, l.24] bhītaiḥ | bhītaiḥ kadācid asmān api dahatīti | kusumamāṛgaṇaḥ kāmaḥ || 53 || [fol.03v, l.25]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.11v, l.8] bhītair iti | kusumamārgaņasya kāmasya yo dehadāhasamrambhaḥ tena ghorā vikṛtir yasya sa tādṛśaḥ yo maheśvaraḥ kālakūṭaṃ viṣaviśeṣaṃ vikiran iva surair devaiḥ purā dadṛśe kālakūṭaṃ kimbhūtaṃ kaṇṭhasy-

<sup>52</sup>b vibhangam]  $\Sigma$ ; vibandham  $\dot{S}_A$  52c rajaseva]  $\dot{S}_{B^{pc}}$ , cf.  $C_U$  and  $C_R$ ; rabhaseva  $\dot{S}_{AB^{ac}C}$ ; rabhasena  $\Sigma_E$  Ratnakaṇṭha 52.5 saruṣo roṣākrāntasya [Cancelled and marked yellow.] iva]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; saruṣo roṣākrāntasya iva  $C_{R^{ac}}$  53a puraḥ]  $\Sigma_E \dot{S}_C$ ; purā  $\dot{S}_{AB}$  53c anargalahuṇkṛtāgni]  $\Sigma_E$ ; anargalahuṅkṛtāgni  $\dot{S}_{AB}$ ; anarghalahuṅkrtāgni  $\dot{S}_C$  Utpala 53.0 dahatīti [] [Punctuation added.]

odaram madhyam tatra āspadam sthānam yasya tat kena anargalam yat hunkrtam 5 sa eva agnih tasya dhūmah tacchalena tadvyājena || 53 || [fol.11v, l.10]

ūrdhvekṣaṇānalaśikhāpaṭalendhanatvam āsādya yasya kupitasya samādhibhaṅgāt | cakre padaṃ makaraketur apāṅga eva sabhrūvilāsalaḍite laṭabhāṅganānām || 54 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.03v, l.25] ūrdhve | ūrdhvanetrāgnijvālāpaṭale indhanatvam ca prāpya kāmaḥ anaṅgo dagdhatvād vigatā[-] [fol.04r, l.1] ṅga eva lalāmalalanānām apaṅge netraparyante padam cakāra || 54 || [fol.04r, l.1]

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.11v, l.10] ūrdhvekṣaṇeti | kāmena kṛtāt samādhibhaṅgāt kupitasya yasya maheśvarasya ūrdhvekṣaṇasya tṛtīyanayanasya agniśikhānāṃ yat paṭalaṃ tasya [fol.11v, l.16] indhanatvaṃ kāṣṭhabhāvaṇam āsādya makaraketuḥ kāmaḥ sahabhrūvilāsasya laḍitena ullāsena vartate yas sa | sabhrūvilāsalaḍitaḥ tādṛśe laṭabhāṅganānām [fol.12r, l.1] pragalbhāṅganānāṃ apāṅga eva netrā te eva padaṃ sthānaṃ | yato locanād eva tena makaraketunā dahovāptaḥ tadasūyayā laṭabhāṅganānām netrānta eva padaṃ sthānaṃ kāmo jagaj jetum akarod iti bhā-vaḥ || 54 || [fol.12r, l.2]

```
yasya vyaloki sarușo mukham arjunena
māyākirātavapuṣaḥ paripāṭalatviṭ |
bhāsvallalāṭavinigūḍhavilocanāgni -
jvālākalāpapariņāmavaśād ivārāt || 55 ||
```

5

**Utpala:** [fol.04r, l.1] yasya | māyākirātarūpatvān nigūdham yat trtīyanetram tajjvālāparinater ivārunatvit || 55 || [fol.04r, l.2]

<sup>54</sup>d ladite]  $\Sigma$ ; ludite  $\hat{S}_B$  Utpala 54.2 lalāmalalanānām] conj.; lalābhalalanām  $C_U$  Utpala 54.3 apaige netraparyante] em.; apaiganetraparyante  $C_U$  55c vinigūdha]  $\hat{S}_{AB}$ ; vinirūdha  $\Sigma_E \hat{S}_C$  Utpala 55.1 māyā] em.; mayā  $C_U$ 

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.12r, l.2] yasyeti pārtham evānugrahītum māyākirātavapuṣaḥ saruṣaḥ kruddhasya paritaḥ pāṭalatvik āraktakānti yasya maheśvarasya mukhaṃ arjunena pārthena vyaloki dadṛśe | atrotprekṣām āha bhāsvat kāntiyuktaṃ ca tat lalāṭaṃ tatra vinigūḍhaḥ māyākirāṭavapuṣtvāt pihitaḥ yaḥ vilocanāgniḥ tṛtīyanetrāgniḥ tasya yo jvālākalāpaḥ tasya pariṇāmaḥ bahissantāpakṛttvaṃ tadvaśād iva katham ārāt samīpe || 55 || [fol.12r, l.4]

5

5

karņāvatamsitaśikhaņdipatattrakhaņdām guñjāphalasragupagūdhapayodharāgrām | yasyeksitum girisutām adhikābhirāma rūpām nigūdham udakanthata sāgni caksuh || 56 ||

Utpala: [fol.04r, l.2] karņā | avatamsitamayūrapakṣmām guñjāphalasrajā ca raktikāmālayā śliṣṭastanāgrām gaurīm īkṣitum sāgni lalāṭam cakṣur nigūḍham sat udakaṇṭhata sotkaṇṭham ivābhūd ity utprekṣā dhvaniḥ mūrchayaty eṣa pathikān madhau malayamāruta ityādivat || 56 || [fol.04r, l.6]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.12r, l.4] karņāvataṃsiteti | [fol.12r, l.11] yasya maheśvarasya sāgniḥ | vahnyaṅki[ta]ṃ cakṣuḥ tṛtīyaṃ nigūḍhaṃ māyākirātarūpatvāt pihitam api adhikābhirāmarūpāṃ girisutāṃ pārvatī[ṃ] īkṣitum avalokayituṃ udakaṇṭhata sotkaṇṭham abhūt | kiṃbhūtāṃ girisutāṃ nāthasya kirātarūpatayā | tayāpi śavarībhavantyā karṇāvataṃsitaḥ karṇāvataṃsīkṛtaḥ śikhaṇḍināṃ mayūrāṇāṃ patattraṣaṇḍaḥ pakṣasamūhaḥ yayā sā tādṛśīṃ tathā guñjaphalānāṃ raktikāphalānāṃ srajā mālayā upagūḍhaṃ āśliṣṭaṃ payodharāgraṃ stanāgraṃ yasyās tādṛśīm || 56 || [fol.12r, l.14]

yasya vyabhidyata manah sutarām kirāta -

rūpasya śailasutayā śabarībhavantyā |

Utpala 56.1 avatamsitamayūrapaksmām gunjāphalasrajā] em.; avatamsitamayūrapaksmāgunjāphalasrajā C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 56.2 sat] [Punctuation removed.]; sat | C<sub>U</sub> 57b sabarī]  $\Sigma_E$ ; savarī  $\Sigma_S$ 

<sup>563</sup> mūrchayaty e<br/>şa pathikān madhau malayamāruta] Dhvanyaloka2

karņāvatamsitamanoharakekipiccha sacchāyadīrghataralocanaśankupātaih || 57 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.04r, l.6] yasya | kekipiñchāḥ mayūrapakṣāḥ taiś śobhanāni kāntāni locanāny eva śaṅkavaḥ śaraviśeṣās tatpātair yasya mano devyā bhinnam || 57 || [fol.04r, l.7]

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.12r, l.14] yasyeti | śavarībhavantyā pārvatyā | pārthānugrahāya kirātarūpasya yasyeśvarasya manaḥ vyabhidyata kaiḥ karņāvataṃsīkṛtāni yāni manorāmāṇi kekipicchāni [fol.12v, l.1] teṣāṃ sacchāyau sadṛśau yau dīrghatarau āyatatarau locanasaṅkū locane eva śaṅkū mayūrapiñcharacitau śarau tayoḥ pātās taiḥ || 57 ||

```
yasyāvalambitakirātatanor nigūdha -
netrosmakāraņa ivāśu kirītikopāt |
svedodabindunikaraś cakare kareņa
nirmrjya śīkarakarālitadig lalātāt || 58 ||
```

5

5

**Utpala:** [fol.04r, l.8] yasya | netroṣmākāraṇaṃ yasya tathāvidha iva kirīținy arjune kopāt svedakaṇanikaraḥ sīkarācitadik kṛtvā cakare vikṣiptaḥ || 58 || [fol.04r, l.9]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.12v, l.1] yasyeti | avalambitamāyākirātavapuṣaḥ yasyeśvarasya kirīţikopāt arjunakopāt utpanno 'pi nigūḍhanetroṣmā vahnirūpanetroṣmā kāraṇam hetur yasya sa tādṛśa iva svedajalabindusamūhaḥ nirmṛjya kareṇa hastena cakare kṣiptaḥ kṛ vikṣepe dhātuḥ kathaṃ śīkarakarālitadik śīkaraiḥ svedajalakaṇaiḥ karālitāḥ vyāptāḥ diśo yatra tat tathā kṛtvā kṣiptaḥ || 58 || [fol.12v, l.4]

**<sup>57</sup>c** manoharakekipiccha]  $\Sigma_E$ ; manoramakekipiñcha  $\Sigma_{\acute{S}}$  **Utpala 57.1** śobhanāni] em.; śobhanā C<sub>U</sub> **58d** śīkara]  $\Sigma_{\acute{S}}$ ; sīkara  $\Sigma_E$  **Utpala 58.2** sīkarācitadik] em.; śrīkarācitadik C<sub>U</sub>

līlānatānanatayā pratibimbavarti -

5

cūdāśaśānkaśakalam kucam ardhabhāge |

yah paśyati sma dadhatam dhutadugdhasindhu -

kallolalanghitasudhākalaśasya līlām || 59 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.04r, l.9] līlā | ardhabhāge gaurīsambandhini dugdhābdhikallolatulyam śaśikhanḍam || 59 || [fol.04r, l.10]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.12v, l.4] līlānateti | ya īśvaraḥ svayaṃ līlayā krīḍayā ānataṃ ānanaṃ mukhaṃ yasya salīlānatānanaḥ tasya bhāvas tattā | tayā | pratibimbe vartate iti pratibimbavartī cūḍā śaśāṅkaśakalaḥ yasmin sa tādṛśaṃ kucam ekam ardhabhāge gauryardhabhāge gaurīsvarūpaḥ san paśyati sma kiṃbhūtaṃ kucaṃ dhutadugdhasindhukallolena laṅghito yaḥ sudhākalaśaḥ amṛtakalaśaḥ tasya lakṣmīṃ śobhāṃ dadhat gaurī kucasya sudhākalaśa upamānaṃ dhutadugdhasindhu kallolasya candra śakalaḥ || 59 || [fol.12v, l.7]

yenādrirājatanayākucamaņḍalāgra vinyastahastakamalam bibharāmbabhūve | vakṣo himācalaśilāvikaṭam satumba -

vīņānive<br/>śam iva dhūlanavibhrameṣu || 60 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.04r, l.9] yenā | śaurebha  $\sqcup$  kamalakorakam tadagre nyastam karapadmam yatra bhasmanah satumbavīņā alāvuvīņā atra sākinnarākhyā  $\sqcup$  || 60 || [fol.04r, l.12]

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.12v, l.7] yeneti | [fol.12v, l.12] adrirājatanayā pārvatī gaurīšvararūpārdhabhāge sthitā vakṣaḥ uraḥsthalaṃ bibharāṃbabhūva | kimbhūtaṃ kucakuṭmalasyāgraṃ tatra vinyastaṃ hastakamalaṃ yasmiṃs tattādṛśaṃ kena  $\overline{59b}$  cūdā]  $\Sigma$ ; cūdhā Ś<sub>B</sub> 59b śakalaṃ]  $\Sigma$ ; śavalaṃ Ś<sub>C</sub> 59c dugdha]  $\Sigma$ ; śugdha Ś<sub>B</sub> 59d kalaśasya]  $\Sigma$ ; sākalasya Ś<sub>B<sup>ac</sup></sub> 59d līlām]  $\Sigma$ ; lakṣmīṃ Ś<sub>A</sub> 60a kucamaṇḍalāgra]  $\Sigma$ ; kucakuṭmalāgra Ś<sub>A</sub> 60b babhūve]  $\Sigma$ ; babhūva Ś<sub>A</sub> 60c tumba]  $\Sigma$ ; kāṇḍa C<sub>R</sub> (v.l.) 60d vīṇāniveśam]  $\Sigma$ ; veṇāniveśam Ś<sub>B</sub> Utpala 60.1 nyastaṃ] em.; nyaste C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 60.2 satumbavīņā] em.; ḥ [Upadhmānīya above the p, see [Slaje(1993), p.28], ungrammatical after bhasmanaḥ already had a Visarga.] patumbavīņā vinyastam yeneśvarena | keşu dhūlanavibhrameşu bhasmoddhūlanavibhrameşu

5 kimbhūtam vakṣaḥ himācalaśilāvat vikaṭam vistīrnam kīdṛśam iva bibharāmbabhūva | satumbavīnāniveśam iva tumbavīnā kinnarākhyā lāvuvīnā vādanasamaye yasyās tumbakasya purodeśe sthitiḥ kānḍavineti pāṭhe 'pi ayam evārthaḥ || 60 || [fol.12v, l.14]

saṃdhyājalāñjalim apojjhati yaś cireṇa sasvedaśīkarakaṇotpulakāṅgayaṣṭiḥ | dehārdhabhāgagataśailasutānanendu bimbāvalokanasukhastimitekṣaṇaśrīḥ || 61 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.04r, l.12] saṃdhyā  $\sqcup$  utpulakā romāñcitā | bimbaṃ pratimā tadavalokanasukhena niścalanetraśrīḥ || 61 || [fol.04r, l.13]

**Ratnakaņţha:** [fol.13r, l.1] sandhyājalāñjalim iti | yo maheśvaraḥ sandhyājalāñjaliṃ cireṇopojjhati tyajati atra hetuḥ dehārdhabhāgetyādi dehārdhabhāge gatā yā śailasutā pārvatī tasyāḥ ānanaṃ mukham evendubimbaṃ tasyāvalokanena yat sukhaṃ tena stimitānām īkṣaṇānāṃ śrīḥ śobhā yasya sa tādṛk pārvatyā mukhendubimbasya darśanaṃ jalāñjalau pratibimbitatvāt | kiṃbhūta īśvaraḥ sahasvedaśīkarakaṇaiḥ svedajalalavaiḥ vartate yā sasvedaśīkarakaṇā tathā utpulakā romāñcitā ca sāttvikabhāvena aṅgayaṣṭiḥ yasya || 61 || [fol.13r, l.3]

śliṣṭo 'nayoḥ kimu bhaved uta naiva saṃdhir dehārdhayor ghaṭitayor iti tatparīkṣām | āripsu yasya rabhasād iva cakṣur ardha -

5

nārīśvaras<br/>ya niriyāya lalāṭapaṭṭāt || 62 ||

<sup>61</sup>a apojjhati]  $\Sigma_E \dot{S}_C$ ; upojjhati  $\dot{S}_{AB}$  61b śīkara]  $\Sigma_S$ ; sīkara  $\Sigma_E$  Utpala 61.1 saṃdhyā] [Added the pratīka of the verse which must be in the lacuna.] Utpala 61.1 romāñcitā |] [Punctuation added.] Utpala 61.1 tadavalokanasukhena] em.; tadavalokanamukhena  $C_U$  Ratnakaņṭha 61.5 pratibimbitatvāt | kiṃbhūta īśvaraḥ sahasvedaśīkarakaṇaiḥ svedajalalavaiḥ vartate yā sasvedaśīkarakaṇā tathā utpulakā romāñcitā ca sāttvikabhāvena aṅgayaṣṭiḥ yasya]  $C_{R^{pc}}$  [Insertion on top margin of the folio.]; pratibimbitatvāt  $C_{R^{ac}}$  Ratnakaṇṭha 61.5 pratibimbitatvāt |] [Punctuation added.]; pratibimbitatvāt  $C_R$  62a bhaved uta]  $\Sigma$ ; bhavet kimu Ś<sub>A</sub>

Utpala: [fol.04r, l.13] śliṣṭo | ittham parīkṣām ivārabdhum icchu sat tṛtīyam netram niryayau | netradvayasyātisāmīpyena draṣṭum aśaktatvāt || 62 || [fol.04r, l.15]

Ratnakaņţha: [fol.13r, l.3] śliṣṭo 'nayor iti | yasya ārdhanārīśvararūpasya ity anena prakāreņa dehārdhayoḥ parīkṣām iva ārabdhum icchuḥ āripsu cakṣuḥ nayanam tṛtīyam lalāṭapaṭṭān rabhasāt vegāt niriyāya iti kim itīty āha | śliṣṭo 'nayor ityādi | anayor ghaṭitayoḥ dehārdhayoḥ sandhiḥ śliṣṭaḥ kimu bhavet kim 5 bhavati | uta anayoḥ ghaṭitayoḥ dehārdhayoḥ sandhir naiva bhavet iti parīkṣām kartum iva vegena tṛtīyam cakṣur alikapaṭṭān niriyāyevety arthaḥ || 62 || [fol.13r, l.6]

yasyānatipravaņarudradršo 'nghriyugma śākhānakhāṃśuviśadīkṛtapūrvabhāgāḥ | āpāṇḍumūlaparipāṭalapuṇḍarīka pattraśriyam dadhati vahniśikhāpiśaṅgyah || 63 ||

Utpala: [fol.04r, l.15] yasya | ānatiniṣṭhānāṃ rudrāṇāṃ dṛśo yasya pādāṅgulinakhamayūkhaviṣadīkṛtapūrvabhāgās satya āpāṇḍumūlānāṃ raktapadmapattrāṇāṃ sāmyaṃ bibhrati || 63 || [fol.04r, l.17]

Ratnakaņtha: [fol.13r, l.6] yasyānatipravaņeti | yasya parameśvarasya

ānatau pādapraņatau pravaņāķ lagnāķ ye rudrāķ ekādaša tešām dršaķ drstavaķ lalātasthavahnišikhābhiķ pišangyaķ kapišāķ | anghriyugasya mahešvarasambandhinaķ yāķ šākhāķ angulyaķ tāsām nakhamayūkhair nirmalīkrtapūrvodešāķ āsamantāt pāņduramūlānām paripāņdurapuņdarīkasya sitāmbhojasya pattrāņām

5 śobhām dadhati || 63 ||

mahākulakam athāto 'sya sargasya †<br/>niryaṇaḥ† vṛttam ekam āha | $[{\rm fol.13r},\, l.9]$ 

# stutimukharamukhās tam srastaratnāvatamsāh sthiracaranasaparyābandhurāvandhyasamdhyāh

**Utpala 62.2** niryayau |] [Punctuation added.] **63b** viśadīkṛta]  $\Sigma_E \acute{S}_A$ ; viṣadīkṛta  $\acute{S}_{BC}$ 

#### pratidinam upaceruh siddhasādhyāmaraughāh

### sphutakaraputakotiślistabhāsvatkirītāh || 64 ||

Utpala: [fol.04r, l.17] stuti | praņāmavašāt srastai ratnāvatamsais sthirā bhagavaccaraņapūjā yeṣām ata eva bandhurā manojñā avandhyā ca saphalā sandhyā yeṣām tathāvidhās siddhasādhyā anye ca devaughās tam bhagavantam siṣevire | kave ratnašabdānkatvāt pratisargam antašloke † ... † || 64 || [fol.04r, l.20]

[fol.04r, l.20] | utpalakṛte haravijayasāravivaraņe prathamaḥ sargaḥ || [fol.04r, 5 l.21]

Ratnakantha: [fol.13r, l.9] stutimukhareti | siddhāś ca sādhyāś ca devay-

onayah amarāś ca brahmaviṣṇuśakrādyāh teṣām aughāh samūhāh taṃ parameśvaraṃ devaṃ [fol.13r, l.13] pratidinaniśam upaceruh asevanta | kiṃbhūtās te stutyā | mukharaṃ mukhaṃ yeṣāṃ te | tathā srastāh pādapraṇativaśāt ratnānām avataṃsāh śirobhūṣaṇāni yeṣāṃ [fol.13v, l.1] tādṛśāh | tathā | kiṃbhūtāh sthirā

- anaśvarā | yā caraņasaparyā pādakamalapūjā tayā bandhurāḥ ramyāḥ avandhyāḥ saphalāḥ sandhyāḥ prātarmadhyāhnasāyantanās tisraḥ sandhyāḥ yeṣāṃ te tādṛśāḥ punaḥ kimbhūtāḥ sphuṭau nirmalau yau karapuṭau tayoḥ koṭyā agreņa añjal-ibandhāt śliṣṭāni bhāsvanti kirīṭāni maulayo yeṣāṃ te tādṛśāḥ atra ca pratisar-gaṃ paryantavṛtte ratnapadaṃ prayuktavatā mahākavinā nijanāmāṅkaṃ viracitam etad mahākāvyam iti prakāśitam iti śivam || 64 || [fol.13v, l.4]
- [fol.13v, 1.4]śrībālavrhaspatyanujīvino iti vāgīśvarānkāparābhidheyasya śrīmadamrtabhānusūnor mahākave rājānakaratmahākāvye ratnānke śrīśāradānākarasya krtau haravijaye  $caranara jahpavitras thalava \bar{s}tavyara \bar{j}anaka siri sankara kan that majara janakara tna-$
- 15 kaņţhakṛtāyām laghupañcikāyām jyotsnāvatī purīpurārivarņanam nāma prathamah sargah | likhitaś caişa mayā rājānakaratnakaņţhena sam 57 śāke 1603 | [fol.13v, l.6]

Utpala 64.0 srastai]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; srasrastai  $C_{U^{ac}}$  Utpala 64.1 bandhurā]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; babandhurā  $C_{U^{ac}}$  Utpala 64.3 ratnaśabdāṅkatvāt] conj.; ratnadaśāṅkatvāt  $C_U$  Ratnakaṇṭha 64.8 pratisargaṃ paryantavṛtte]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; paryantavṛtte  $C_{R^{ac}}$  Ratnakaṇṭha 64.13 mahākāvye ratnāṅke]  $C_{R^{pc}}$ ; mahākāvye  $C_{R^{ac}}$ 

#### 3.2 Sarga 2: Tāņḍāvavarņanaķ

krīdārasena sa kadācid athādhisānu līlāvalambitahimādrisutākarāgrah | pratyagrakāñcanalatāñcitasamniveśam ākrīdamandapam amandayad aśmadhāmnah || 01 ||

Utpala: [fol.04r, l.21] om namo vighnahantre || krīdā | aśmadhāmno 'dreḥ prakaraṇāc ca mandarasyaiva sānuni udyānamaṇḍapaṃ bhagavān alaṃcakāra || 1 || [fol.04r, l.22]

tasmin kṛtāsanaparigraham adrirāja -

kanyānukūlarasadigdhakathāvidagdham

anyonyaghattanavicūrņitaratnabandha -

hemāngadās tam amarādhibhuvo 'dhijagmuh || 02 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.04r, l.22] tasmi | rasadigdhāḥ śṛṅgārācitāḥ hemamayāny aṅgadāni keyūrāṇi amarādhibhuvo deveśās tam abhiyayuḥ || 2 || [fol.04r, l.24]

vellajjațāpațalaśevalavallarīkām cūdendukhaņdakuțilorumŗņāladaņdām | ākāśasindhum avatamsakapālahamsa -

hāsām amī dadhatam aiksisatainam ārāt || 03 ||

Utpala: [fol.04r, l.24] vella | calajjațāvalayam eva śevālalatā yatra cūdeņdukhaņda eva bisadaņdo yasyāh tathāvidhām gangām bibhrāņam enam haram devešā aiksisanta | adrāksur iti | dantaprabhetyādiślokam [fol.04v, l.1]  $\overline{\text{Utpala 1.2 krīdā}}$  | aśmadhāmno] conj. (Added the pratīka) ; aśmadhāmno C<sub>U</sub> 2c ratnabandha]  $\Sigma_E S_A$ ; ratnabaddha  $E_{R_{AB}}$ ;  $S_C$  is unclear, could be both. 3b cūdendu]  $\Sigma$ ;  $cūrņendu S_B$  Utpala 3.2 tathāvidhām] em.; tathāvidho C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 3.2 enam] C<sub>U<sup>Pe</sup></sub>; evam C<sub>U<sup>ac</sup></sub> Utpala 3.3 aiksiṣanta |] [Punctuation removed.]; aikṣiṣanta | C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 3.3 dantaprabhetyādiślokam] em.; dante prabhetvādiślokam C<sub>U</sub> yāvat kriyā || 3 ||

5

karņāvatamsaghatitotphaņadandaśūka -

phūtkāramārutaparāhatalocanotthaiķ |

riktīkrtām śikhikaņaprakaraih satosa -

gaurīksitām suranadīm širasā vahantam || 04 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.04v, l.1] karņā | dandašūka uragah | agnikaņair alpīkrtatvāt satosayā gauryā īksitām sapatnībhūtatvāt || 4 || [fol.04v, l.2]

cūdāgatena jadadīdhitinā tanutvam

āsedusā sarabhasam praviviksunārāt |

bhāsvallalātatatanākatalārkabimbam

adhyāsyamānam iva bibhratam ūrdhvacakṣuḥ || 05 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.04v, l.2] cūdā | lalāțam eva vyoma tatrārkabimbam iva ūrdhvacakṣur dadhatam | jadadīdhitinā candreņa tanutvam prāptena satā tatraiva praveṣṭukāmenaivādhyāsyamānam | śaśī hi kṣīṇaḥ san vṛddhaye sūryamaṇḍalaṃ viśati || 5 || [fol.04v, l.5]

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

śailātmajāvadanacandramasah katham nu

lekhāpi me na sadrsī sasinā sasokam

ūrdhvekṣaṇotthaśikhinīva nipitsunettham

adhyāsitonnatalalātatatopakaņtham || 06 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.04v, l.5] śailā | sašokam krtvā lalātanetrāgnau patitukāmeneva || 6 || [fol.04v, l.6]

Utpala 3.4 yāvat kriyā]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; yāvan kriyā  $C_{U^{ac}}$  4c satoķa]  $\Sigma_E \dot{S}_B$ ; saroķa  $\dot{S}_C E_{R_{AB}}$ ; sakopa  $E_{R_C}$  Utpala 4.1 uragaļu |] [Punctuation added.] 5d adhyāsyamānam]  $\Sigma$ ; adhyāmayānam  $E_{R^{ac}}$  Utpala 5.1 cūdā] em.; cūda  $C_U$  Utpala 5.2 jada]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; jala  $C_{U^{ac}}$  Utpala 5.3 kṣīṇaḥ] em.; kṣī  $C_U$  6b sasokam]  $\Sigma$ ; sakošam  $\dot{S}_C$  Utpala 6.1 patitukāmeneva] em.; patitakāmeneva  $C_U$ 

stamberamājinagalatksatajāvaseka -

sampāditāruņarucā kvacid uttamānge

tāmbūlarāgaparipāṭalayeva danta -

panktyā virājitakirītakapālakhandam || 07 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.04v, l.6] stambhe | stambheramājinam gajacarma kṣatajam rudhiram || 7 || [fol.04v, l.6]

jyotsnārucāmbaram alam vimalam diśantam

indum nidhāya mukute dayitāyamānam |

tallā<br/>ñchanac<br/>chavim ivātanukālakūța -

cchāyāchalena dadhatam prthukan<br/>țhalagnām || 08 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.04v, l.6] jyotsnā | ambaram atiśayena vimalam diśantam candram maulau nidhāya tatraivalānchanacchāyām iva dadhatam | anyo 'pi durlabhaparivāram kanṭhalagnam bibharti || 8 || [fol.04v, l.8]

samhārakālakavalīkrtasaptalokām

ambhodhibhārabharamantharameghanīlām |

kanthāntarāla<br/>parivartinipītadhūma -

vartitviṣam viṣamayīm dadhatam ca lekhām || 09 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.04v, l.8] samhā | lokagrāsānantaram | pītāyāh kanthāntarvartinyā dhūpavarter iva tvid yasyāh || 9 || [fol.04v, l.9]

prenkhatkarālamaņiśankhasahasraśāra -

### hāroragendraphaņabhanguravīcicakram

<sup>7</sup>a stambera]  $\Sigma_E$ ; stambhera  $\Sigma_S$  7a kṣatajāvaseka]  $\Sigma_E$ ; kṣatajānuseka  $\Sigma_S E_{R_A}$  7d khaṇḍam]  $\Sigma$ ; ṣaṇḍam Ś<sub>C</sub> Utpala 7.1 stambhe |] em.; [Pratīka missing.] C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 7.1 stambheramājinam ] [Punctuation removed.]; stambheramājinam | C<sub>U</sub> 8d chalena]  $E_D$ ; cchalena  $E_R \Sigma_S$  Utpala 8.2 tatraiva] em.; tambaiva C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 8.2 lāñchanacchāyām] C<sub>UPC</sub>; lāñchanacchāyāyām C<sub>Uac</sub> 9b ambhodhi]  $\Sigma_E$ ; ambhoti Ś<sub>B</sub>; amboti Ś<sub>C</sub> 9b meghanīlām]  $\Sigma_E$ ; meghalīlām  $\Sigma_S$  9c dhūma] Ś<sub>B</sub>; dhūpa  $\Sigma_E$ Ś<sub>C</sub>

kanthasthitotkataviśankatakālakūta -

kūțaprabhāprakarakūrmagrham vahantam || 10 ||

Utpala: [fol.04v, l.9] prenkha | sphuranto maņaya eva śańkhās tacchavalasya hārāheḥ phaṇā iva vīcijālaṃ yatrotkaṭo bhīṣaṇo viṣaṅkaṭaś chavis tīrṇo yaḥ kālakūṭarāśis tasya prabhā prakaraḥ samūhaḥ kūrmagṛhaṃ samudraḥ || 10 || [fol.04v, l.12]

5

bhasmāngarāgadhavalam parapustapiccha -

cchāyāngadoragaphaņākulitāmsakūțam

aurvāgnidhūmamalinīkrtavīcibhanga -

dugdhormimālisadrsīm sriyam āsrayantam || 11 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.04v, l.12] bhasma | parapuṣṭāḥ kokilāḥ | dugdhormimālī kṣīrābdhiḥ || 11 || [fol.04v, l.13]

keyūrapannagaviniķsvasitāhatāmsa -

kūtotthitena navadhūlanabhasmanoccaih

sāvartacakram upari bhramatendubimba -

samvāditām upagatena virājamānam || 12 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.04v, l.13] keyū | angadārtham pannaga uragah sāvartacakram krtvopari bhramatāta evendubimbasāmyam gatena || 12 || [fol.04v, l.14]

gambhīrakaṇṭhakuharāspadakālakūṭa niryatprabhādhikamalīmasabhogihāram | vakṣaḥsthalaṃ tuhinaśailaśilāviśālam ālambinīlanalinasrag ivodvahantam || 13 ||

Utpala 10.2 yatrotkațo] em.; yatrotkakșo  $C_U$  Utpala 10.3 prakarah samūhah] em.; prakarasamūhah  $C_U$  11a piccha]  $\Sigma_E$ ; piñcha  $\acute{S}_C$  Utpala 11.1 kokilāh |] [Punctuation added.] Utpala 12.1 uragah]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; ugagah $C_{U^{ac}}$  13c vakṣaḥsthalaṃ]  $\Sigma_E$ ; vakṣasthalaṃ  $\acute{S}_B$ , vakṣassthalaṃ  $\acute{S}_C$ 

Utpala: [fol.04v, l.14] gambhīra | nīlanalinasrak kuvalayadāma || 13 || [fol.04v, l.15]

ankāśrayām rucirakāñcanabhaǹgapiǹga cchāyābhirāmavapuṣam himaśailakanyām | kurvantam añjanamalīmasakālakūṭa kanṭhaprabhābhir abhitah punar eva kālīm || 14 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.04v, l.15] aňkā | kanakabhaňgavat piňgā gaurī chāyā kāntiķ punaķ kālīm kurvantam yato devyāķ kālīty aparam nāma || 14 || [fol.04v, l.16]

saṃvījyamānam asakṛt kakudopadhāna baddhāspadaṃ dviguṇitonnatabāhudaṇḍam | lāṅgūlacāmarakarālaśikhāmarudbhir udbhinnabhaktimanaseva kakudmatārāt || 15 || Utpala: saṃvī | [missing] || 15 ||

sevāgatāmaragaņādhipapārijāta karņāvataṃsasurabhīkṛtam aṅghriyugmam | gandhānubandhimadhupapratibimbalakṣma śārodarair nakhaśaśiprakarair dadhānam || 16 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.04v, l.16] sevā pārijātākhyam devapuspam nakhā eva sasiprakarās tair upalaksitam || 16 || [fol.04v, l.17]

<sup>14</sup>a aṅkāśrayām ]  $\Sigma$ ; aṅgāśrayām footnote of  $E_R$ : "A reads aṅkāśrayām for aṅgāśrayām and it is adopted." Utpala 14.2 aparam nāma ] conj.; a  $\sqcup$  nāma 15b baddhāspadam ]  $\Sigma$ ; baddhāspada Ś<sub>B</sub> 15d kakudmatārāt ]  $\Sigma$ ; kakudmatoccaih Ś<sub>B</sub> Utpala 15.1 samvī |] [Punctuation added. Commentary to this verse missing, and pratīka to the next verse missing, too, both verses beginning with akṣaras whose consonants are sa and va.] Utpala 16.1 sevā ] conj.; pratīka missing, see previous verse.

dantaprabhādalitakanthavisāndhakāra -

cchāyasya samnidhijusah karivaktramūrteh

ūrdhveksaņānalaruco ghanacīnapista -

dhūlic<br/>chațā iva mukhe viniveśayantam || 17 || kulakam

Utpala: [fol.04v, l.17] danta | sannidhijuṣo nikaṭavartino vināyakasya mukhe ūrdhvekṣaṇāgnirucas sindhuracchaṭā iva haṭhād viniveśayantam || 17 || [fol.04v, l.19]

tasmai pranamya manimaulivitankakoti -

țankāhatikvaņitakāñcanapādapīțham | śailādinā nataśiraḥsu nivediteṣu

teşūcitāsanaparigrahanirv<br/>rteşu || 18 ||

Utpala: [fol.04v, l.19] [See 2.19.]

ājaghnire svaraviśesakrtāsthamārga -

citrapracārayatipāņilayābhirāmāh

vispastavādyavidhayah karanānubandha -

bhājaḥ krameṇa murajāḥ sphuṭamārjanāṅkāḥ || 19 || yugalakam atra pāṭhāntaram

Utpala: [fol.04v, l.19] tasmai | teşu deveşu śailādinā śilādāpatyena nandinā nivediteşu | yathocitāsanaparigrahaś ca nirākuleşu satsu murajā ājaghnire Utpala 17.2 sindhurachaṭā] em.; sindhūracchaṭā C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 17.2 haṭhād] conj.; hakṣād C<sub>U</sub> 19a ājaghnire]  $\Sigma$ ; *ājajñire* Ś<sub>B<sup>ac</sup></sub>, same correction probably in the variant of the verse. 19d murajāḥ] murajaḥ Ś<sub>B</sub> 19 variant atra pāṭhāntaram] *ājaghnire* 'tha murajāḥ pravibhaktamārga - citrapracārayatipāṇilayaprapañcāḥ / saṃmārjanākaraṇayogavibhaktamārga cetoharābhimatavādyavidhivyavasthāḥ // (E<sub>D</sub> mentions the variant in the mūla, Ś<sub>B</sub> has both readings, the second reading being introduced by atra pāṭhāntaram also here, but then reads ...cetoharābhinava... here, then numbers this alternate version of the verse as 20, and continues to count, so that verse numbers starting from here are one higher in respect to the editions. Ś<sub>C</sub> also counts this variant as 20, agreeing textually with E<sub>D</sub>. It then omits the next verse nandīsa... . E<sub>R</sub> mentions this variant in a footnote only as reading of E<sub>R<sub>A</sub></sub>., probably with a typo ajaghnire 'ya murajāḥ)

āhatā iti dvābhyām kriyā | manimayesu maulisu ye vitankā unnatā bhāgās tatprāntā eva tankāh sastrakāni tadāhatyā ranitapādapītham krtvā pranamya 5svaraviśesesu sadjādisu krtasthitayo ye mārgā āliptān tritagomukhavitastākhyāh cittrā nānāvidhāh pracārāh samapracārā visamapracārās samavisamapracārās ceti yatayas samās srotogatā gopucchāś ceti pānayah samapānir avapā[-] [fol.05r, 1.1] nir uparipāniś ceti layā drutamadhyavilambitākhyāh etair abhirāmā vādyavidhayas tattvāghānugatākhyāh karaņāni rūpam krtapratikrtam pratibhedo rūpaśesa oghah pariśuskā ceti mārjanāh māyūrī avamāyūrī karmāravī ceti | ślokasyāsya 10 paro 'prāktano bhedah kevalam tatra vacah katabhāvah pañcamaśūnyāmarahalāś ca | etacchlokārtha evoddeśaprasanganamunināpy artho yathā sodaśāksarasampannam caturmārgam tathaiva ca dvivālam satkaranakam triyati trilayam tathā trigatam tripracāram ca trisamyogam tripāņikam | †daśāvapāņiprahabham† tripracāram trimārjanam | vimšatyalankārayutam tathāstādašajātikam | ebhih 15prakāraih sampannam vādyam puskarajam bhaved iti | atra sarvatra laksaņam granthagauravabhayān nālekhi || 19 || [fol.05r, l.10]

#### nandīśanirdayakarāhatapuskaresu

mandram dhvanatsu murajeşu vibhajya tanduh | āsāriteşu parikalpitasaptabheda -

paryāptaśobham atha tāṇḍavam abhyagāyat || 20 ||

Utpala: [fol.05r, l.10] nandī | nandirudreņāhatāni puşkarāņi mukhāni yeşām | ata eva mandram aparuşam raņatsu murajeşu | tāņḍur nāma tāṇḍavam tena proktam gītakaviśeṣam vibhajyā 'vāpādinā vibhāgīkṛtya jagau | kecit tu tāṇḍavasya sukumārāviddhabhedena dvaividhyād devīstave sukumāram bhagavatsutau cāviddham iti vibhāgārtham āhuḥ | āsāriteṣu jyeṣṭhamadhyakaniṣṭhapūrveṣu Utpala 19.6 pracārāś]  $C_{U^{pe}}$ ; pracārāśaś  $C_{U^{ae}}$  Utpala 19.7 ava] em.; aba  $C_U$  Utpala 19.10 avamāyūrī] em.; abamāyūrī  $C_U$  Utpala 19.11 'prāktano] conj.; prāktano  $C_U$  Utpala 19.12 etacchlokārtha] conj.; etaślokārtha  $C_{U^{pe}}$ ; etaślokākṛ  $C_{U^{ae}}$  20 ]  $E_R$  reports the missing of this verse in  $E_{R_A}$ , also in Ś<sub>C</sub> it is missing. Most likely the scribes did the mistake of counting the alternative reading of 19 as verse twenty and then overlooked the real verse 20, continuing with verse 21. 20a nirdaya]  $\Sigma$ ; nirmala Ś<sub>B</sub> 20c saptabheda]  $\Sigma$ ; satribheda  $C_A$  (v.l.) Utpala 20.1 yeṣām |] [Punctuation added.]; yeṣām  $C_U$  Utpala 20.2 murajeṣu] em.; murujeṣu  $C_U$ Utpala 20.4 dvaividhyād] em.; ddhaividhyād  $C_U$  Utpala 20.5 āhuḥ |] [Punctuation added.]; āhuḥ  $C_U$  parikalpitā saptabhir bhedair āvāpani<br/>ṣkrāmavikṣepapraveśasya tālasannipā-takhyair bahulā sobhā yatra || 20 || [fol.05<br/>r, l.16]

krodīkṛtādritanayāḥ śaśikhaṇḍamauli maulāpadānaracitastuti mātaras tat | līlālalāmalalitābhinayaprapañca saṃcāracāru rasabhāvadṛśo 'bhininyuḥ || 21 ||

Utpala: [fol.05r, l.16] krodī | maulam ādyam | apadānam śubham karma | garbhībhūtā tadviṣayā stutir yatra tan mātaro devatā abhininyuh līlayeṣṭajanānukāre utkṛṣṭo lalitāś cābhinayasyāṅgikavācikā hāryasāttvikabhedāt prapañcas tadvaśāt samcāriṇyaś śūnyādibhedenāta eva | cārvo rasadṛṣṭayaḥ kāntādyā bhāvadṛṣṭayaś ca snigdhādyā yāsām || 21 || [fol.05r, l.21]

āpītapātalasitetarakundagaura -

dehatviso lalitanartanavibhramasthāh

bhremur gaņādhipatayo 'bhinayakriyāsu

mūrtā rasā iva pariskrtarangapīthāh || 22 ||

Utpala: [fol.05r, l.21] āpī | āpītādidehakāntaya āhāryābhinayavaśāt gaņādhipāś cārīprayogavaśād bhremuḥ | pariṣkṛtam alaṅkṛtam aṅgapīṭhaṃ yair mūrtāḥ śarīriņo rasā iva te 'pi nānāvarṇāḥ | yad uktaṃ śyāmo bhavati śṛṅgāras sito hāsyaḥ prakīrtita ityādi || 22 || [fol.05r, l.24]

5

5

**<sup>21</sup>b** maulāpadānaracitastuti]  $\Sigma_E$ ; maulāpadānaviṣayastuti  $\hat{S}_B$ , cf.  $C_A$  tadviṣayā stutir; mālāpadānuracitastuti  $\hat{S}_C$  **21b** tat]  $\Sigma$ ; tam  $\hat{S}_B$  **21c** lalitābhinaya]  $\Sigma$ ; lulitābhinaya  $\hat{S}_B$  **Utpala 21.1** krodī] em.; krīdī  $C_U$  **Utpala 21.1** ādyam |] [Punctuation added.]; ādyam  $C_U$  **Utpala 21.1** karma |] [Punctuation added.]; karma  $C_U$  **Utpala 21.4** śūnyādibhedenāta]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; śūnyādinayavaśātabhedenāta  $C_{U^{ac}}$  **22a** kunda]  $\Sigma$ ; rakta  $\hat{S}_B$  **22d** pīṭhāḥ]  $\Sigma$ ; śīrśāḥ,  $\hat{S}_B$ , cf.  $C_A$  (v.l.) **Utpala 22.2** vaśād]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; vaśāt  $C_{U^{ac}}$  **Utpala 22.2** bhremuḥ |] [Punctuation added.]; bhremuḥ  $C_U$  **Utpala 22.3** 'pi] [Avagraha added]; pi  $C_U$  **Utpala 22.3** varņāḥ]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; vajñāḥ  $C_{U^{ac}}$ 

Utpala 22.3 śyāmo bhavati śringāras sito hāsyah prakīrtita] NS 6.3.42

tesām purah puraripau visamaprayoga -

nṛttopadeśarabhasāt svayam ujjihāne

pātālarandhram abhavan natabhūmipīțha -

nișpișțaś<br/>eșaphanaratnakanāvakīr<br/>nam || 23 ||

Utpala: [fol.05r, l.21] teṣām | purārau svayam ujjhihāne uttiṣṭhati sati || 23 || [fol.05r, l.22]

so 'bhyutthito bhuvanam ākulayām઼cakāra vispaṣṭadṛṣṭatimiravyapalīnaham़sam | līlāvarāha iva dhūmajaṭāsaṭāgra vispandisindhujalaśīkaradurdinārdram || 24 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.05r, l.22] so 'bhyu | spaṣṭadṛṣṭatimiratvena vyapalīno haṃsaḥ sūryo haṃsaś ca śuklacchadā pattriņo yatra | kecitu dṛṣṭas [fol.05v, l.1] timir matsyaprādurbhāvo yasyeti līlāvarāhaviśeṣaṇaṃ pṛthag āhuḥ | jaṭā eva saṭās tadagrāviṣyandinī sindhur gaṅgā | aparatra samudraḥ || 24 || [fol.05v, l.2]

5

bhasmāngarāgadhavalesu bhujesu tasya

kalmāsayatsu gaganam karavartanābhih

subhrābhrarājicakitāh paripuñjyamāna -

picchāvacūlavapuṣaḥ śikhino vidadruḥ || 25 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.05r, l.2] bhasmā | karavartanā udveṣṭitāpaveṣṭitavyāvṛttaparivartitākhyāḥ kamalavartanādyā vā śubhramegharājitaḥ śaratkālabhrāntyā bhramāś śikhino mayūrāḥ vidrūtāḥ || 25 || [fol.05v, l.5]

**<sup>23</sup>a** puraḥ]  $\Sigma_E \acute{S}_B$ ; purā  $\acute{S}_C E_{R_A}$  **23d** kaņāvakīrṇam]  $\Sigma$ ; karāvakīrṇam  $\acute{S}_C$  **24c** dhūma]  $\Sigma$ ; dhūta  $\acute{S}_B$  **24d** viṣpandi]  $\Sigma$ ; viṣyandi  $\acute{S}_C$  **24d** śīkara]  $\Sigma_{\acute{S}}$ ; sīkara  $\Sigma_E$  **Utpala 24.2** yatra |] [Punctuation added.]; yatra  $C_U$  **Utpala 24.2** kecitu] em.; keci tu  $C_U$  **25c** cakitāḥ]  $\Sigma$ ; cakitaḥ  $\acute{S}_C$  **Utpala 25.1** udveṣțitāpaveṣțitā]  $C_{U^{Pc}}$ ; udveṣțitbhatāpaveṣțitā  $C_{U^{ac}}$ 

gādhāngadoragaphaņāmaņiraśmirāga -

rugņāndhakāranikarāparapārśvabhūmeķ |

śailasya bhāskararucām avadhes tadīya -

bāhudrumai<br/>ḥ sapadi cukṣudire 'śmakūṭāḥ || 26 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.05v, l.5] gāḍhā | bhāskararucām avadheś śailasya lokālokasya śringāņi cukṣudire cūrņīkṛtāni || 26 || [fol.05v, l.6]

viksiptabāhunivahasya vihasya diksu tasyāṅgahārakaraṇakramakampimūrdhnaḥ agrātipātisalilā surasindhur āpac

cīnā<br/>ņśukojjvalatiraskaraņīvilāsam || 27 ||

Utpala: [fol.05v, l.6] vikși | aṅgahāraḥ karaṇāni anyās sthirahastādayaḥ teṣāṃ karaṇaṃ prayuktiḥ | yad vā karaṇāni yuddhāny eva talapuṭādyāni gaṅ-gaiva paṭṭāṃsukanibhā śobhā prāpat || 27 || [fol.05v, l.8]

agre ninartiṣata eva vilocanāgnir asyāśu piñjaritadinmukhacakrabālaih | tigmāṃśubimbakaṭakapratibaddhavṛttir arcirbhir ātapatiraskariņīṃ nirāsthat || 28 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.05v, l.8] agre nartitum icchata ivāsyāgre sūryabimbam eva kaṭakaṃ tadbaddham | ātapam eva tiraskariņīṃ netrāgnir arcirbhir nirāsthat | raṅgapīṭhāvatīrṇasya hi naṭasyāgrāj javanikā apasāryate || 28 || [fol.05v, l.11]

<sup>26</sup>a maņi]  $\Sigma_{\dot{S}} E_{R_{AD}}$ ; phaņi E !!!!check!!! 26b nikarā]  $\Sigma$ ; nikarāļ  $\dot{S}_{C}$  26b parapāršva]  $\Sigma_{E}$ ; paripāršva  $\Sigma_{\dot{S}}$  26d cukșudire]  $\Sigma_{E}$ ; cukșubhire  $\dot{S}_{B^{ac}}$ (marginal correction illegible on file) $\dot{S}_{C} E_{R_{AC}}$  27d cīnā]  $\Sigma$ ; cola  $C_{A}$  (v.l.) 27d tiraskaraņī]  $\Sigma_{E}$ ; tiraskariņī  $\Sigma_{\dot{S}}$  28b cakrabālaiḥ]  $\Sigma_{E}$ ; cakravālaiḥ  $\Sigma_{\dot{S}}$ ; cakravākaiḥ  $E_{R_{AC}}$  28c bimba]  $\Sigma$ ; bhimba  $\dot{S}_{B}$  28c vṛttir]  $\Sigma$ ; vṛttim  $\dot{S}_{B^{Pc}}$  28d nirāsthat]  $\Sigma$ ; nirāsthāt  $\dot{S}_{B}$  Utpala 28.1 agre] em.; agne  $C_{U}$  Utpala 28.2 ātapam]  $C_{U^{Pc}}$ ; ātam  $C_{U^{ac}}$  Utpala 28.2 nirāsthat [] [Punctuation added.]; nirāsthat  $C_{U}$ 

āksipyamāņavividhābhinayaprabandha -

samdarśanārtham iva sarvadi<br/>śām purastāt  $\mid$ 

vispastarecakarayākulabāhudaņda -

piṣṭādrikūṭanikarān akarot sa mārgān || 29 ||

Utpala: [fol.05v, l.11] ākṣi | vividhāś catvāro 'bhinayā āṅgikādyāḥ | recako viśiṣṭaṃ bhramaṇaṃ | grīvā bāhvādīnāṃ diśāṃ nāyakatvam atra || 29 || [fol.05v, l.12]

tasyāngahāravalitātanunrttahasta -

bhasmāngarāgadhavalonnatapīnabāho<br/>ḥ $\mid$ 

sāvarta cakravika <br/>țormigha țāsahas<br/>ra -

samkīrnadug<br/>dhajaladhi<br/>pratirūpatāsīt || 30 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.05v, l.12] tasyā | aṅgahārārthaṃ valitā bāhavo nṛttahastāś caturaśrādayaḥ | | tadvalanāt tulyam āvartacakraṃ pratirūpatā sāmyam || 30 || [fol.05v, l.14]

vistāraśālikanakācalabījakosa -

cakrasya nrttavalanāsu sahasrasamkhyaih |

phullajjapāruņatalair bhuvanāravinda -

koşasya pattrapațalāyitam asya hastai<br/>h||31 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.05v, l.14] vistā | kanakācalo merur eva karņikā yatra vikasajjapākhyapuspavad aruņair hastaih pattrapațalatvam ācaritam || 31 || [fol.05v, l.15]

Utpala 29.1 'bhinayā] [Avagraha added.]; bhinayā C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 29.1 ānġikādyāḥ |] [Punctuation added, Sandhi dissolved.]; ānġikādyā C<sub>U</sub> **30a** nṛtta]  $\Sigma$ ; vṛtta Ś<sub>C</sub> **30b** bāhoḥ]  $E_RŚ_B$ ; bāhvoḥ Ś<sub>C</sub>E<sub>D</sub> Utpala 30.1 bāhavo] [Punctuation removed.]; bāhavo | C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 30.2 caturaśrādayaḥ |] C<sub>U<sup>pc</sup></sub>; caturaśr[illegible character cancelled.]ādayaḥ C<sub>U<sup>ac</sup></sub> Utpala 30.2 sāmyam] em.; sāmyaṃ C<sub>U</sub> **31a** vistāra]  $\Sigma$ ; vistāri Ś<sub>B</sub> **31a** koṣa]  $\Sigma$ ; kośa Ś<sub>B</sub> **31c** bhuvanāravinda]  $\Sigma_E$ ; bhuvanārabinda  $\Sigma_{\acute{S}}$  **31d** koṣasya]  $\Sigma_E$ ; kośasya  $\Sigma_{\acute{S}}$  **31d** pattra]  $\Sigma$ ; patra Ś<sub>C</sub>

pīnāmsakūtaghatitam galakālakūta -

cchāyāniśānusaraņārtham ivābhyupetam

tenottamāngavidhutiślathamaulibandha -

visrastam induśakalam bibharām<br/>babhūve || 32 ||

Utpala: [fol.05v, l.15] pīnā | kālakūțac<br/>chāyaiva rātriķ || 32 || [fol.05v, l.16]

tasyordhvalocanamarīcimato viśīrņa -

pingatvișaś ciram abhāvitarām purastāt |

ābaddhavartanatayā vikasatkarāla -

5

raktāngulīdalakulaih karapadmakhandaih || 33 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.05v, l.16] tasyo | ūrdhvalocanam eva marīcimān sūrya<br/>ḥ tadagre karair eva padmair vikasada<br/>'ngulīdalenaiva bhāvikūtam || 33 || [fol.05v, l.17]

saṃdehitādhavalabhāsmanadhūlidigdha taddordrumānilarayoddhatavīcibhaṅgāḥ | dugdhodadher nabhasi paprathire muhūrtam āliṅgitātanutarotkalikābhragaṅgāḥ || 34 ||

Utpala: [fol.05v, l.17] sande | dhavalayā bhāsmanadhūlyāliptānām tasya bhagavato bāhudrumāņām anilavegenoddhatā vīcibaṅgā dugdhābdeḥ sambandhino vyomni prathitāḥ ata eva sandehitāḥ kim bhasmācitā bhagavadbhujā utataraṇgāḥ iti athaś ca āsandehitāśca ā samantād bhasmadigdhair bhujair anilarayoddhatāś ca tā iti yojyam | kecit tu sandehitāḥ dhavalabhāsmanabhaktidigdhā iti pṛthak paṭhanti utkalikā laharyo gaṅgāyāś ca samāsoktyā nāyakatvād †draruhik↠api ||

**32**d babhūve ]  $\Sigma$ ;  $bhabh\bar{u}ve$  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_B$ Utpala **32.1** kālakūta]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; kālakūja **33c** vartanatayā  $\Sigma$ ; nartanatayā  $\hat{S}_B$ khandaih]  $\Sigma_E$ ;  $C_{U^{ac}}$ 33dsandaih  $\Sigma_{\acute{S}}$ Utpala **33.2** vikasadangulīdalenaiva ] C<sub>Upc</sub>; vikasaddalenaiva  $C_{U^{ac}}$ **3**4a samdehitādhavalabhāsmanadhūlidigdha -]  $\Sigma$ ; sandehitāh dhavalabhāsmanabhaktidigdhā  $C_U$ (mentioned as alternative reading) **34b** drumānila]  $\Sigma$ ; kramānila  $\hat{S}_C$ **34c** paprathire] Alaka mentions a reading *pupuşire*. Utpala 34.2 vīcibangā] em.; vīcibandhā  $C_U$ Utpala **34.3** uta]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; utata  $C_{U^{ac}}$  Utpala **34.4** athaś] em.; ayaś  $C_U$ Utpala 34.5 iti] em.; ihi  $C_U$ Utpala 34.5 yojyam []  $C_{U^{pc}}$ , [Punctuation added]; ihi yohitāh  $C_{U^{ac}}$ Utpala **34.6** laharyo] [Punctuation removed.]; laharyo  $C_U$ 

34 || [fol.05v, l.23]

pātālaveśmagatabandhudidrkṣayeva vikṣipyamāṇamaṇibandhanabaddhasaṃsthāḥ | tasya vyadhuḥ phaṇabhṛtaḥ phaṇacakramukta phūtkāramārutaviśīrṇajalān payodhīn ||35 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.05v, l.24] pātā | maņibandhanam bāhuvalayasthānam | vyadhu<br/>ḥ cakruḥ || 35 ||

vyaktāngahārakaranakramakīryamāna -

dordaņdamaņdalatayā bibharāmcakāra |

samhāramārutavikampitakalpavrksa -

kailāsa<br/>śailasadr<br/>śīm śriyam indumauli<br/>h||36 ||

Utpala: [fol.05v, l.25] vyakta | kalpavrkṣatulyā bāhava<br/>h||36 ||

tena vyadhīyatatarām iva vellitāgra dordaņḍabhasmakaņarājibhir ujjvalābhiḥ | nirmitsyamānanijanṛttabharābhiyoga yogyāntarālabhuvanāntarasūtrapātaḥ || 37 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.05v, l.25] tena | nirmartum iṣṭasya nijanṛttābhiyoge yogyāntarālasya [fol.06r, l.1] paratrabhāgasya sūtrapāta iva bhagavatā sthapatineva kṛtaḥ || 37 ||

ārabdhanartanakarāngulikoțibhāga nisthyūtadīdhitisikhālikhitācchalekham

**<sup>35</sup>a** didṛkṣayeva]  $\Sigma$ ; didṛkṣayaiva  $\hat{S}_C$  **Utpala 35.1** bāhuvalayasthānam |] [Punctuation added, sandhi dissolved.]; bāhuvalayasthānam  $C_U$  **Utpala 37.1** nijanṛttābhiyoge] em.; nijavṛttābhiyoge  $C_U$  **Utpala 37.1** yogyāntarālasya] em.; yogyāntarālasyā  $C_U$  **38a** ārabdha]  $\Sigma$ ; ābaddha  $\hat{S}_B$ 

tasyābhavat sphuradanekasahasrasamkhya -

jihmāmṛtāmśukalikānkam ivāntarikṣam || 38 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.06r, l.1] āra | nakhāgrani<br/>rgatadīdhitilekhitalekhatvād anekakuțilacandrakalā<br/>nikitam iva vyomābhūt || 38 || [fol.06r, l.3]

uddāmarecakarayabhramaṇānubandha -

sambaddhabudbudakanākulasiddhasindhuh

laksmīm anujjhitakirātadas āvanaddha -

nāgendrakancuka ivāsya babhāra jūtah || 39 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.06r, l.3] uddā | recako grīvāyā evātra | anubandhaḥ paunaḥpunyam | nāgendrasya vāsukeḥ | kañcuko nirmokaḥ || 39 || [fol.06r, l.4]

tadbāhughūrņanavalanmṛgarājakṛtti vajrāśriśātanakhakoṭinipātabhītaiḥ | digdantibhis tvaritakuñcitajānuparva -

kharvībhavadvikatakumbhatatair visede || 40 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.06r, l.4] tadbā | tasya | mṛgarājakṛttis siṃhacarma | tatra vajrakoțivat śātās tīkṣṇā ye nakhās tatpātabhītair diggajair niṣaṇṇam || 40 || [fol.06r, l.5]

tasyāngahārakaraņākulabāhudaņda -

khaņdāspadā sapadi kesarirājakrttiķ |

Utpala 38.1 nakhāgranirgatadīdhitilekhitalekhatvād]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; nakhāgranirgatadīdhitilekhitalekhatvādad  $C_{U^{ac}}$  39a uddāma]  $\Sigma$ ; uddhāma Ś<sub>B</sub> 39b sambaddha]  $\Sigma$ ; sambandha Ś<sub>B</sub> 39b siddhasindhuḥ]  $\Sigma$ ; sindhasindhuḥ Ś<sub>C</sub> Utpala 39.1 paunaḥpunyam |] em., [Punctuation added.]; paunaḥpuṇyam C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 39.2 vāsukeḥ |] [Punctuation added.]; vāsukeḥ C<sub>U</sub> 40d viṣede]  $\Sigma$ ; viṣedhe Ś<sub>C</sub> Utpala 40.1 tadbā | tasya |] [Pratīka added. Next verse begins with tasyā.] Utpala 40.1 mṛgarājakṛttis] em.; mṛgarājakṛtis C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 40.1 siṃhacarma |] [Punctuation added.]; siṃhacarma C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 40.2 vajrakoṭivat ] [Punctuation removed.]; vajrakoṭivat | C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 40.2 tatpātabhītair] em.; taryātabhītair C<sub>U</sub> 41a daṇḍa]  $\Sigma$ ; saṇḍa Ś<sub>C</sub> 41b khaṇḍāspadā]  $\Sigma$ ; daṇḍāspada Ś<sub>C</sub>

tārāś cakarṣa karajaiś caṭulīkaḍāra -

cūdājusah priyam ivodupates cikīrsuh || 41 ||

Utpala: [fol.06r, l.6] tasyā | cațulī ulkā tadvat kapilā yā cūḍā tatsevinaś candrasya kāntāprāpaņāt priyam iva kartum icchus siṃhakṛttis tārā ācakarṣa || 41 || [fol.06r, l.7]

dordaņḍamārutavighaṭṭitaśailakūṭa dhūlicchaṭāpaṭaladhūsaram uṣṇadhāmnaḥ | uddhūlanonmṛditabhasmarajobhir aicchad īśaḥ pramārṣṭum iva bimbatalātmadarśam || 42 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.06r, l.8] dordaņda  $\mid$ īšo bhagavān bhasmarajobhir uṣṇadhāmno raver bimbam evādaršam pramārṣṭum ivābhilalāṣa  $\mid\mid$  42  $\mid\mid$  [fol.06r, l.9]

lakṣmīpater iva nirargaladaṇḍapāda śākhāgrakoṭighaṭitaḥ kṣaṇam uṣṇaraśmiḥ | tasyābabhāv adhinabho 'rghajalāvasakta padmāsanānamitaratnakamandaluśrīh || 43 ||

Utpala: [fol.06r, l.9] lakṣmī | nirargalo niṣpratibandhaḥ śākhā aṅgulyaḥ adhinabho vyomni viṣṇor arghajalārtham iva sakto lagno brahmaṇānamito ratnamayo yaḥ kamaṇḍalus tattulyaśobhaḥ | hareḥ kila trailokyam ākramato brahmaṇā pādaḥ kṣālitaḥ || 43 || [fol.06r, l.12]

5

tasyāstarīsata navābhinayakriyāsu

dikcakravālam abhitah sthagitāntariksāh |

Utpala 41.1 cațulī] em.; also possible caḍulī, c.f. [Schmidt(1915), p.280].; cuḍulī C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 41.1 tadvat] C<sub>U<sup>pc</sup></sub>; tadvayat C<sub>U<sup>ac</sup></sub> 43b śākhāgra]  $\Sigma_{E\acute{S}}$ ; pādāgra E<sub>RAB</sub> Utpala 43.2 adhinabho] C<sub>U<sup>pc</sup></sub>; a adhinabho C<sub>U<sup>pc</sup></sub> Utpala 43.2 arghajalārtham] em.; arkajalārtham C<sub>U</sub>

ambhodhimārgagamanābhimukhāḥ śirastha sindhupravāhanivahā iva bāhudaṇḍāḥ || 44 ||

Utpala: [fol.06r, l.12] tasyā | āstarīṣata ācchādayāmāsu<br/>ḥ || 44 ||

tena vyalokyata valadbhujadaṇḍakhaṇḍa caṇḍānilāhativighaṭṭanaviprakīrṇam | prabhraṣṭam ambaratalād vidhutottamāṅga visrastaśekharakapāladhiyendubimbam || 45 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.06r, l.12] tena | śekharakapālabhrānti<br/>buddhyā indubimbam drṣṭam || 45 || [fol.06r, l.13]

brahmāsanāmburuham āhitasarvaloka kampe vibhoḥ śiśirakāla ivānukāre | paryastabāhuvanadhūlanabhasmadhūli nīhārapātadalitacchadaśobham āsīt || 46 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.06r, l.13] brahmā | bhayaśītak<br/>rto vepatuh | anukāre nṛtte bhasmadhūlir eva himam || 46 || [fol.06r, l.14]

graiveyakoragaphaṇāmaṇicakravāla saṃdhyāruṇoḍuśabalaṃ sthagayāṃcakāra | diṅmaṇḍalaṃ pralayakālaniśeva tasya kaṇṭhaprabhā timiranīlaruciḥ sphurantī || 47 ||

<sup>44</sup>c śirastha]  $\Sigma$ ; śirasstha Ś<sub>C</sub> 44d nivahā]  $\Sigma$ ; vivahā Ś<sub>B</sub> 45a khaṇḍa]  $\Sigma_E$ ; ṣaṇḍa  $\Sigma_{\acute{S}}$ 45d śekhara]  $\Sigma$ ; śikara Ś<sub>C</sub> $E_{R_A}$  Utpala 45.1 tena |] [Punctuation added.] 46c paryasta] Ś<sub>B</sub>; paryanta Ś<sub>C</sub> $\Sigma_E$  Utpala 46.1 brahmā] em.; kampo C<sub>U</sub> [This is the beginning of the second pada of the verse.] Utpala 46.1 bhayaśītakrto] C<sub>UPC</sub>; bhayaśetakrto C<sub>Uac</sub> Utpala 46.1 vepatuḥ |] [Punctuation added.]; vepatuḥ C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 46.2 himam] em.; homam C<sub>U</sub> 47a graiveyakoraga]  $\Sigma$ ; graivīyakoraga Ś<sub>B</sub> 47b saṃdhyāruṇoḍuśabalaṃ]  $\Sigma_E$ ; sandhyāruṇoḍuśavalā Ś<sub>B</sub>, sandhyāruṇoḍuśavalaṃ Ś<sub>C</sub>

Utpala: [fol.06r, l.14] graive | grīvālankārasyoragasya phaņamaņirāga eva sandhyālohitā udavas tārāh | uragaphaņesūdgatarāgāņi ratnāny eva † sandhyāruņā tarava † iti tu pāṭhaḥ śreyān | timiravat nīlā rucir yasyāḥ kalpāntarātriś ca raktanakṣattraśavalā sāndhakārā ca || 47 || [fol.06r, l.17]

5

cūdājadāmsur api jūtajatānikunja -

baddhāspadah pratidišam sucirād avāpa |

ārabdhanartanakarānilaghatyamāna -

tārāpuram<br/>dhriparirambhasukhāni śambho<br/>h $\mid\mid$ 48  $\mid\mid$ 

**Utpala:** [fol.06r, l.17] cūdā | jadāmsus candro 'tha ca jalaprakrtir api †bhagavat k↠vartanāniloddhūyamānās tārā eva puranddhrayas tadālinganasukhāni prāpa | jatā eva nikunījam | srngārī hi nāyikayā saha nikunījabhāge āste || 48 || [fol.06r, l.20]

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

```
līlāvadhūtaśiraso 'sya valajjaṭāgra -
viṣyandisāndrasalilokṣitakoṭibhāgāt |
uccaṇḍatāṇḍavarasasya vinirjagāma
mandākinī madhuripor iva daṇḍapādāt || 49 ||
```

**Utpala:** [fol.06r, l.20] līlā | asya śambhor līlāvadhūtāc chiraso viṣṇupadād iva gaṅgā niryayau || 49 || [fol.06r, l.21]

tasyāśu śoņitam ivojjagarur gariṣṭha dordaṇḍaghātavidhurā girayas tadānīm

Utpala 47.1 grīvālaikārasyoragasya]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; grīvālaikārasyorasagasya  $C_{U^{ac}}$  48a cūdā]  $\Sigma$ ;  $c\bar{u}dh\bar{a}$   $\hat{S}_B$  48c ghațyamāna]  $\Sigma_E$ ; ghațțamāna  $\Sigma_{\dot{S}} E_{R_A}$  Utpala 48.1 candro]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; caņdo  $C_{U^{ac}}$  Utpala 48.1 'tha] [Avagraha added.]; tha  $C_U$  Utpala 48.2 bhagavat]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; bhagavatva  $C_{U^{ac}}$  Utpala 48.2 tadālingana]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; tadidālingana  $C_{U^{ac}}$  Utpala 48.3 prāpa |] [Punctuation added.]; prāpa  $C_U$  Utpala 48.3 saha]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; sahanti  $C_{U^{ac}}$  Utpala 48.3 nikuñja]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; ntinikuñja  $C_{U^{ac}}$  49b vişyandi]  $\hat{S}_B$ ; nişpandi  $E_{D^{ac}R}$ , nişyandi  $E_{D^{pc}}$ , nişşyandi  $\hat{S}_C$ 

udvartanānilarayotthitadhātavīya -

#### dhūlic<br/>chațāruņitanirj<br/>haravīcibhaṅgā<br/>ḥ $\mid\mid$ 50 $\mid\mid$

Utpala: [fol.06r, l.21] tasyā | ujjagaruḥ †udavasann† iva | gariṣṭhā gurutarāḥ | dhātavīyā gairikādisambandhinī || 50 || [fol.06r, l.22]

tena vyadhāyiṣata bāhuvanaprakoṣṭha baddhāsitoragaphaṇāmaṇirāgapiṅgāḥ | saṃhārakālarabhasotthitadhūmadaṇḍa kalmāṣitānalaśikhā iva digvibhāgāḥ || 51 ||

**Utpala:** tena | vyadhāyiṣata kṛtāḥ | prakoṣṭham antaraṃ vidyād āratnimaṇibandhayoḥ | asitāḥ kṛṣṇāḥ || 51 || [fol.06r, l.24]

tasyādhinṛttam analolbaṇadṛṣṭipāta lakṣyīkṛteṣu phaṇino maṇibandhaneṣu | tattāpakhedaparivartanalakṣyamāṇa pītodarāḥ kanakakambuvilāsam āpuḥ || 52 ||

Utpala: [fol.06r, l.24] tasyā | nṛttakāle maņibandhanasthā phaņino netrāgnilakṣīkṛtatvena aruņodarāḥ kanakavalayaśobhāṃ prāpuḥ | kambuśabda upacārād valayamātravācī || 52 || [fol.06r, l.26]

sānandanandikaratāditapuskarāgra cetoharānkikapayodharanādahrstah |

**<sup>50</sup>c** dhātavīya]  $\Sigma$ ; dhātaveya  $\hat{S}_C$  **Utpala 50.1** iva |] [Punctuation added.]; iva  $C_U$  **Utpala 51.1** tena] em.; tenā  $C_U$  **Utpala 51.2** āratni] em.; atni  $C_U$  **Utpala 51.2** maņibandhayoḥ |] [Punctuation added.]; maņibandhayoḥ  $C_U$  **52a** analolbaṇa]  $\Sigma_E$ ; analojjvala  $\Sigma_{\dot{S}}$  **Utpala 52.1** phaņino] em.; pheņino  $C_U$  **Utpala 52.1** netrāgnilakṣīkṛtatvena] em.; netrāgnilakṣmīkṛtatvena  $C_U$ 

**Utpala 51.1** prakostham antaram vidyād āratnimaņibandhayoh [] cf. Vallabhadeva's commentary of Kālidāsa's Kumārasambhava, 3.41. [Murti(1980), p.79].

ārabdharecakalayah kalayāmcakāra

līlām sa mecakagalah sphutacandraka<br/>śrīh || 53 ||

Utpala: [fol.06r, l.26] sāna | nandī nāma gaņas tatkarābhyām tāditam mukham yasya so 'nkikākhyo muraja eva meghah | ārabdho grīvarecakasya layaś śleşo drutādilayena sa mecakagalah śarvo līlām iṣṭhajanānukāram kalayām cakāra [fol.06v, l.1] prārebhe | alpaś candraś candrakah | mecakagalo 'pi mayūro meghanādahṛṣṭas samlayam kṛtvā †līlāyāmś† candrakaśobhī ca bhavati || 53 || [fol.06v, l.2]

sāvartanābhir abhito lalitāngahāra śobhā manoramaparikramatām vahantī | ceto jahāra dayitā rasabhāvahrdya -

rūpā kriyā puraripo<br/>ḥ śubhahastadṛṣṭiḥ || 54 ||

Utpala: [fol.06 v, l.2] sāva | sā śambhor līlārūpā kriyā vartanābhir udveṣṭitādikarakriyābhiś cetaḥ ahṛta | aṅgahārāḥ sthirahastādayaḥ | parikramo vṛttibhedena gativiśeṣaḥ | dayitā ramyā | rasāḥ śṛṅgārādayaḥ | bhāvā ratyādayaḥ | sthāyivyabhicāryanubhāvarūpāḥ | hastāḥ patākādayaḥ | saṃyutāsaṃyutanṛttahastabhedabhinnāḥ | dṛṣṭayaḥ kāntādyā rasabhāvasaṃcārabhedinyaḥ | ataś ca dayitā kānteva | tasyās sāvartanābhiḥ aṅgahāro muktādāma paritaḥ kramaṇaṃ parikramaḥ rasaḥ kāntānurāgaḥ | tasya bhāvas sattā | śubhau hastau dṛṣṭiś ca || 54 || [fol.06v, l.9]

5

5

**<sup>53</sup>c** ārabdha]  $\Sigma_E$ ; vispasta  $\Sigma_{\acute{S}}$  **53c** layaḥ]  $\Sigma_E$ ; rayaḥ  $\Sigma_{\acute{S}}$ Utpala 53.1 nandī nāma gaņas] em.; also possible em.: nandir nāma gaņas; nandinā | mārgaņas  $C_U$ Utpala Utpala **53.1** tatkarābhyām] em. taskarābhyām Utpala **53.2** muraja] em.; muruja  $C_U$ **53.3** drutādilayena] em.; drutādivāyena  $C_U$  Utpala **53.4** prārebhe] em.; prarebhe  $C_U$  Utpala 53.4 candrakah |] [Punctuation added, Sandhi dissolved.]; candrako  $C_U$ Utpala **53.4** 'pi ] [Avagraha added.]; pi  $C_U$ **Utpala 53.5** samlayam] conj.; sa layam  $C_{U}$ Utpala 53.5 †līlāyāms†] [There are two dots for Anusvāra here, one of them possibly cancelled. Both  $l\bar{\imath} l\bar{a} y \bar{a} m$  or  $l\bar{\imath} l\bar{a} y \bar{a} s$  would be possible. Utpala 54.1 sāva] em.; sāvā  $C_U$ Utpala **54.2** karakriyābhiś] em.; nakarakriyābhiś  $C_U$ Utpala 54.2 sthirahastādayah [] [Punctuation added.]; sthirahastādayah  $C_U$ Utpala 54.3 ramyā |] [Punctuation added.]; ramyā Utpala 54.3 śrigārādayah [] [Punctuation added.]; śrigārādayah  $C_U$  $C_U$ Utpala **54.4** ratyādayah |] [Punctuation added.]; ratyādayah  $C_U$ Utpala 54.5 samyutāsamyuta] em.; samyatāsamyuta C<sub>U</sub> Utpala 54.5 bhi $\eta_{2}$ āh |] [Punctuation added.]; bhinnāh C<sub>U</sub>

dordaņdakhaņdavalanāny atisaṃkaṭatvam utprekṣya no vidadhire kakubhāṃ purastāt | vinyastamandacaraṇaṃ paricakrame ca bhūmaṇḍalaṃ vidalatīti dayānubandhāt || 55 ||

Utpala: [fol.06v, l.9] dorda | ittham śaśiśekharasya nṛttam ādhārasya bhuvo daurbalyāt savistaram nābhūd iti dvābhyām kriyā | kakubhām saṅkaṭatvam āśaṅkya bāhuvalanāni na kṛtāni | paricakrame parikrāntam || 55 || [fol.06v, l.11]

brahmāṇḍakarparaparisphuṭanābhisaṃdher ūrdhvaṃ vyaracyata tathā na ca daṇḍapādaḥ | itthaṃ na śītakiraṇābharaṇasya nṛttam ādhāradurbalatayā savilāsam āsīt || 56 || yugalakam

**Utpala:** [fol.06v, l.11] brahmā | abhisandhir ākalanam || 56 ||

kuksipravistasuranirjharinītaranga -

jhāmkāratāraninadair nrkapālapanktiķ |

nṛttakriyāsu vidadhāv iva sādhuvādam

yasyottamāngabhuvi sātišayāsv amandam || 57 ||

Utpala: [fol.07v, l.12] kukși | atiśaya<br/>ḥ kauśalam ata eva tatra sādhuvāda<br/>ḥ || 57 ||

# ālokitas tuhinaśailabhuvā sahāsam ākṛṣya śekharaśaśāṅkakalāṃ smarāreḥ |

<sup>55</sup>a khaṇḍa]  $\Sigma_E$ ; saṇḍa  $\Sigma_S$  56b ūrdhvaṇ]  $\Sigma$ ;  $\bar{u}rdhve S_B$  56b vyaracyata]  $S_B$ ; viracyata  $S_C \Sigma_E$  56d yugalakam] taraṅgayugalakam  $S_C$ , (taraṅga)yugalakam KM. Cf. 2.57a. Utpala 56.1 brahmā |] conj.; [Pratīka missing.] C<sub>U</sub> 57d yasyottamāṅga] All editions and MSS read this, but it is unclear where to connect the relative pronoun to. asya or tasya would be better. Utpala 57.1 sādhuvādaḥ] C<sub>UPc</sub>; sādhuvādam C<sub>Uac</sub>

nrtyan vidūsakabhuvam bhujagāriketur

agre cakāra kuțilonnatadaņdakāstham || 58 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.06v, l.12] ālo | bhujagārir mayūraḥ ketau yasya sa kumāraḥ śarvasyāgre vidūṣakabhūmikāṃ nṛtyan candrakalām eva kuțilaṃ daṇḍakāṣṭham akarot | vidūṣako hi sahāsam ālokyate || 58 || [fol.06v, l.15]

etāni tāni talapuspaputādikāni

cetoharāni karanāni ganādhināthāh

ity angahāravidhayah sphutatatprapañca -

yogāñcitasthitibhrtah sthirahastamukhyāh || 59 ||

Utpala: [See 2.60.]

5

ittham tridhātmakaraņam gaņamaņdalīsu samkrāntim asya nayato rabhaseņa samyak | paryāptadarśanasukham vinimesapaksma caksuhsahasram abhavat sprhanīyam aindryāh || 60 ||

Utpala: [fol.06v, l.15] etā | asya bhagavato gaņesu karaņādisankrāntim nayata upadiśatas satah aindryā devatāyām nayanasahasram niścalapaksmatvāt paryāptadarśanasukham ata eva sprhanīyam abhūd iti | dvābhyām kriyā | tesām karanānām prapancayogenāncitā pūjitā sthitih | karanasampādyatvād angahārānām karanam | tridhātma tribhih prakāraih pādābhyām kramanam yat tu karanam nāma tad ity ekam | tathā talapuspaputādikam vyāvrttādi **58c** nrtyan]  $\Sigma$ ; nindad  $\hat{S}_C$  **Utpala 58.1** sa] em.; su  $C_U$ **59a** talapuşpapuțādikāni]  $\Sigma$ ; talapuşpaputāni kāni  $\hat{S}_C$  60a maņdalīsu  $\Sigma$ ; nāyakesu  $\hat{S}_C$  60b nayato rabhaseņa samyak  $\hat{S}_B$ ; nayato sadasi sthitāsu [Wrong sandhi.]  $\Sigma$  **60c** pakṣma]  $\Sigma_{\hat{S}}$ ; pakṣma -  $\Sigma_E$  **60d** aindryāḥ]  $\Sigma$ ; endryah  $\hat{S}_B$  **60d**  $\hat{S}_B$  yugmam, not sure how to understand the connection between the two verses, maybe by taking *ittham* as having the force of a direct-speech-marking *iti*, as the last verse with the voc. ganadhinathah looks like direct speech. But who then is the speaker? Skanda, Aindrīdiś or Śiva himself? Utpala 60.2 aindryā] em.; aindryā ||  $C_U$  [This double danda after  $aindry\bar{a}$ , probably marks the beginning of the commentary on verse 60, but then there should have been no sandhi.] Utpala 60.2 nayanasahasram]  $C_{U^{pc}}$ ; nayanasashahasram  $C_{U^{ac}}$ 

ceti | †<br/>bhaumam ākāśo kam† ubhayātmakam ceti kecit | yadvā karaņa<br/>śabdasya n<br/>rttavācitvāl lāsyatāņ<br/>davamiśrabhedāt traividhyam || 60 || [fol.06v, l.22]

āviskrtānukrtivibhramanāgavaktra -

līlābhyudastakarakoțivipāțyamānāķ |

ambhobhrtah śiśiraśīkarabinduvrnda -

vişyandino 'bhinayajam klamam asya jahruh || 61 ||

Utpala: [fol.06v, l.22] āvi | prakațitā anukrtivibhramā nrttaceṣṭāviśeṣā yena tathāvidho nāgavaktro vināyakas tena līlayābhyudastaḥ kṣiptaḥ || 61 || [fol.06v, l.24]

ittham salīlam abhineyavijrmbhamāņa -

vispastabhāvarasavrtti nisevya nrttam

sārdham nyavartata gaņair vibhur uttamānga -

gangātarangakanasambhrtibhinnakhedah || 62 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.06v, l.24] ittham | vispaṣṭā bhāvā rasāś ca vṛtayo bhāratīsātvatīkaiśikyārabhaṭyo yatra nṛttam niṣevya vyaramsīt || 62 || [fol.06v, l.25]

śailātmajāvijitatadgaņakrsyamāņa -

vrddhoksanisthurakhurāhatamandarādriķ

tasya glahīkrtavimugdhakirītacandra -

khandah kadācana durodaravibhramo 'bhūt || 63 ||

**Utpala:** [fol.06v, l.25] śaila | glahīkṛtaḥ pāṇisthāpitaḥ | vimugdho ramyaḥ | kitavaiś ca mugdho mandamatir muṣyate | durodaravibhramo dyūtakrīḍā || 63 || [fol.06v, l.27]

<sup>61</sup>c śĩkara]  $\Sigma$ ; sĩkara  $E_D$  61d viṣyandino]  $\Sigma$ ; niṣyandino Ś<sub>B</sub> 62c sārdhaṃ]  $\Sigma$ ; sārthaṃ  $E_R$  Utpala 62.1 rasāś] em.; sarāś  $C_U$  Utpala 63.1 pāṇisthāpitaḥ [] [Punctuation added.]; pāṇisthāpitaḥ  $C_U$  Utpala 63.1 ramyaḥ [] [Punctuation added.]; ramyaḥ  $C_U$  Utpala 63.2 muṣyate [] [Punctuation added.]; muṣyate  $C_U$ 

iti samayam anaişīt tatra tās tāḥ sa ceṣṭā vidadhad acalakanyāviprayogānabhijñaḥ | surapatibhir abhīkṣṇaṃ ratnapaṭṭāṅghripīṭhī luṭhitamaṇikirīṭāṣṭāpadaiḥ sevyamānaḥ || 64 ||

Utpala: [fol.06v, l.27] iti | bhagavatpādapīțhe praņāmavaśāl luțhitāni [fol.7r, l.1] maņimayakirīţāņy evāsţāpadāni caturaṅgaphalakāni kirīţeşu vāsţāpadam suvarņam yeşām || 64 || [fol.07r, l.2]

utpalakrte haravijayasāravivaraņe dvitīyah sargah ||

5

**<sup>64</sup>c** pīțhī]  $\Sigma$ ; *pīțhe*  $\hat{S}_B$  **Utpala 64.2** caturangaphalakāni] em.; caturangaphalahakāni  $C_U$ 

## 4 Translation

### 4.1 Canto 1, Description of the Cities' Enemy's City<sup>1</sup>

Having the beauty of a neck on which the deep coloured Kālakūṭa poison resembles a lovely garland of blue water lily bunches, [the neck thereby looking] as if stained by smoke arising from incense, inhaled during worship, may Dhūrjați<sup>2</sup> bestow fortunes on you!<sup>3</sup> || 1.01 ||

The mouth wide open, the reflection of his face appearing in the mirrors of his claws, full with heavy fury, causing fear in the enemy's army and carrying out the killing of the immoderate demon, may Hari's form<sup>4</sup> purify you. || 1.02 ||

May the circular seed pod of Padmāsana<sup>5</sup>'s lotus seat, which with a row of dazzling white petals around it resembles the mountain of  $gods^6$  surrounded by the lustre of the waves of the stirred up milk ocean, purify you. || 1.03 ||

There is, on the high peak of Mount Mandara, a part of which is being washed by the waters of the divine river<sup>7</sup> and which has the colour of the rising  $sun^8$ , a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> purāripurīvarņana could also be translated as "Description of the Cities' Enemy and his City". Purāri means Śiva and alludes to the myth of his destruction of the three cities (See Tripura in [Mani(1975), pp.793-794].). The canto begins with three benedictory stanzas to Śiva, Brahman and Viṣṇu respectively, a description of Śiva's city on top of Mount Mandara follows for 30 verses, and from verse 34 to 64 Śiva himself is described, being introduced as inhabiting the city ( $t\bar{a}m \ adhyuv\bar{a}sa$ ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Śiva, "having matted locks as a burden"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Commentators present a second meaning as follows: May Dhūrjați, who gives to those on earth who praise him what they desire for, and who is bearing a beautiful neck which is burning down Kāmadeva and terribly coloured Death, [and which neck is appearing] as if dirty from the smoke originating from the orange fire near his necklace (meaning from the hissing of Śiva's serpent necklace) bestow you with good sense organs (meaning control over the sense organs, as a means to liberation)!

 $<sup>{}^{4}</sup>r\bar{u}pa$  has a double meaning here. The obvious meaning is the Narasimha "form" of Lord Viṣṇu. A second meaning is "play", a piece of theater, of which *mukha*, *pratimukha*, *garbha*, *vimarśa* (also *avamarśa*) and *nirvahaṇa* are the names of the five divisions, (*sandhis*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Brahmā, "the Lotus seated one".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Alaka names the mountain as Meru.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Or, "on a part which is being washed by the waters of the divine river".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Alaka explains: "because of it being golden", and mentions another possible interpretation: "which has the shine of crystals", but then one has to take *ruci* as nominative and to be qualifying

city called Jyotsnāvatī, the only decoration of the three worlds, like the crescent of the moon on the head of Vṛśāṅka  $^{9}$ ;<sup>10</sup> || 1.04 ||

in which [city] the firmament at night, in its middle the crescent of the moon, reddened by the shine of the new ruby palaces, shines like<sup>11</sup> the chest of [Hiraṇyakaśipu,] the lord of demons, with a flood of blood, unevenly torn by the claws of [Viṣṇu's incarnation as Narasiṃha,] the man lion; || 1.05 ||

in which [city] the milk ocean in form of a palacial courtyard made out of crystal stones, which has as its extremely shining many high waves the light rays from the appearance of the moon-disk in form of the face of a young lady standing at a window, is always rising up; || 1.06 ||

and in which [city] young ladies in their love making have cheeks with thick sweat, with tips of filaments fallen off from their ear-ornament-flowers sticking to them, as if they were full of feather hairs from the shafts of attacking Kamadeva's arrows; || 1.07 ||

in which [city], having descended through the window;<sup>12</sup> the cool rayed [moon] shines, with his rays<sup>13</sup> quickly reviving Madana <sup>14</sup> in the minds of the young ladies, even though he had long time before been burned by the fire of Indumauli<sup>15</sup>'s anger at the disturbance of his *samādhi*, || 1.08 ||

in which [city], in making love, having the beauty of big round fleshy buttocks

the crescent of the moon.

 $<sup>{}^9</sup>$ Śiva, "having the bull as his mark of identification"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>The attributes of the summit of Mount Mandara can also be taken to qualify Śiva's head, with  $nav\bar{a}rkaruci$  then referring to his orange-coloured matted locks.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Alaka deals with the question of the "*iva*" not being written behind *vakṣas* which it should actually qualify being against Pāṇini 2.2.18, which states that *iva* is to be compounded with the word it qualifies. The reply is that as we are dealing with *laukika* Sanskrit this rule is only optional and therefore this is not a fault.

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>avalambitaj\bar{a}lam\bar{a}rgah$  also means "having taken recourse to magic".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Or, with his hands (*karair*).

 $<sup>^{14}</sup>$ Kāmadeva

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Siva "having the moon as an head ornament"

and having a thin body on which a row of teeth is shining, a woman is made to sweetly sound like  $K\bar{u}rm\bar{i}^{16}$  of Puspadhanus<sup>17</sup> because of the touch of the edge of the tip of her lover's finger nail; || 1.09 ||

where an emerald palace with large ruby windows located on both its sides shines like the body of demon Bāṇa with wounds, wet with a flood of blood, at his shoulders where his arms had been cut off by Hari's disk<sup>18</sup>; || 1.10 ||

where breezes, entering via the path of the ruby palaces' windows, and having the coolness from<sup>19</sup> the breaking of the waves of the heavenly river nearby, alleviate the womens' fatigue developed at the end of amorous play; || 1.11 ||

in which [city] at night the crescent of the moon, with its marks, is<sup>20</sup> bright, getting reflected on the cheeks of [young ladies having] beautiful eyes, being like  $M\bar{n}aketu^{21}$ 's wrist protector<sup>22</sup> deposited on them<sup>23</sup> which is made out of<sup>24</sup> crystal pieces and which is variegated with the scars from the falling of bow string blows; || 1.12 ||

and in which [city] the multitudes of gods suspected the abundance of light in form of her crystal-brick-constructed, sky-kissing, shining rampart to be the milk ocean which had come near in disguise<sup>25</sup> because of the desire to see the moon on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>proper name of Kāmadeva's  $v\bar{n}n\bar{a}$ , which the long compounds can also be understood as qualifying, *nitamba* meaning sounding board, *daśana* frets or strings (?), *priya* lovely, *kona* the pick. Alaka glosses  $K\bar{u}rm\bar{\iota}$  with *trisarikā* and *sairandhrī*. *trisarikā* in turn is glossed by Rai as trivaliyutā, meaning "having three folds above the navel"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>Kāmadeva, "having a flower as a bow".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>lit.: "wounds at the base of his arms cut off by Hari's disk, which [wounds] are wet with a flood of blood"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>lit.: following ( $anus\bar{a}ra$ )

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>lit.: "was"

 $<sup>^{21}{\</sup>rm K\bar{a}madeva},$  "having the fish as His mark of identification"

 $<sup>^{22}</sup>tal\bar{a}yam\bar{a}na$  is the present active participle from a nāmadhātu root formed from tala with the ya-Suffix

 $<sup>^{23}</sup>$  That's probably what is meant by  $ny\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}krta.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>or possibly "studded with"

 $<sup>^{25}</sup>$ Actually *nibhena* forms part of a compound with *prabhābhara*. lit.: "they suspected the milk ocean to have come in disguise of the abundance of light"

the crest of Śańkara<sup>26</sup>; || 1.13 ||

which [city] Lakṣmī<sup>27</sup> did not abandon, possessed of women who are the female geese dwelling in the Lake Mānasa of her palaces, whose walking steps have lovely grace, who are making the jhām-sound with their jewel studded foot ornaments, [so that they are] the jhallarīs<sup>28</sup> of Jhaṣaketana<sup>29</sup>; || 1.14 ||

in which [city], in the nights, the circle of the rays of the moon attains beauty, made variegated by pleasant aguru<sup>30</sup>-incense-smoke, and as if having a row of scars made onto it by having been squeezed in between joining silver window shutters; || 1.15 ||

in which [city] a bed chamber was scattered with many<sup>31</sup> petals from blossoming lotus flowers worn as ear ornaments, torn off in the grinding of love games, [appearing] like fragments broken off from the sword of Kusumāyudha<sup>32</sup> striking the women; || 1.16 ||

in which [city] dark columns of aguru-incense-smoke emerged playfully from inside a window hole, [appearing] like the hands of darkness, their long tipped fingers trembling, as it was fearing to get destroyed by the rays<sup>33</sup> of the moon close by<sup>34</sup>; || 1.17 ||

which [city], with its citywomen, the sprouting of whose youth is lovely as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>Śiva

 $<sup>^{27}\</sup>mathrm{or}$  beauty, Ratnakaṇțha glosses with  $\acute{s}obh\bar{a}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>some sort of musical instrument, probably cymbals

 $<sup>^{29}\</sup>mathrm{K\bar{a}madeva},$  "having a fish as His mark of identification"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup>The tree Aquilaria malaccensis.

 $<sup>^{31}</sup>$ khanda translated as a plural marker, "multitude". It could also mean "pieces" (of petals) $^{32}$ "flower-armed" Kāmadeva

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup>or "hands"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup>lit.: because of fear of destruction by the rays (or hands) of the moon close by. In case of the reading *bhangadhiyā*: "with the intention of breaking the rays / hands of the moon nearby"

it is devoid of the state of aging and connected with passion<sup>35 36</sup>, shines, like a bower-path<sup>37</sup> on the golden mountain, with creepers on wishing trees<sup>38</sup>; || 1.18 ||

where, at night, in every direction a sky-kissing<sup>39</sup> row of jewel palaces shines<sup>40</sup>, with doors, the panels of which are made from vaid $\bar{u}rya^{41}$  plates, which for afar, while they are closed appear<sup>42</sup> as if opened<sup>43</sup>; || 1.19 ||

in which [city], although Candrac $\bar{u}$ d $\bar{a}$ mani<sup>44</sup> is always present, Smara<sup>45</sup> lives in the body of the city ladies, free of fear, having protected himself with a thousand bows which are their eyebrows on which the arrows of their glances haven been placed; || 1.20 ||

where at night water lilies in the lotus lakes made for play are not poor in beauty, reddened by<sup>46</sup> the veil of the intensive lustre of moon rays, resembling<sup>47</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup>Following Ratnakan, tha's commentary, Alaka understands this compound as consisting of three separate things "which are connected with passion, lovely because free from the state of ageing and their youth sprouting"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup>This first long compound can also qualify *kalpadrumavratati*: with lovely young shoots, which are red and untouched by the state of withering.

 $<sup>^{37}</sup>ku\tilde{n}jav\bar{i}thi$  could mean a path which is like a bower, or a path inside a bower or a path leading into a bower.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup>Commentators don't elaborate what is meant by *kalpadrumavratati*, usually women are compared to creepers, not trees, but *vratati* also means "expansion", so it could also mean "with an expansion of wishing trees".

 $<sup>^{39}</sup>$  In Ratnakaṇṭha's reading  $abhraikaṣ\bar{a}m$  the "sky kissing" would be qualifying the shine of the palaces.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup>lit.: bears shine

 $<sup>^{41}</sup>$  Vaidūrya could be sapphire, cat's eye or lapislazuli, all of which are blue. Ratnakaņtha though presents two differing views on this, the first being that vaidūrya is dark blue, the second being that it is red like the sun.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup>lit.: are being seen

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup>lit.: having their openings opened

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup>Śiva, "having the head jewel of the moon"

 $<sup>{}^{45}\</sup>mathrm{K\bar{a}madeva}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup>vicchuritāni or nicchuritāni would be "strewed with" or "covered by", kudmalitāni would be "shut like buds".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup>lit.: bearing resemblance of

the mouth<sup>48</sup> of Manmatha<sup>49</sup> in the form of an elephant; || 1.21 ||

where for a moment<sup>50</sup> a ruby archway shines with the lustre of the bodies of the horses on the chariot of the sun hanging nearby, as if not empty of welcoming garlands prepared of yellow-orange blossoms;<sup>51</sup> || 1.22 ||

in which [city], with the load of a falling waterfall of nectar of the expansion of the brightness of the beauty of the moon like faces of young women, the circle of the fortification rampart, kissing the disk of the sun, imitates the appearance of a large watering ditch; || 1.23 ||

where [women], whose form is lovely as their crescent-like limbs are getting revealed, having eyes long like deer['s eyes], their smiles, connected with expanding<sup>52</sup> sweet, soft, artful coquettish gestures<sup>53</sup> and passion are the abode of Kusumāyudha, [such women] steal the heart. || 1.24 ||

and in which [city], in lotus ponds of houses, abundances of lotuses, of which the inner parts are covered by the shine of the steps of the stairs made out of red jewels, radiated lustre, as if they had captured the rays of the moon in order to show their love for the not-mild-rayed [sun]; || 1.25 ||

in which [city] on the temples of the gods golden flag poles studded<sup>54</sup> with

 $<sup>^{48}</sup>$ Commentators gloss dantakoşa with pratimā, and then Ratnakaņtha further elaborates dantayoh kośah madhyabhāga, "The kośa of the two tusks is the part in the middle". Also Schmidt pp. 284: "die Stelle zwischen den Stoßzähnen des Elefanten". This could be the mouth of the elephant, as it is located between the tusks and of pink colour, and one can translate dantakoşa literally as a repository of teeth. Then the mouth on the inside is compared to the more pinkish inner part of the water lily blossom, and the outer petals are compared with the white tusks. Although, if it is really the mouth which is meant I wonder why commentators would not have used an easier gloss for it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup>Kāmadeva

 $<sup>^{50}\</sup>mathrm{Ratnakantha}$  specifies the moment as sunrise.

 $<sup>^{51}</sup>harita$  can mean several colours between red, yellow and green and pallava can also mean shoots.

 $<sup>^{52}</sup>unmis$  literally means to open the eyes.

 $<sup>{}^{53}</sup>h\bar{a}va$  seems to be a technical term.

 $<sup>^{54}</sup>$ Or, reading *nicitās*, "covered with".

pieces of ruby shine with the very red light of them, as if embraced by lightnings tearing apart the clouds with the tips of their peaks; || 1.26 ||

in which [city] at night out of  $passion^{55}$  the women folk is quickly going to the house of their lover, playfully holding a blossomed blue water lily in their lotus hand, as if nocturnal darkness was giving them support with its hand; || 1.27 ||

in which in the lotus pond of a park<sup>56</sup> flocks of swans belonging to the palace, necks raised up, feet motionless and wings flapping, listen to the charming sound of water fountains<sup>57</sup> which imitates in sequence the beauty of kaiśikamadhyama<sup>58</sup>; || 1.28 ||

where at the banks of palacial lotus ponds flocks of swans, made dark green by the shining of the tips of the rays of emeralds, as if they had fully transformed the juice of collections of Śevala plants<sup>59</sup> eaten for a long time, steal the heart; || 1.29 ||

in which [city] at night in all directions multitudes of stars, their middle getting licked by the rays of light from palaces constructed from green jewels, embraced the beauty of a multitude of nocturnal dew drops sticking to the tips of blades of sprouting tender kuśa-grass; || 1.30 ||

in which [city] the moon at night<sup>60</sup>, his heart bearing impurity<sup>61</sup> because his beauty is eclipsed by the lovely faces of the city women, appears to be entering inside the underworld, in disguise of his image getting reflected on the floor made out of jewels; || 1.31 ||

 $<sup>^{55}</sup>$ maybe something else is meant. Cf. 2.23b

 $<sup>{}^{56}\</sup>bar{a}kr\bar{i}dat\bar{a}marasin\bar{i}$  actually belongs to *jalayantra*, which in turn qualifies  $ma\tilde{n}jun\bar{a}da$ , I separated for readability of the translation

 $<sup>^{57}</sup>$ Ratnakaṇțha explains jalayantra with the Kaśmīrī word  $\bar{a}b\bar{\imath}\bar{s}\bar{a}ro$ 

 $<sup>^{58}</sup>$  probably a particular rāga

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup>Blyxa Octandra

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup>lit.: "in the nights"

 $<sup>^{61}</sup>$  dadhat kaluṣatām hṛ dayena, commentators explain this as vailakṣya, shame. It can also be translated as "having a spot in his middle".

where at dawn the roads shine, scattered with heaps of flowers which are actually jewels swept from the edge of the floor of the interior of the houses, as if they were variegated with multitudes of  $\text{stars}^{62}$  being caused to fall down by the blows of the hands<sup>63</sup> of the newly risen sun; || 1.32 ||

which [city] shone, her state made by the sound of the  $\bar{a}$ linga drum of the Lord<sup>64</sup>, bearing an expanded city wall as hips, which are bound in a  $n\bar{1}vi^{65}$  which actually is a round wide moat, and a jewel girdle string which are the stars surrounding her. || 1.33 ||

In that  $[\text{city}]^{66}$  used to dwell, taking manifestation in a physical body<sup>67</sup> out of the desire to favour the worlds, having destroyed the circle of his enemies, with the crescent of the cool rayed [moon] as his head ornament<sup>68</sup>, Vibhu<sup>69</sup>, like Cakrī<sup>70</sup> on the white-like-a-palace body of the emperor of serpents. || 1.34 ||

Whose community of devotees had the surface of their forehead coloured by the callus [developed] from bowing down at the seat of his lotus feet, as if, while [their] body is going to become Rudra ("Terrible" Śiva), having obtained the shining pupil of the upper eye; || 1.35 ||

 $<sup>^{62}</sup>naksatra$  and  $r\bar{a}\acute{s}i$  are technical terms from a strology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup>kara also means "rays".

 $<sup>^{64}</sup>$  patyur ālinganādaracitasthitir might mean that now the last of verse of the description of the city, most of which clearly dealt with the city at night, the day begins with the drumming of the ālinga drum waking up her inhabitants and normal daily activity beginning. As the city in this verse is compared to a beautiful woman this first compound and *pati* can also be taken to qualify her, as "whose existence is filled by her attention to the embracing of her husband".

 $<sup>^{65}\</sup>mathrm{A}~n\bar{\imath}vi$  is some sort of petticoat.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup>saudhadhavala also qualifies the city, meaning "dazzling white by her palaces".

 $<sup>^{67} {\</sup>rm Literally}\ nirm \bar{a} na deha$  means a "created body".

 $<sup>^{68} {\</sup>rm The}$  variant śiśiraraś<br/>mikalāvacūlaḥ translates as "having the crescent of the moon as his mark of identification".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup>Vibhu: "Almighty" Śiva

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup>Cakrī: "Wheel-Bearer" Viṣṇu. This sobriquet is connected with kṣapitāricakra, which when applied to Viṣṇu means: "who had destroyed with the wheel (named *sudarśana*) that has nails". Also applicable to Viṣṇu are *bhuvanānujighṛkṣayāttanirmāṇadehaghaṭana*, then referring to the daśāvatāra myth, and *vibhu*, "All-Pervading".

whose arrow-fire, its high flames flashing, burning the three  $cities^{71}$ , was, as if with the intention to help, quickly kindled by the serpent, afflicted by the pain of the not at all loose bond of the matted locks, by the wind of its hissing; || 1.36 ||

whose fire, originating from the hissing of the many hoods of the serpent made into the bow-string on the bow which is Mount Mandara and caused to dance<sup>72</sup> up to his ear burnt the three cities first, then his arrow, bristling with many flames of fire; || 1.37 ||

on the head of whom Mandākinī<sup>73</sup>, her many waves broken by the clash with the surface of the skull<sup>74</sup> on his crest, shines, having the beauty of a flowing waterfall of cool nectar from the crescent of the moon troubled by the fire of his upper eye; || 1.38 ||

whose neck shines as if it was passionately embraced by Yamunā in the disguise of the clear colour of kālakūṭa, as she had observed, with [mental] disturbance<sup>75</sup> caused by jealousy, Gaṅgā playfully crushing down on<sup>76</sup> his hair; || 1.39 ||

arising from the jewel piece in whose matted locks which is getting squeezed by the turban which is his serpent<sup>77</sup>, Gangā shines, as if she was facing the way back, wanting to climb back into heaven because of wrath out of jealousy with the daughter of the cold mountain; || 1.40 ||

 $<sup>^{71}</sup>$ Reading *plusyatpuratrayam* one has to take it as a compound, which adverbially qualifies *samdhuksitah*, "kindling the fire in a way that it is burning the three cities".

 $<sup>^{72}</sup>t\bar{a}ndavita$  obviously is related to his Tāndava dance. Commentaries gloss with  $\bar{a}krsta$ , so it likely means that the snake functioning as bow string is moving violently while it is getting pulled up to his ear.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup>Mandākinī is heavenly Gangā.

 $<sup>^{74}</sup>$ Ratnakaṇṭha says about the skull that it was cut off by Śiva at the time of dissolution of the universe. The usual mythology places this story early in the creation.

 $<sup>^{75}</sup>$  kaluşam, adv.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup>Possibly the reading *avamarśam*, "touching" is better.

 $<sup>^{77}</sup>$ Ratnakaṇṭha has *banda* instead of *ratna*, possibly then meaning "arising from the place of binding in the matted locks". In either case one could also understand Gaṅgā to be squeezed by the serpent.

who, shaking his head while laughing<sup>78</sup>, looked at a row of teeth falling down, dropped out of their original binding with the old skull<sup>79</sup>, as if they were the remainder of the rest from the mouth of  $P\bar{u}$ san who had come for protection out of fear of destruction;<sup>80</sup> || 1.41 ||

by whose hand the crescent of the moon, which, defeated by the lustre of the beauty of the lotus like face of the mountain king's daughter, had fallen out of the binding of the tips of the loose matted locks, was captured playfully<sup>81</sup> like a small child, [and] held for a  $\log^{82}$  time; || 1.42 ||

on whose head the skull of the head of Parameṣṭhi<sup>83</sup> is beautiful, having a loud sound coming out from the hole of its deep interior which is being filled up violently by the waterfalls<sup>84</sup> of water of heavenly Gaṅgā, as if the sound of the veda had still not ceased in it; || 1.43 ||

during the great noise of whose  $T\bar{a}n\bar{d}ava$  dances at the eve of the night of the dissolution of the universe, the lords of the mountains before him, struck by the storm from the multitude of his arms, moved away in succession, as if with the intention of making space for his only sport of dance<sup>85</sup>; || 1.44 ||

for whom the moon attains the beauty of a jewel-foot-anklet, broken by the sharp edge of a mountain getting thrown around, as the upper line of the [moon's] disk is getting connected to the tip of the ankle of his leg which is kicking up high<sup>86</sup> in the passion of his dance; || 1.45 ||

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup>lit: "head-shakingly laughing"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup>*purāņakapāla* is referring to Brahmā's skull Śiva keeps on his head.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup>This verse alludes, as commentators mention, to a myth in which Śiva at Dakṣa's sacrifice, in which the teeth of the sun-god  $P\bar{u}$ ṣan are kicked out by Śiva. What exactly is meant by  $\dot{s}es\bar{a}para$  remains unclear.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup>Ratnakaṇṭha takes salīlam as adverbially qualifying vijita, playfully defeated, and Alaka takes it to qualify vandīkṛta, playfully captured

 $<sup>^{82}</sup>$ If reading kṣaṇam "held for a moment"

 $<sup>^{83}\</sup>text{Brahm}\bar{\text{a}}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup>If reading *nirbhara*: "floods".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> parikrama, lit. "stepping around".

 $<sup>^{86}</sup>$ Ratnākara explains the technical term danda a a as a movement of one leg which is kicking

during whose [performances of] Tāṇḍava the mountains roam about on the sky path, moved by the storm from the multitude of his clublike arms, the connection to their seat on earth broken by his sport of various  $c\bar{a}r\bar{i}^{87}$ s, as if [trembling,] frightened of falling <sup>88</sup> into the underworld; || 1.46 ||

on whose neck the thick shade of kālakūṭa, black as the rainy clouds at the dissolution of the universe, shining forth playfully, was like night doing his circumambulation, having come to her beloved moon, bound to its place on top of his crest; || 1.47 ||

on whose head the white skull, to the opening of the mouth of which the crescent of the moon on his crest is attached, shaken by the waves of Gangā, is having the beauty of a swan which with an invisible beak has bitten off the tip of a lotus stem; || 1.48 ||

whom, closing his eyes for his samdhyā-samādhi, the necklace serpent seemed to imitate, closing its fearful eyes, being very much afraid of the loads of ash-dust rubbed on for the besmearing of his body; || 1.49 ||

on whose neck the flood of poison, the flames of the fire of which had ignited the three worlds, took its seat, as if [thinking] "here in this heart which is overflowing with the nectar of love for the daughter of the mountain king there is no space for me."; || 1.50 ||

already before the change of whose mental state Manobhava<sup>89</sup> seemed to be surrounded by the flames of the fire of [Śiva's] anger, because he was standing in the middle of his not at all small bow, pulled up to his ear, so that it was transformed to a circle, yellow by pollen fallen from flowers; || 1.51 ||

up high, looking like a stick. A synonyme is  $c\bar{a}ri$ , cf. 1.46.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup>cf. footnote 86

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup>lit.: "a fall"

 $<sup>^{89}</sup>$ Kāmadeva

whose upper eye became a bud<sup>90</sup> because he was angry, as if it was getting struck by the pollen originating from the shaking of the bow, when Puṣpāyudha<sup>91</sup>, with his eyebrows frowning, had stretched it, in a way that had effected the breaking of his deep samādhi. || 1.52 ||

whom the frightened gods saw before themselves, in the dreadfully changed condition of fury for burning the body of Kusumamārgaṇa<sup>92</sup>, as if throwing out, in the guise of his unleashed huṃkṛta-fire's smoke, kālakūṭa, staying inside his throat; || 1.53 ||

having become fuel for the many flames of the upper eye's fire of whom, who was angry about the interruption of his samādhi, Makaraketu<sup>93</sup>, took his seat precisely at the ends of the eyes<sup>94</sup> of the beautiful ladies, of which the coquettish eyebrow-movements were beautiful; || 1.54 ||

whose face Arjuna saw from nearby, him being angry and in a magical Kirāta body, [his face] of slightly red colour, as if because of the effect of the many flames of his eye's fire, hidden in his shining forehead; || 1.55 ||

whose hidden fiery eye wished to see the daughter of the mountain whose shape was exceedingly beautiful, who had ear ornaments made out of peacock feathers<sup>95</sup> and whose breasts' tips were covered by a necklace of  $gunja^{96}$  peas; || 1.56 ||

whose mind, as he was in the guise of a Kirāta, had been pierced very well by the daughter of the mountain who had become a Śavarī<sup>97</sup>, with the strikes of her arrows which actually are her very long eyes fitting to the lovely peacock feathers

 $<sup>^{90}\</sup>mathrm{Commentators}$  take this to mean that the eye is contracting in order to release its fire.

 $<sup>^{91}\</sup>mathrm{K\bar{a}madeva},$  "having a flower as his arrow"

 $<sup>^{92}{\</sup>rm K\bar{a}madeva},$  "having a flower as his arrow"

 $<sup>^{93}{\</sup>rm K\bar{a}madeva},$  "whose sign of identification is the fish"

 $<sup>^{94}</sup>ap\bar{a}n\bar{g}a~eva$  also means "now bodiless", referring to burnt Kāmadeva.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>95</sup>lit.: peacock feathers made into ear ornaments

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup>abrus precatorius

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup>Kirāta woman

used as her ear ornaments; || 1.57 ||

by whose hand, him having taken recourse to a Kirāta body, a multitude of sweat drops, as if caused quickly by the heat of his hidden eye, because of anger with Kirī $\pm$ in<sup>98</sup>, having been wiped off from the forehead, was dispersed, filling the directions with its spray; || 1.58 ||

who, as he was bowing down his head in play, used to see on the body half [Gaurī's] breast, onto which the crescent of the moon on his crest was getting reflected<sup>99</sup>, [the breast thereby] having the appearance of a nectar pot getting washed over by a wave of the agitated milk ocean; || 1.59 ||

who had a chest, big as a rock of the snow mountain, on which, during occasions of error in the besmearing of his body with  $ashes^{100}$ , his lotus hand was placed on the tip of the circle of the breast<sup>101</sup> of the mountain king's daughter, like the arrangement of a  $v\bar{n}a$  with its resonating  $body^{102}$ ; || 1.60 ||

who, with a fine spray of sweat on his horripilating body, and having the beauty of an unmoving eye because of the joy of seeing the reflection of the moon-disk-like face of the daughter of the mountain staying in one half of his body, is after long time letting go of an offering of water in his hollow hands for sandhyā; || 1.61 ||

out of whose, Ardhanārīśvara's, forehead's surface quickly came out the eye, as if wanting to undertake the examination of whether the seam between these two connected body halves was tight or maybe not; || 1.62 ||

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98</sup>Kirīțin is Arjuna, "having a diadem".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup>Taking  $S_C$ 's reading *śavalam*: "[the breast,] which was variegated because of the moon on the crest existing as a reflection [on it]"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup>The commentators don't elaborate on vibhrama here. One possible meaning is that he normally besmears only his side of the body with ashes, but in error his hand will sometime go to Pārvatī's side.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup>Taking  $\hat{S}_A$ 's reading *kucakutmalāgra*: the bud which is the breast

 $<sup>^{102}</sup>$ Śiva's arm is then compared to the neck, Parvatī's breast with the resonating body of the vīņā.

the eyes of whose rudras<sup>103</sup>, intent on bowing down to him, being reddish by flames of fire<sup>104</sup>, but having their frontmost part whitened by the rays from his toenails, bear the beauty of lotus petals, pale red with their lower parts whitish; || 1.63 ||

to  $him^{105}$  [, Śiva,] the collections of immortals, [namely] Siddhas and Sādhyas<sup>106</sup>, attend[ed] every day, their mouths resonating with praises, their jewel<sup>107</sup> ornaments slipping down<sup>108</sup>, their sandhyās beautiful and not barren because of their persevering worship of the feet<sup>109</sup>, their shining crowns connected to the tips of their white joined hands. || 1.64 ||

#### 4.2 Canto 2: Description of Tāṇḍava

Then, at a certain time, on top of the mountain, he, who had playfully caught hold of the mountain daughter's tip of the hand, out of the flow of play decorated<sup>110</sup> the pleasure hall of the abode of jewels<sup>111</sup>, the construction of which was covered with fresh golden creepers. || 2.1 ||

The lords of immortals, on whose golden bracelets the jewelleries<sup>112</sup> were

<sup>103</sup>Ratnakaṇṭha numbers the rudras as  $ek\bar{a}daśa$ , eleven. They are are group of associates of Śiva who are inferior manifestations of him.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup>The fire referred to is possibly the fire of their own third eyes. Another possible interpretation, but not mentioned by the commentators is "their eyes, which are red like flames of fire", assuming red to be their natural colour.

 $<sup>^{105}</sup>$ The *tam* in this last verse of the sarga is the correlative corresponding with the relative pronouns reffering to Lord Siva from verse 35 to 63.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup>This translations follows Alakas commentary. Ratnakantha understands Siddhas, Sādhyas and immortals as three different groups.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>107</sup>The last verse of every sarga of the Haravijaya is marked by Ratnākara with *ratna*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>108</sup>Their crowns are slipping down because of bowing before Lord Śiva.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup>or "of the one with motionless feet"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>110</sup>Alaka: praviśya bhrājayāmāsa, "caused it to shine by entering it".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup>Alaka glosses  $a \pm madh a mnah$  with nagarasya, but the ra is in brackets, and naga, means mountain. Schmidt has: "Name eines Berges".

 $<sup>^{112}</sup>$ Lit. *ratnabandha* means jewel binding, maybe it is only the metal piece connecting the jewels to the bracelet.

completely powdered by smashing against each other, attended to him, who had accepted his seat in that [hall] and who was skilled in [telling] stories full of sentiment enjoyable for the daughter of the mountain king.<sup>113</sup> || 2.2 ||

Him [, Śiva,] they saw from afar, bearing the sky-river, with the mass of his shaking matted locks as her śevala-creepers, the crescent of the moon on his crest as a curved big lotus stem and his garland-skulls as her smiles of white geese<sup>114</sup>; || 2.3 ||

[they saw him from afar,] carrying on<sup>115</sup> his head the divine river, at which Gaurī, contented<sup>116</sup>, is looking, [as Gaṅgā was] evaporated<sup>117</sup> by the multitude of sparks of fire originating from his [third] eye being blown against<sup>118</sup> by the storm of the hissing of the serpent which has its hood raised and which is made into an ear ornament; || 2.4 ||

[the gods saw him from afar] bearing an upper eye which is the shining sun-disk on the firmament of his forehead<sup>119</sup>, close to which [eye] the cold-rayed [moon] staying on his crest has taken its position<sup>120</sup>, as if, having become thin, eagerly desirous of entering into it from nearby; || 2.5 ||

[the gods saw him from afar], in the proximity of the surface of the elevated forehead of whom the moon had settled, as if desirous of plunging into the fire originating from the upper eye, sorrowfully [thinking] thus: "I being a crescent only, how much less do I resemble the moonlike face of the mountain's daughter?"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup>Alaka's  $am\bar{i}$  surendrā  $d\bar{u}r\bar{a}d$  enam dadrśuh / *iti* pañcabhih (*itah* pañcadaśabhih) kulakam is connecting the *amarādhibhuvah* with the  $am\bar{i}$ , "they", the subject of the next 15 verses, which describe how the lords of the immortals see Śiva.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup>The geese are considered the smile of a river.

 $<sup>^{115}</sup>$ lit.:"with"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>116</sup>or, if reading sakopa or satoṣa, "angry"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>117</sup>lit.: made empty

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>118</sup>lit.: struck

 $<sup>^{119}</sup>lal\bar{a}tatata$  literally means "the surface of his forehead".

 $<sup>^{120}</sup>$ Alaka has as a glosse for *adhyāsyamānam abhimukhīkriyamānam*, "the sun being faced by the moon".

 $^{121}; \parallel 2.6 \parallel$ 

[the gods saw him from afar] who was having on his head a row of skulls as a crown, being made to shine by their rows of teeth which are on some places reddened by the dripping on them of blood falling from his elephant skin, as if they were reddish from the colour of betel;<sup>122</sup> || 2.7 ||

[the gods saw him from afar], having placed on his crest the moon, pleasant<sup>123</sup>, and causing the sky<sup>124</sup> to be sufficiently bright by the shine of its light, and as if bearing, attached to his broad neck, the colour of its marks, in disguise of the big shade of kālakūṭa; || 2.8 ||

[the gods saw him from afar], bearing a streak made of poison which has devoured the seven worlds at the time of the dissolution of the universe, which is black like a cloud, slow because of carrying the load of the ocean, with the colour, staying inside his neck, from cigarettes<sup>125</sup> inhaled; || 2.9 ||

[the gods saw him from afar], carrying the ocean<sup>126</sup> in the form of the plenty of light of the mass of gigantic and large kālakūṭa existing in the throat, with the collection of its shaking waves which actually are the shaking and big hoods of the necklace-serpent-lord, variegated with thousands of conches which actually are jewels<sup>127</sup>; || 2.10 ||

 $<sup>^{121}\</sup>mathrm{more}$  literal: "how is it that even my crescent is not similar to the moon of the mountain's daughter!"

 $<sup>^{122}</sup>$ In this verse the myth of Śiva killing an elephant demon and then holding the elephant's skin, dripping with blood, behind his head.

 $<sup>^{123}</sup>$ Alaka glosses dayitāyamānam<br/>with manoramam

 $<sup>^{124}</sup>$ Alaka gives as a second meaning for *ambaram* "clothes" (of Śiva). There might be a joke in this if one understands *dayitāyamānam* as "acting as his wife", as the wife is cleaning the clothes of her husband.

 $<sup>^{125}</sup>$ According to Monier Williams dictionary a  $dh\bar{u}pavart\bar{i}$  or  $dh\bar{u}mavart\bar{i}$  is "a kind of cigarette". If this is what is meant, it must be the smoke from the cigarettes which gets inhaled and colours his neck, not the cigarettes themselves.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>126</sup>lit.: "house of tortoises"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>127</sup>Alaka notes three possibilities to break down maniśańkha, either as karmadhāraya, conches which actually are jewels, or as dvandva, conches and jewels, or as genitive tatpuruṣa, a multitude of conches. The hoods of Lord Śiva's serpent are compared to the waves of the ocean. When

[the lords of the gods saw him from afar,] dazzling white by the body cosmetics of ashes, having his shoulder made dark by the hood of the bracelet serpent which has the shade of a cuckoo feather, [Śiva thereby] having a beauty similar to that of the milk ocean of which the collection of waves has been stained by the smoke of the submarine fire; || 2.11 ||

[the lords of the gods saw him from afar,] shining by the ashes newly applied, which, hit by the hissing of the bracelet serpent, had arisen upwards from his shoulder, moving around as a vortex high above, attaining similarity to the moon; || 2.12 ||

[the lords of the gods saw him from afar,] having a chest as big as a rock of the snow mountain, with a snake as a necklace, extremely black because of the light coming out from kālakūța located in the deep cave of his throat, and therefore it is as if a garland of blue water lilies was hanging on it; || 2.13 ||

[the lords of the gods saw him from afar,] making the snow mountain's daughter, seated on his lap and having a beautiful body of the lovely yellow complexion of a piece of gold, on all sides  $K\bar{a}l\bar{i}$  (black) again<sup>128</sup> by by the radiance of his throat on which there is the  $k\bar{a}lak\bar{u}$  which is as black as collyrium; || 2.14 ||

[the lords of the gods saw him from afar,] reclining<sup>129</sup> on the pillow which actually is the [bullock's] hump, stretching out his doubled<sup>130</sup> clublike arms, getting regularly well fanned by the bullock from nearby<sup>131</sup>, with the winds from the sticking out tuft of the chowrie which is its tail, as if having a mind in which devotion had arisen; || 2.15 ||

comparing the two one has to understand that serpents' hoods are supposed to contain jewels, and that conches are a standard item of the ocean.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>128</sup>Alaka explains the *punah* by stating that the mountain daughter was originally of dark complexion and only through her penance became "Gaurī", white.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup>lit.: taken seat

 $<sup>^{130}\</sup>mathrm{The}$  meaning of dvigunita, "doubled" is still unclear.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>131</sup>The reading kakudmatoccaih of  $\hat{S}_B$  either has to be taken adverbially as "intensely" or as qualifying marudbhir, "with violent winds".

[the lords of the gods saw him from afar,] having his pair of feet<sup>132</sup> made fragrant by the pārijāta<sup>133</sup> ear decoration of the kings of immortals come for his worship, with the collections of moons<sup>134</sup> of [his toe] nails having their inner parts spotted with the mark of the reflection of the bees attracted by the fragrance; || 2.16 ||

[the lords of the gods saw him from afar,] applying the lustre<sup>135</sup> of his upper eye's fire, like a thick mass of vermillion powder, on the face of Karivaktramūrti<sup>136</sup>, close to him, who by the shine of his tusk is destroying the dark shade of the poison in [Śiva's] neck.  $\parallel 2.17 \parallel$ 

While they<sup>137</sup>, having prostrated before him [, Śiva], their heads bowing down, making his golden foot-bench resound<sup>138</sup> with the beats of the drumsticks which are the peaks of the pinnacles of their jewel crowns, had been announced, and [then] were at ease after accepting appropriate seats, || 2.18 ||

the drums, having been tuned<sup>139</sup> and being lovely with mārga, citrapracāra, yati and pāṇilaya, having sides with white drumheads<sup>140</sup>, were beaten by Śailādin<sup>141</sup> in regular order, sharing the following of karaṇas, and very clearly according to the rules of playing<sup>142</sup>. <sup>143</sup> || 2.19 ||

 $<sup>^{132}</sup>$ It is interesting to note that Ratnākara doesn't use the term "lotus feet" here, but evokes the idea of it by mentioning the good smell and the bees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>133</sup>Erythrina Indica, Indian Coral Tree, a tree with red blossoms

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>134</sup>The word for moon used here, *śasin*, literally means "having a hare", meaning the black spot on the moon. This hare-mark on the white moon is compared with the mark (*lakşman*) of the bees' reflection on the white part on the tips of the toe nails, bees in India usually being black. <sup>135</sup>lit.: "lustres", acc. pl. f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>136</sup>Karivaktramūrti, "whose body has an elephant face" is Ganeśa

 $<sup>^{137}</sup>tesu$  is referring to the Lords of Immortals in verse two

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>138</sup>maņimaulivițankakoțițankāhatikvaņitakāñcanapādapīțham is an adverb to praņamya.

 $<sup>^{139}</sup>svaraviśeṣakṛtāstha$  having been made a place for special tones

 $<sup>^{140}</sup> sph\bar{u}tam\bar{a}rjan\bar{a}\dot{n}k\bar{a}\dot{h}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>141</sup>Nandin, the son of Śilāda. Actually śailādinā is from the last verse, but we have a yugma here, and verse 20 specifies him to be the drummer. Alternatively it would have to be taken with the *nivediteşu*, "...were announced by Nandin..."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>142</sup>lit.: "having very clear the rules of what is to be played", bahuvrīhi

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>143</sup>Alaka quotes extensively from Bharata's Nāṭyaśāstra to explain the technical terms to some

Then, while the drums, their heads beaten by Nandīśa's mercyless<sup>144</sup> hand, were sounding deeply, distinctly<sup>145</sup> in  $\bar{a}s\bar{a}ritas^{146}$ , Taṇḍu<sup>147</sup> sang the Tāṇḍava[song] before the audience, which attained the beauty of being divided into seven<sup>148</sup> types. || 2.20 ||

The mothers<sup>149</sup>, taking the daughter of the mountain into their midst<sup>150</sup>, having gazes of rasas and bhāvas<sup>151</sup> performed that [dance] in which praise of the most important exploits of Śaśikhaṇḍamauli<sup>152</sup> was made, and which was lovely with its sequence of the many playful, charming and lovely dance gestures. || 2.21 ||

The lords of the ganas, being in an illusion<sup>153</sup> caused by the lovely dance, moved around in the dance performances, and as the complexions of their bodies was slightly yellow, red, black, and white as jasmine flowers, it was as if the rasas<sup>154</sup> had incarnated, beautifying the dance stage. || 2.22 ||

extent, and then concludes with a verse which in KM edition is falsely marked as a quote, the question mark possibly standing for *bharate*, essentially saying that he is not going to explain all the details.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>144</sup>In case of  $\hat{S}_B$ 's reading, *nirmala*, the hand is "pure"

 $<sup>^{145}</sup>vibhajya$ , taken adverbially

 $<sup>^{146}\</sup>bar{\rm A}{\rm saritas}$  seem to be the accompanying music for the Tāṇḍava dance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>147</sup>according to Alaka a *pramatha*, synonymous to gana, attendant to Śiva.

 $<sup>^{148}</sup>$ In case of the reading *satribheda* this compound seems to be reffering not to the Tāṇḍava-song but is to be taken adverbially to qualify the beating of the drums.

 $<sup>^{149}</sup>$ The *māt*rs are seven or eight mothers, female attendants of Lord Siva.

 $<sup>^{150}</sup>kroda$ lit. means "lap".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>151</sup>Sentiments and Emotions, rasa and bhāva, are technical terms from Nāṭyaśāstra. Alaka explains about Rasas and their colours, this should actually belong to the next verse.

 $<sup>^{152}</sup>$ Śaśikhaṇḍamauli is Śiva, "having the crescent of the moon as his diadem"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>153</sup>This possibly means that the impression the dance has on them is so strong that they loose their sense of reality and join the dance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>154</sup>The different rasas are also described as being of different colours, Alaka quotes Bharata here. I wonder why only four colours are enumerated, when Bharata has eight of them. The end of the commentary the edition has for the last verse,  $ras\bar{a}h$  śring $\bar{a}r\bar{a}dayah$  / te ca śy $\bar{a}m\bar{a}divarn\bar{a}h$  / yaduktam – ' śy $\bar{a}mo$  bhāvati śring $\bar{a}rah$  sito hāsyaś ca k $\bar{i}rtitah$  /  $k\bar{a}potahkarunaś$  caiva rakto raudrah prak $\bar{i}rtitah$  // ' ity $\bar{a}di$  actually belongs to this verse, as the rasas are associated with the colours.

Then, when Puraripu<sup>155</sup> himself got up<sup>156</sup> before them, out of the eagerness to teach the difficult<sup>157</sup> dance, the inside of the underworld became scattered with the dust of jewels from the hoods of Śeṣa which had been crushed because the earth was getting compacted. || 2.23 ||

He arose and disturbed the world<sup>158</sup> like the Magical Boar<sup>159</sup> [the water], from which [world] the sun<sup>160</sup> had been completely dispelled by the clearly seen darkness, and which was soaked by the rain of the spray of Gangā's water<sup>161</sup> overflowing from the tips of the smoke coloured<sup>162</sup> mass of his hair<sup>163</sup>.  $\parallel 2.24 \parallel$ 

While his arms, white by the body paint of ashes, were making the sky variegated with the movements<sup>164</sup> of the hands, the peacocks, afraid of<sup>165</sup> a line of white rainy clouds, and their bodies having the chowrie<sup>166</sup> of their tail-feathers erect ran away. || 2.25 ||

The rocky peaks of the mountain range which is the furthest limit of the rays of the sun<sup>167</sup>, getting the mass of darkness removed from the region on its other side by the intense colour of the rays of jewels in the hoods of the armlet serpents, were quickly getting pulverised by his arm-trees. || 2.26 ||

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>155</sup>Lord Śiva, "the destroyer of the cities"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>156</sup>pres. part. from ud-hā, lit.: "is getting up"

 $<sup>^{157}\</sup>mathrm{Lit.:}$  "the dance of which the application is uneven", visama prayoga possibly is a technical term.

 $<sup>^{158}</sup>Bhuvana$  also means water, meaning the ocean from which Viṣṇu in his boar incarnation saved the world, carrying it on his tusks.

 $<sup>^{159}</sup>L\bar{\imath}l\bar{a}var\bar{a}ha$ is Viṣṇu's Boar Avatāra

 $<sup>^{160}\</sup>mathrm{In}$  case of translating *bhuvana* as "water" *haṃsa* means swans or geese.

 $<sup>^{161}\</sup>mathrm{In}$  case of Lord Viṣṇu sindhujala is referring to the water of the ocean.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>162</sup>Taking the reading of  $\hat{S}_B$ ,  $dh\bar{u}tajat\bar{a}sat\bar{a}gra$ , it is the "tips of the shaking mass of hair".

 $<sup>^{163}</sup>$ In case of Lord Viṣṇu's Boar Avatāra jaṭā means the animal's hair, in case of Lord Śiva his matted locks.

 $<sup>^{164}</sup>$ Alaka explains *vartanas* as dance movements of the fingers, which are of four types, veșțita, udveșțita, vyāvartita and parivartita.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>165</sup>Possibly *cakita* could mean "excited".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>166</sup> "Chowrie" for avacūla. Alaka has  $ten\bar{a}cch\bar{a}ditavapuṣah$ , which could point to a reading  $picch\bar{a}vac\bar{u}rnavapuṣah$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>167</sup>This is referring to the mythical idea of a world encircling mountain range, of which the other side never gets light.

The Divine River attained the appearance of a shining silken curtain, as her waters were overflowing in front of him, who, having laughed out loudly, was throwing the multitude of his arms in all the directions and was shaking his head according to the sequence of angahāras and karaṇas<sup>168</sup>. || 2.27 ||

In front of him, when he was wishing to dance, the fire of his eye, the existence of which had been restricted by the circle of the disk of the  $sun^{169}$ , and which, by its flames, was reddening the circle of the directions, quickly removed<sup>170</sup> the curtain which actually is the sunshine. || 2.28 ||

As if to show a composition of different dance gestures being commenced<sup>171</sup> before all the directions, he made the roads into such from which the collections of mountain tops were crushed by his clublike arms busy with the speedy movements of clear recakas<sup>172</sup>. || 2.29 ||

He, with his raised up muscular arms which were moving around in angahāras, which had strong nṛttahasta<sup>173</sup> and which were white by the ashes applied on them, was like the milk ocean, full of vortices<sup>174</sup> and thousands of monstrous waves<sup>175</sup>.  $\parallel 2.30 \parallel$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>168</sup>Alaka quotes from some text to explain about angahāras and karaṇas, the main point of this being again that Śiva's dance does not consist out of haphazard movements, rather he is practising the high art of dance.

 $<sup>^{169}</sup>$ The reading of B, *vrttim*, would make this an attribute to  $\bar{a}tapatiraskarin\bar{n}m$ , "the curtain which actually is the sunshine had its existence restricted to the circle of the disc of the sun". Alaka does not comment on this pāda, its meaning remains unclear. A *kaṭaka* normally is a bracelet.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>170</sup>nirāsthat is 3rd. Ps. Sg. Aorist from nir-as, 2nd class. Alaka explains: nirāsthat nicikṣepa / 'asyates thuk ' iti thugāgamah //, quoting Pāṇini 7.4.14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>171</sup>lit. "getting thrown" [at the audience]. Alaka glosses  $\bar{a}ksipyam\bar{a}nah$  prast $\bar{u}yam\bar{a}nah$  /, B has something small inserted, possibly  $\bar{a}rabhyam\bar{a}na$ .

 $<sup>^{172}</sup>$ Technical term from Nāṭyaśāstra. Alaka glosses with *bhramaṇam*, wild movement, then explains that there are four types of that, of hand, feet, waist and neck joints respectively, and that in acts of the arms there is only the possibility for recaka of the hand.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>173</sup>technical term

 $<sup>^{174}</sup>s\bar{a}vartacakra,$  see also 2.12

 $<sup>^{175}</sup> vika \ddagger ormigha \ddagger \bar{a} sa has ra$ 

His hands, numbering thousands, their palms red as blossoming china roses, in circular dance movements, functioned as the multitude of petals of a lotus which actually is the universe<sup>176</sup>, which has as its circular pericarp the very large golden mountain. || 2.31 ||

He was bearing the crescent of the moon which, because of the shaking of his head, had become detached from its tight bond to his crest and was taking its place at his muscular shoulder, as if it had come near in order to follow the night in form of the dark colour of kālakūța on the throat. || 2.32 ||

Before the sun of his upper eye which was diffusing yellow shine, the multitudes of his lotus hands, in as much as they had made dance gestures became very much such which for a long time had the multitude of their projecting<sup>177</sup> red finger-petals opening. || 2.33 ||

The breaking waves of the milk ocean, caused to rise high by the storm, which were suspected to be his arm trees, smeared with white ash-powder, were extending for a while in the sky embracing the sky-Gangā which has bigger waves<sup>178</sup>.<sup>179</sup>  $\parallel 2.34 \parallel$ 

His snakes, who have their place fixed at the wrists which are getting thrown around, caused the waters of the oceans to be dispersed by the storm of the hissing released from the circle of their hoods, as if out of the desire to see their friends who stay in the palaces of the underworld. || 2.35 ||

Indumauli<sup>180</sup>, by his having a circle of club like arms getting thrown around in regular arrangement of clear angahāras and karaṇas, had a beauty similar to that

 $<sup>^{176}</sup>bhuvan\bar{a}ravindakosa,$  possibly kosa stands again for pericarp

 $<sup>^{177}</sup>kar\bar{a}la$ 

 $<sup>^{178} \</sup>mathrm{or}$  "longing", as Alaka glosses utkalikās tara<br/>igā utkaņthāś ca)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>179</sup>There appear to be some problems in this verse. The editions leave a space between  $samdehit\bar{a}$  and dhavala, but Alaka appears to be taking it as part of the compound, in which case one would have to read  $\bar{a}dhavala$ . Also it would be more natural that the high waves would have been raised up by the speed of the storm of his arms.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>180</sup>Śiva, "having the moon on his crest"

of Mount Kailāsa with its wishing trees shaken by the storm at the dissolution of the universe. || 2.36 ||

It was as if, with the shining lines of ash particles from his club-like arms which were having curved<sup>181</sup> ends, he was very much as if laying down the outline for another universe to be created inside which<sup>182</sup> would be suitable for the practice of the burden of his own dance. || 2.37 ||

The sky, during the dance which he was undertaking having been drawn upon it white lines by the light rays emitted from the end parts of his finger nails<sup>183</sup>, became as if marked with many thousand<sup>184</sup> shining crescents of the nectar rayed [moon]. || 2.38 ||

His matted locks, in which the river  $Ganga^{185}$  was full with small bubbles, as it was bound to follow the movements of the flow of his unfettered Recakas<sup>186</sup>, bore a beauty like [that of] the elephant<sup>187</sup> skin worn by him when he had not yet abandoned his Kirāta form. || 2.39 ||

Afraid of death by the edges of the  $lion^{188}$ -skin-claws, which were as sharp as thunderbolt-blades and turning around because of the circular movement of his arms<sup>189</sup>, the elephants of the directions sank down<sup>190</sup>, they quickly bent their knee joints so that their huge foreheads came low. || 2.40 ||

His lion-king-skin, placed on his many club-like arms very occupied with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>181</sup>or maybe "shaking"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>182</sup>lit.: "the inner part of which"

 $<sup>^{183}</sup>$ koțibhāga, the white end part of the finger nail which is of crescent shape.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>184</sup>anekasahasrasamkhya lit.: "many thousands in number"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>185</sup>siddhasindhu, lit."divine river"

 $<sup>^{186}</sup>$ According to Alaka *recakas* are of four types, that of hands, feet, waist and neck, and out of these *recaka* of the neck is meant here. It is a technical term from Nāţyaśāstra.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>187</sup>nāgendra lit.: "lord of snakes".

 $<sup>^{188}</sup>mrgar\bar{a}ja$  lit.: "king of animals"

 $<sup>^{189}</sup>tadb\bar{a}hu, tad$  refers to Śiva.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>190</sup>digdantibhir viṣede, impersonal passive construction. Another possible meaning is that the elephants were despondent.

angahāras and karaṇas, was quickly pulling<sup>191</sup> the stars<sup>192</sup> with its claws, as if desiring to win the affection of the moon staying on his crest which is yellow like a torch<sup>193</sup>.  $\parallel 2.41 \parallel$ 

It seemed as if, with the ash dusts rubbed on for his besmearing,  $\bar{I} \pm i^{194}$  was wishing to polish a mirror which actually is the surface of the orb of the  $\sin^{195}$ , made grey by a veil of lots of dust from the mountain peaks shattered by the storm of his club like arms. || 2.42 ||

The hot rayed [sun] shone in the sky, sticking to the tip of the toe on his unimpeded kicked up  $leg^{196}$ , for a moment having the beauty of Padmāsana's slightly tilted kamaņdalu [pot] made of jewels and filled<sup>197</sup> with water for a ritual offering, as if it [, the leg,] was that of Lakṣmīpati<sup>198</sup>. || 2.43 ||

In his new acts of dancing his [many] club-like arms were spreading over<sup>199</sup> all around the circle of directions, covering the sky, like the multitude of the streams of the river on his head which is turned towards the going on the road to the ocean. || 2.44 ||

He was looking at the disk of the moon, loosened<sup>200</sup> by the friction of the strikes of the violent storm from the multitude of his turning clublike arms, falling

 $^{196}$  daņdapāda, t.t.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>191</sup>krs, possibly meaning the separating of his annoying quarreling wives.

 $<sup>^{192}</sup>$ Here  $t\bar{a}r\bar{a}$  is feminine, *udupati*, the word for "moon" used here literally means "husband of the stars".

 $<sup>^{193}\</sup>mathrm{or}$  possibly a comet or lightning

 $<sup>^{194}</sup>$ Śiva

 $<sup>^{195}</sup>us nadh \bar{a}man$  lit. "abode of heat"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>197</sup>avasakta lit. "connected"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>198</sup>Viṣṇu, "Lakṣmī's husband" Alaka: so 'pi trailokyalaṅghanasamaye viṣṇor daṇḍapādāṅgulīkoṭibhir upaśliṣṭaḥ "It (lit. "he", the sun) also at the time of Viṣṇu's stepping across the three worlds was closely connected to the tips of the toes of [his] leg.", explaining the reference to the trivikrama myth, in which Brahma then offers arghajala to Viṣṇu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>199</sup> $\bar{a}star\bar{i}$ șata 3rd. Pl. Aor. from  $\bar{a}$ - $st\bar{r}$ . Alaka explains the  $\bar{i}$  in this form with two references to Pāṇinī.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>200</sup>viprakīrņa

down from the firmament, taking it to  $be^{201}$  his crown<sup>202</sup>-skull falling from his shaking head. || 2.45 ||

In the dance<sup>203</sup> of Vibhu<sup>204</sup> which had caused a trembling of all the worlds<sup>205</sup>, the beauty of the leaves of Brahmā's seat lotus was destroyed, like in winter time, by the fall of a hoarfrost which actually is the ash powder from the besmearing of his arm-forest getting thrown around. || 2.46 ||

The shining light of his neck, of dark blue  $colour^{206}$ , covered, like the night at the time of the dissolution of the universe, the circle of directions, [which circle was<sup>207</sup>] variegated with the stars of twilight red colour which actually were the collection of jewels in the hoods of his necklace serpent. || 2.47 ||

The mild rayed [moon] on the crest of Sambhu, even though his place is fixed in the bower of his matted locks, in all the directions got after long time the pleasures of embracing his star-wives, as the storm of his arms which had begun dancing brought them near. || 2.48 ||

from his stretched out foot<sup>208</sup>, like from that of Madhuripu<sup>209</sup>, came out Mandakinī<sup>210</sup>, as its frontal part<sup>211</sup> was wettened by the strong waters overflowing from his turning matted locks, as he is shaking his head playfully, the rasa of his

 $<sup>^{201}</sup>$ Alaka glosses with  $kap\bar{a}labhr\bar{a}nty\bar{a}$ , "mistaking it to be the skull", but considering Śiva's omnicience one could also understand it to mean that he is thinking this joking to himself.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>202</sup>taking the reading *śikara* instead of *śekhara* I could only understand it as "[taking it to be] drizzling water and a skull falling from his shaking head."

 $<sup>^{203}</sup>$ Alaka glosses anukāra nrttam.

 $<sup>^{204}\</sup>mathrm{\acute{S}iva}$ 

 $<sup>^{205} {\</sup>rm or}$  all the people, c.f. Alaka  $lok\bar{a}~bhuvan\bar{a}ni~jan\bar{a}\acute{s}~ca$  /

 $<sup>^{206}\</sup>mathrm{Also}$  the night is dark blue.

 $<sup>^{207}</sup>$ or, taking B's reading śavalā, it is both the night and the light of the neck which are described by this first long compound, which makes sense, as a feature of the neck is compared with one of the night. "Spotted with all the jewels in the hoods of his necklace serpent as stars of twilight red colour, the shining light of his neck, of which the colour is dark blue, covered, like the night at the time of dissolution of the universe, the circle of directions.

 $<sup>^{208}\</sup>mathrm{Probably}$  that is what daṇḍapāda means, a technical term from Nāṭya Śāstra.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>209</sup>Viṣṇu, "Enemy of Madhu"

 $<sup>^{210}\</sup>mathrm{heavenly}$ river Gaṅgā

 $<sup>^{211}{\</sup>rm koțibh\bar{a}ga},$  probably meaning the toe nail.

Tāṇḍava is violent.  $\parallel 2.49 \parallel$ 

The mountains, troubled by the blows of his very heavy club like arms, were then as if quickly vomiting blood, as the breaking waves of their waterfalls were reddened by the mass of dust of  $dh\bar{a}tu^{212}$  stirred up by the storm. || 2.50 ||

He made the different directions yellow, by the colour of the jewels in the hoods of the black serpents bound to the forearms of his arm-forest, which were like flames of fire, stained by columns of smoke arising violently at the time of the dissolution of the universe. || 2.51 ||

During the course of his dance, when he was looking at his many wrists with the burning gaze of his fiery  $eye^{213}$ , the serpents, their yellow bellies having become visible<sup>214</sup> as they they turned upside down because of the heat of that, attained the appearance of golden bracelets. || 2.52 ||

He, whose neck is dark blue<sup>215</sup>, who bears the clear beauty of the moon<sup>216</sup>, who is immersed<sup>217</sup> in the recakas<sup>218</sup> he has begun<sup>219</sup> and who is rejoicing because of the fascinating thunder<sup>220</sup> of the  $\bar{a}nkika^{221}$  drum of which the head is getting beaten by the hand of joyful Nandin, pursued his play. || 2.53 ||

The lovely performance of Puraripu, which was of pleasant grace with its rasas

 $<sup>^{212}\</sup>mathrm{red}$  minerals

 $<sup>^{213}</sup>$ lit.: "when his wrists (plural, so that implies more than two wrists) were made the focus by his excessive (or burning) gaze of fire"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>214</sup>lit.: "being seen"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>215</sup>mecakagala "Nīlakaṇṭha", Śiva. Peacocks also have blue necks.

 $<sup>^{216}</sup>$ The eye in the tail feather of the peacock is also called *candraka*.

 $<sup>^{217}</sup>$ laya, Alaka glosses with tat<br/>paratā. I am not sure raya can carry the same meaning, otherwise it would mean "whose rec<br/>akas are fast".

 $<sup>^{218}\</sup>mathrm{probably}$  a technical term, which should also be applicable to the peacock.

 $<sup>^{219}\</sup>bar{\mathrm{a}}\mathrm{rabdharecaka}.$ vispaṣṭarecaka would mean "very clear recakas"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>220</sup>lit. "sound of the rainy cloud". When rainy clouds apprear peacocks get excited clouds and start to dance.

 $<sup>^{221}</sup>$ The āńkika probably is something similar to a mṛdaṅga with two mouths, one on either side, Alaka describes it as looking like a myrobalan seed and quotes some text in which this drum is getting described as looking similar to a barley grain.

and bhāvas, which had the beauty of charming angahāras, which was bearing beautiful moving around<sup>222</sup>, which was having good hasta<sup>223</sup>s and dṛṣṭi<sup>224</sup>s, with vortices on all the sides, fascinated the mind.<sup>225</sup> || 2.54 ||

Having considered the extreme danger he did not perform the circular movement of his club-like arms before the regions of the heavens, and he did his steps setting down his feet gently, having compassion that the earth would break apart; || 2.55 ||

and because of thinking that he would be splitting open the shell of Brahmā's egg he also did not raise up high his  $dandapada^{226}$ . Thus, because of the weakness of the support, the dance of [Śiva,] bearing the cold rayed [moon] was not with liveliness<sup>227</sup> || 2.56 ||

The row of human skulls on  $his^{228} head^{229}$  were as if loudly making applause for<sup>230</sup> the most excellent deeds of dance with the loud jhāmkāra sounds of the waves of the divine river which had entered into their insides. || 2.57 ||

Bhujagāriketu<sup>231</sup>, playing the role of a buffoon, is laughingly being looked at by the daughter of the snow mountain, as, having snatched away the crescent

 $<sup>^{222}\</sup>mathrm{Possibly}$  parikrama is a technical term here.

 $<sup>^{223}</sup>$ technical term, being explained by Alaka as *patāka* etc.

 $<sup>^{224}</sup>$ technical term, being explained by Alaka as  $k\bar{a}nta$  etc.

 $<sup>^{225}</sup>$ Splitting  $s\bar{a}$   $\bar{a}vartan\bar{a}bhir$  all the attributes to  $kriy\bar{a}$ , but not kriyā itself, can be then taken to qualify her, the beloved woman: sā she, dayitā the beloved, āvartanābhir who had a vortex navel, lalitānġahāraśobhā, who had the charming beauty of strings of pearls on her limbs, manoramaparikramatām vahantī, whose walking around was beautiful, ceto jahāra stole the mind, (maybe puraripoḥ of Puraripu, but commentary doesn't mention that. In that case this description would apply to Pārvatī.), rasabhāvahṛdyarūpā, who is having a lovely form because of the feeling (of śṛnġāra), and, śubhahastadṛṣṭiḥ, who is having auspicious hands and eyes.

 $<sup>^{226}\</sup>mbox{Technical term},$  probably meaning his stretched out leg kicking up high

 $<sup>^{227}</sup>$  possibly some textual problem here, from the context one has to understand that a slowing down and coming to an end of Śiva's dance must be meant.

 $<sup>^{228}</sup>yasya$  would actually be "whose".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>229</sup>lit.: "on the place of his head"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>230</sup>lit.: "in"

 $<sup>^{231}</sup>$ Skanda, who has the enemy of snakes (peacock) as his mark of identification

from the crest of Smarāri<sup>232</sup>, he made it his curved big walking stick before him. || 2.58 ||

"Oh Lords of Gaṇas, these, Talapuṣpapuṭa and so forth, are all the different lovely karaṇas, and this<sup>233</sup> is the performance of the aṅgahāras<sup>234</sup>, of which sthirahasta is the most important one, and which are having their existence beautified by the clear application of the variety of them<sup>235</sup>." || 2.59 ||

Having thus obtained the pleasure of seeing him conducting his own dance<sup>236</sup>, comprised of three parts<sup>237</sup>, to the transfer [of his art<sup>238</sup>] into the circles of his associates present in the assembly, the thousand of eyes of the lady of the eastern direction, their eyebrows twinkling<sup>239</sup>, had become desirable. || 2.60 ||

The rainy clouds, getting hit by the crores of his hands, lifted up with the appearance of serpent heads and showing the beauty of the dance, pouring out many cool raindrops, removed his fatigue caused by the dance.<sup>240</sup> || 2.61 ||

Having thus playfully<sup>241</sup> practised the dance, exhibiting clear bhāvas, rasas and vṛttis which are to be expressed in drama, Vibhu returned, together<sup>242</sup> with his gaṇas, getting his fatigue removed by the plenty of drops from the waves of Gaṇgā on his head. || 2.62 ||

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>232</sup>Śiva,"Enemy of Smara (Kāmadeva)"

 $<sup>^{233}</sup>$ iti

 $<sup>^{234}\</sup>mathrm{lit.:}$  these are the performances of the angahāras

 $<sup>^{235}</sup>$ the karaṇas

 $<sup>^{236}\</sup>mathrm{Alaka}$  glosses karaṇam with nrttam.

 $<sup>^{237}\</sup>mathrm{acc.}$  to Alaka lāsya, tāņ<br/>ḍava and miśra.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>238</sup>Commentators don't elaborate on samkrānti. I find the meaning "transfer of an art from teacher to disciple" the most plausible here, but the construction is a bit strange for that. <sup>239</sup>or possibly "completely opened"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>240</sup>The edition has as Alaka's commentary: "āviṣkṛtā anukṛtir abhineyam | nāṭyam ity arthāntaram || 61 ||", probably meant was "āviṣkṛtā anukṛtir abhinayaḥ nāṭyam ity anarthāntaram", having manifested... "anukṛti" means "abhinayaḥ", "nāṭyam", not having another meaning ("dance").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>241</sup>or "having practised the playful dance"

 $<sup>^{242}</sup>s\bar{a}rdham$ , the reading  $s\bar{a}rtham$  would mean "[the dance,] which had a purpose"

Sometime there was for him the illusion of a play of dice, with Mount Mandara getting hit hard by the hoofs of [his] old bull which had been won by the daughter of the mountain and which was getting pulled away by her attendants, and in which the confused crescent of the moon on his crest had been put at stake.<sup>243</sup> || 2.63 ||

In this way he spent the time there doing all these activities, never experiencing separation from the mountain's daughter, and being constantly attended by the lords of the gods with the gold of their jewel crowns rolling around on his footstool studded with silken  $cloth^{244}$ . || 2.64 ||

 $<sup>^{243}{\</sup>rm This}$  verse alludes to the purāņik story of Lord Śiva gambling with Pārvatī and loosing everything.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>244</sup>The part from *ratna* up to *padaih* is unclear. Another possibility could be, as in 2.18., that the Lords of Immortals, while bowing down at Śiva's feet, make his foot stool resound (*luthita*) with the gold (astapada) of their jewel crowns. Or, taking *luthita* to mean "placed" one could understand it to mean that they are presenting all these precious items before Śiva's footstool. Then Alaka also glosses astapada with *caturangaphalaka*, some sort of chess board. *ratnapatta* could either mean that the foot stool has its surface (*patta*) made out of jewels, or *patta* could also mean silk, which is either studded with jewels or maybe a particular variety of silk.

## 5 Conclusion

This work is my first attempt at editing Sanskrit poetry and the corresponding commentaries, and I must say it was a challenging but enjoyable task. The first challenge to deal with was the translation of Ratnākara's verses which are in rather difficult Sanskrit. For a few months I kept the habit of reading the verses of the first two cantos consecutively on a daily basis, as a practice, and I quickly found myself aesthetically enjoying the sound of them, even while I still did not understand much. In contrast to that, acquiring the understanding of their meaning and discovering the hidden points in them was a rather slow process, which is still far from being finished. Particularly the verses in which heavy use is made of terms from the Nātyaśāstra and possibly other texts of the traditional Indian Performing Arts I certainly could not do justice to, as essentially that would require considerable study of the field concerned. But also regarding the verses with allusions to mythology I still have unanswered questions, and I am still presently making discoveries of hidden points, even though I consider my basic translation of them finished since a few months. Still, I also enjoyed many of the verses while translating them, and I keep in good memory the time I spent with Prof. Mishra in his home in the hot summer of Varanasi, reading the Haravijaya at the average pace of one verse per day, often taking Alaka's commentary as a departure point for lengthy discussions of the indigenous grammar and other subjects at hand. It was also very fascinating to then reread these verses with Prof. Isaacson and prepare the critical edition, discuss the differing readings in the MSS and editions, find many new readings, quite some of which were then accepted, and in a few instances even verses that did not really seem to make sense eventually did so after finding a new reading in the MSS. I did not aim for beauty in the translation, I am not a native speaker of English, and also I consider the translation merely a help for easier access to the verses in the original Sanskrit.

Challenging was also the sheer amount of Sanskrit text to be dealt with, especially in form of manuscripts, to the reading of which I had not been exposed to before, mostly the commentaries. Particularly difficult was Ratnakaṇṭha's manuscript, as his hand is very hard to read. In the beginning, further complicating the task, I had access only to black and white scans of it of inferior quality, so that it was already a pleasant discovery when I understood that the indented lines contained the verses. From there I could start to learn his handwriting, although I must admit that I did not really pursue that task until Prof. Isaacson started reading Ratnakaṇṭha's MS with me on a regular basis, after a few session of which I gradually gained confidence and started transcribing it myself, first with many mistakes, but eventually getting better, so that after a while I started feeling relatively comfortable navigating the manuscript, particularly after my travel to Oxford where I not only got access to the MSS concerned but was also unexpectedly given permission to photograph everything I needed, so that I now had rather good photos of them, which was a very positive outcome. Also the travel to Oxford and physically handling the MSS was an interesting experience indeed.

Another manuscript that presented great difficulty was the one of Utpala's commentary. While the writer's hand is fairly easily readable, especially after the experience of Ratnakantha's hand, the text needed lots of emendation, which is a task that seems to need much more practice than just learning to read difficult handwriting. I certainly still need much more practice with that, and most of the emendations in the edition of Utpala's commentary were still suggested by Prof. Isaacson. Now, as I am finishing the writing of this thesis, rereading all my transcriptions of the MSS I find that there are still so many mistakes in them, I could probably continue correcting them for several weeks more. If I would have prepared translations of the commentaries, that would have forced me to really check thoroughly for syntactical correctness and not just rely on my still evolving Sanskrit-Sprachgefühl while transcribing, but that would obviously have taken much more time, and possibly gone beyond the scope of an M.A. thesis. Also I have neither edited MS 189 of Alaka's commentary yet, nor the commentary contained in the MS from Srinagar library. Also many of the quotes contained in the commentaries remain to be identified, but the time is for submitting my thesis is up. I will have to come back to these things a later point.

I am planning to continue working on the Haravijaya for my doctoral thesis, probably on chapters three to six and then chapter 47, as latter two comprise stotras to Śiva and the goddess respectively, which are also of relevance to research in Śaiva Siddhānta. I have already begun the search of further MSS<sup>245</sup>, and am preparing to travel to India again for that purpose.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>245</sup>Apart from the MSS mentioned in Rama's edition, located at Pune, Mumbai, Jodhpur and Varanasi, which I now want to physically inspect, I am aware of another MS at Pune numbered 41291, which I have found in the database of the National Mission for Manuscripts (See http://namami.org .), India, In this database, I also found two further Manuscripts of the Haravijaya in Bihar. The first is an incomplete MS of 25 folios described as in good condition, kept by Lalan Jha, Madhepur City, Madhubani. It is listed as MS no. 16 in Bundle No. 34. The second one is described as complete, on 900 worm eaten pages of paper, scribed by Devendratripāṭhī in Devanāgarī script, kept by Vedanand Jha, Pandit Tola, Tamaka, Vibhutipur, 848114 Samastipur. I have also taken notice of a MS kept at the National Museum in Delhi which is numbered 509 in their handlist, consisting of 23 folios only and written in Śāradā script. Also I can image a travel to Jammu and Kashmir to be fruitful, but I have not yet gotten hold of catalogues or handlists of MSS from there apart from the Srinagar library, in which only MS 757 seemed of relevance, of which I already possess a digital copy. In fact the New Catalogus Catalogorum references Stein's Catalogue of the Ragunath temple library in Jammu in connection with Alaka,[NCC(1968), p.395], so something should be there.

## 6 Bibliography

# References

- [Bernheimer(1909)] Carl Bernheimer. Über die Vakrokti. Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, 63, 1909.
- [Bronner and McCrea(2001)] Yigal Bronner and Lawrence McCrea. The Poetics of Distortive Talk - Plot and Character in Ratnākara's "Fifty Verbal Perversions (Vakroktipañcāśikā)". Journal of Indian Philosophy, 29(4), 2001.
- [Bühler(1877)] Bühler. Detailed Report of a Tour in Search of Sanskrit MSS made in Kaśmīr, Rajputana, and Central India. Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, 1877.
- [Clauson(1912)] Gerard L. M. Clauson. Catalogue of the Stein Collection of Sanskrit MSS. from Kashmir. The Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, 1912.
- [Dimitrov(2002)] Dragomir Dimitrov. *Mārgavibhāga*. 2002.
- [Durgaprasad and Parab(1886)] Durgaprasad and Parab. Ratnākaraviracitā Vakroktipañcāśikā (Sațīkā). In Durgaprasad and Parab, editors, Kāvyamālā Part 1, Kāvyamālā. Chaukhamba Bharati Academy, 1886. Reprint 1988.
- [Durgaprasad and Parab(1890)] Durgaprasad and Parab, editors. The Haravijaya of Rājānaka Ratnākara with the Commentary of Rājānaka Alaka. Kāvyamālā. Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan, 1890. Reprint 1982, originally from Nirnaya Sagar Press, Bombay.
- [Durgaprasad and Parab(1891)] Durgaprasad and Parab, editors. The Stutikusumāñjali of Jagaddhara Bhatta With the Commentary of Rajanaka Ratnakantha. Kāvyamālā. Nirņaya Sāgara Press, 1891.
- [Dyczkowski(1987)] Mark S. G. Dyczkowski. The Doctrine of Vibration. 1987.
- [Ingalls(1965)] Daniel H. H. Ingalls. An Anthology of Sanskrit Poetry. 1965.

- [Jacobi(1890)] Hermann Jacobi. Ānandavardhana and the date of māgha. Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes, 4, 1890.
- [Keith(1920)] A. Berriedale Keith. A History of Sanskrit Literature. 1920.
- [Krishnamachariar(1970)] M. Krishnamachariar. History of Classical Sanskrit Literature. Motilal Banarsidass, 1970. Reprint.
- [Krishnamacharya(1938)] Embar Krishnamacharya. The Sūktimuktāvalī of Bhagadatta Jalhaņa. 1938. Reprint 1991.
- [Lienhard(1984)] Siegfried Lienhard. A history of classical poetry: Sanskrit-Pali-Prakrit. In Jan Gonda, editor, A history of Indian Literature, Vol. 3, Classical Sanskrit literature. Harrassowitz, 1984.
- [Mani(1975)] Vettam Mani. Purāņic Encyclopaedia. 1975.
- [Murti(1980)] Mulakaluri Srimannarayana Murti, editor. Vallabhadeva's Kommentar (Śāradā-Version) zum Kumārasambhava des Kālidāsa. Verzeichnis der Orientalischen Handschriften in Deutschland. Franz Steiner Verlag GmbH, Wiesbaden, 1980.
- [NCC(1968)] NCC. New Catalogus Catalogorum, volume 1. 1968.
- [Rama(1982)] Goparaju Rama, editor. *Haravijayam by Rājānaka Ratnākara*. Rashtriya Sanskrit Sansthan, 1982.
- [Sanderson(2000)] Alexis Sanderson. History through Textual Criticism in the Study of Śaivism, the Pañcarātra and the Buddhist Yoginītantras. In François Grimal, editor, Les Sources et le temps. Sources and Time: A Colloquium, Pondicherry, 11–13 January 1997. Publications du Departement d'Indologie, 2000.
- [Sanderson(2007)] Alexis Sanderson. The Śaiva Exegesis of Kashmir. In Dominic Goodall and André Padoux, editors, Mélanges tantriques à la mémoire d'Hélène Brunner. Publications du Departement d'Indologie, 2007.

- [Schmidt(1915)] Richard Schmidt. Neue oder im pw. noch nicht belegte Wörter aus Ratnākara's Haravijaya. Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes, 29, 1915.
- [Sharma(1990)] Santosh Kumari Sharma. The Haravijaya of Ratnākara (A Criticism). Bankey Bihari Prakashan, 1990.
- [Slaje(1993)] Walter Slaje. Śāradā, Deskriptiv-synchrone Schriftkunde zur Bearbeitung kaschmirischer Sanskrit-Manuskripte auf der Grundlage von Kuśalas Ghaţakarpara-Gūdhadīpikā. Indische Schriften. Dr. Inge Wezler Verlag für Orientalistische Fachpublikationen, Reinbek, 1993.
- [Smith(1985)] David Smith. Ratnākara's Haravijaya, An Introduction to the Sanskrit Court Epic. Oxford University Press, 1985.
- [Stein(1900)] Marc Aurel Stein. Kalhaņa's Rājataranginī, A Chronicle of the Kings of Kaśmīr. Motilal Banarsidass, Delhi, 1900.
- [Warder(1988)] Anthony Kenedy Warder. Indian Kāvya Literature: The bold style (Śaktibhadra to Dhanapāla). Motilal Banarsidass, Delhi, 1988.

Ich versichere an Eides Statt durch meine eigene Unterschrift, daß ich die vorstehende Arbeit selbständig und ohne fremde Hilfe angefertigt und alle Stellen, die wörtlich oder annähernd wörtlich aus Veröffentlichungen entnommen sind, als solche kenntlich gemacht und mich auch keiner anderen als der angegebenen Literatur bedient habe. Diese Versicherung bezieht sich auch auf die in der Arbeit gelieferten Zeichnungen, Skizzen, bildlichen Darstellungen und desgleichen. Mit der späteren Einsichtnahme in meine schriftliche Hausarbeit erkläre ich mich einverstanden.

2. Mai 2011

(Peter Pasedach)